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|  |  | $07 \text { a.m., the following } \quad \text { Page } 770$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | proce |  | think you've probably seen the system we ha |
|  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | But could you st |
|  |  | I' |  | yo |
|  |  |  |  | THE WITNESS: My name is Donald |
|  |  | F |  | -L |
|  |  | ing room of the State Office |  |  |
|  |  | Building. |  | Littleton, Colorado 80123. |
|  |  |  |  | ARING OFFICER TEXEL: A |
|  |  | airman Reida and Ms. Peck are, again, on |  |  |
|  |  | WebEx |  |  |
|  |  | Vice Chair Hutchison, Mr. Moen and |  | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
|  |  | , | 13 | BY MR. DEGA |
|  |  |  | 14 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Wendell. |
|  |  |  |  | OFFICER TEXEL |
|  |  | d, Mr. Degan, you may proceed with your | 16 | EARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yes. |
|  |  | case in ch | 17 | GA |
|  |  |  | 18 |  |
|  |  | Protestan | 19 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL |
|  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Hi, |  | MR. DEGAN: Thank |
|  |  | Mr. Wendell. Can you raise your right hand. | 21 | BY MR. DEG |
|  |  | DON |  |  |
|  |  | C | 23 | Exhibit 63, could you briefly identify that for |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  | A. It's my resume |
|  | Q. And is that a current resume of your professional and educational background? <br> A. It's current. <br> Q. Okay. <br> MR. DEGAN: Counsel, did 63 get entered yesterday? I thought it did. <br> MR. JARECKE: I believe -- <br> MS. KREIFELS: Resume, yes. <br> MR. DEGAN: Okay. That's what <br> MS. KREIFELS: 62 was not. <br> MR. JARECKE: No objection. <br> BY MR. DEGAN: <br> Q. Okay. So I will direct -- <br> BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I don't <br> have it. Some of us have it. Some of us do not. <br> THE WITNESS: If you want this back, you can have it. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: 62 is the only one that was not. <br> BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I have 60, and I have 64. I don't have anything between 60 and 64 . And I think the same thing with Greg. |  |  | BOARD MEMBER MOEN: That's Page 773 |
|  |  |  |  | correct. |
|  |  |  |  | REN |
| , |  |  |  | go get a cop |
|  |  |  |  | EAR |
|  |  |  |  | one copy here |
|  |  |  |  | 3OARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: All |
| 8 |  |  |  | right. We'll just |
| 9 |  |  |  | EARING |
|  |  |  | 10 | that |
|  |  |  | 11 | OARD MEMBER REIDA: I have 63. |
|  |  |  | 12 | Is that what we're looking for? |
| 13 |  |  | 13 | MR. DEGAN: Yes. |
|  |  |  | 14 | EARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yes. Do |
|  |  |  | 15 | we need two? |
|  |  |  |  | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I have th |
|  |  |  | 17 | inside |
|  |  |  | 18 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: We're |
|  |  |  | 19 | good |
| 20 |  |  | 20 | BY MR. DEGAN |
|  |  |  |  | kay. Mr. Wendell, I've place |
| 22 |  |  | 22 | Exhibit 63 in front of you to have you identify |
| 23 |  |  |  | that so that, just for the sake of expedien |
| 24 |  |  |  | we can touch on some highlights but we don't |
|  |  |  |  | have to get into full depth the extent of your |


|  | Page 774 |  | company Page 775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | What is your current occupation? |  | And -- and during that timeframe, worked |
| 3 | A. I'm retired. |  | on two large mergers of Xcel Energy and a |
|  | . And prior to your retirement, if you |  | number of multiple acquisitions over that |
|  | 5 could briefly outline your educational and |  | timeframe as I worked in financial reporting |
|  | 6 professional experience? |  | and accounting. |
|  | A. After graduating from college |  | Q. Okay. And are you or were you a |
|  | B spent eleven years with Arthur Andersen, six |  | certified public acco |
|  | years in the Omaha office, five years in Boston |  | A. I am -- well, I was -- I'm a retired |
| 10 | working primarily in the regulated utilities | 10 | certified public accountant in the State of |
| 11 | industry. | 11 | Colorado. |
| 12 | In that timeframe, I've worked with a | 12 | I initially had my license here in |
| 13 | number of clients, investor-owned utilities, | 13 | Nebraska and had a reciprocal license when I |
| 14 | public power entities, independent power | 14 | lived and worked in Massachusetts and obtained |
| 15 | generators, both gas and electric distribution |  | a reciprocal license in Colorado when I moved |
|  | companies. | 16 | to Colorado and have maintained an inactive |
| 17 | I left Andersen after 11 years and moved | 17 | license in Nebraska. In the event that I ever |
| 18 | to Colorado. Went to work for Public Service | 18 | came back here and needed to practice, I could |
|  | Company of Colorado. I was there for 25 years |  | always reactive it with continuing education. |
|  | in a variety of financial management executive |  | Q. Okay. You mentioned that part of |
| 21 | roles. |  | your professional experience included work |
| 22 | In th |  | with -- relating to the merger of utilities or |
| 23 | assistant controller, as the chief audit | 23 | utility-related businesses? |
| 24 | executive, as the vice president of financial | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | planning which was corporate-wide for the | 25 | Q. Could you expand on that a little bit |
|  | Page 776 |  | We -- when we formed the first Page 777 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 worked on and |  | formed -- we were both -- Public Service |
|  | those mergers? |  | Company of Colorado used to be an exempt |
|  | A. Well, the two most significant were |  | holding company. That changed in that first |
|  | mergers that were done by Xcel Energy and its |  | merger. And we became a fully regulated |
|  | predecessor companies. |  | holding company under the Public Utility |
|  | In 1995, Public Service Compan |  | Holding Company Act of 1935. We had to create |
|  | Colorado announced a merger with Southwestern |  | a service company that then provided for |
|  | Public Service Company of Amarillo, Texas. |  | services across the multiple utilities that |
| 10 | They merged to form New Century Energies in | 10 | were part of the holding company structure. |
| 11 | 1997. | 11 | Q. Okay. And on the financial analysis |
|  | It took two years to effect that merg | 12 | side and your role in that capacity with |
| 13 | Lots of regulatory approvals to go through. |  | respect to mergers, does that typically involve |
| 14 | My role in that was as director of |  | forecasting anticipated future revenues and |
| 15 | financial reporting. I was not involved in the | 15 | expenses of the entity that's being examined? |
|  | negotiation of the merger but played an active |  | A. Well, let me be clear. Are you |
|  | role in all of the approvals to get -- get |  | talking about kind of what's prepared before |
| 18 | through that acquisition. |  | the execution of a merger document or -- |
| 19 | The second merger was a merger of New | 19 | Q. Yes, I am, the -- |
| 20 | Century Energies and Northern States Power of | 20 | A. Okay. |
| 21 | Minnesota to form Xcel Energy. That merger was | 21 | Q. -- the documents that would be |
| 22 | announced in 1999 and completed in 2000. |  | created to assist management in its assessment |
| 23 | I had a similar role in that engagement |  | of the suitability of the potential merger |
| 24 | except for the fact that I also by that time |  | partner. |
| 25 | was working on the service company. | 25 | A. Okay. Well, with an investor-owned |

utility, there's clearly some more complexities
than would necessarily be between two public power entities.

Both entities that were involved in the merger hired investment bankers to be involved
to advise them. Ultimately they issued
fairness opinions so that shareholders, when
they were voting to approve that merger, had
the adequate documentation that this, in fact, was a good deal for them and it was really a function of what's the exchange of stock price as part of that merger.

Additionally, besides that, there were, as a consultant that was hired in both cases, in both mergers, to complete a synergy savings.

You know, these mergers were two regulated utilities with similar operations. There were exhaustive synergies that were part of the process. All of the documentation of those synergies were then presented to state regulators. And that's what took the two-year process to eventually get approval of those mergers because of all of the state and federal regulatory approvals, along with getting shareholder approval, et cetera.

But we had irrigation wells on our farm as well. And, you know, after I got educated, I moved away. And I've lived in Omaha, as I said, and lived further away.

But I've continued to -- I still have family, lots of friends that live in the community.

I have a lot of relatives that are still there, some of which I found out some of the people I've met down here that knew those other relatives that I hadn't seen in a long time.
Q. And are you currently a customer of Central?
A. I am. My brothers and I retained
ownership. I'm a fourth-generation land
holder. And we recently set up for an LLC to
ultimately pass the land on to our fifth
generation, which is my kids and my brother's
children.
Q. Okay. And how many -- how many acres is that holding?
A. Well, the land that is under lease
for Central is a quarter. So 160 acres.
The acres -- the amount of water is
something, like, 137 acres of water. So when
Q. Okay. And public utilities, is it your understanding, do not have shareholders?
A. That's correct.
Q. So some of those complications would
not be present when you're talking about a
merger of a -- public districts, would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Well, you currently reside in Colorado; correct?
A. I do.
Q. Where did you grow up?
A. I grew up on a farm north of Axtell. I was there up through college years when I went to Hastings College to school.

I grew up on a farm. And I irrigated the land that was also land -- some of the land that we -- we farmed and a neighbor that I worked for as well was water that was provided by Central.

I learned in this proceeding that I was not only a customer, I guess I'm an accountholder. And -- and as working through that process, you know, we -- almost lost my train of thought there for a second.

Page 781
they've talked about the inch and a half or
what -- I mean, the 18 inches of water, we get
allocated the water for those number of acres, 137 acres on that one quarter.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Those are your rights?

THE WITNESS: Those are the
water rights that are attached to that land,
that's correct --
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. Yes.

THE WITNESS: -- that is held in trust by Central.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Which is purchased by you through your Water Service Agreement with Central?
A. That's correct.

We have -- since I'm not farming, we have two tenants that are involved in the farming of our land, one that is by the original home place and another that is 10 miles south, 5 miles south of Axtell, that farms a quarter
down there that was originally homesteaded


| 1 | $\text { Page } 786$ |  | Page 787 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of Nebraska website and obtained the audite |  | Citizens if I wanted to. So I'm not a member |
| 3 | financial statements for both Dawson and |  | of Citizens. But, yes, I am a customer of |
| 4 | Central, for, like, the last two years. I |  | Central. |
| 5 | didn't need to go back further than that. I |  | Q. Okay |
| 6 | just wanted to see what did things look like |  | A. And the only other person that called |
| 7 | tod |  | me even after my discussion with Dudley was Rob |
|  | You know, that just gives you historica |  | McCormick. Until we had -- there was a meeting |
|  | financial statements. That's -- you know, this |  | of Citizens. And I was asked to join that |
| 10 | is in November. And so, yeah, we're almost to | 10 | meeting. |
| 11 | the end of 2022. And that was calendar '21 | 11 | Q. And did you? |
| 12 | audited financial statements that I looked at | 12 | A. So I did participate via Zoom. I was |
| 13 | But in looking at that, there was nothing | 13 | the only one on Zoom. |
| 14 | that jumped out to me that said this was -- | 14 | You know, I was basically attending that |
| 15 | this company was in dire straits or anything | 15 | meeting because I wanted to hear what was going |
| 16 | along those lines. | 16 | on. Even if I couldn't be a member, I was |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And to be clear, this was |  | interested to know as our farmland is part of |
| 18 | before you had any communications with anybody |  | this. |
| 19 | associated with the group that would |  | And at the beginning of the meeting, I |
| 20 | subsequently be formed and named Citizens | 20 | said, like, Mr. Wendell, can you just provide |
| 21 | Against the Merger |  | your comments about this whole thing. And |
|  | A. Well, Jeff Larson, who was somebody |  | ultimately -- so I did. |
|  | who called me, I believe - |  | And, you know, I told them what I had |
|  | Q. Okay. |  | so far in terms of looking at some documents, |
|  | A. -- is a member of Citizens. Because |  | that, you know, the overall conclusion at that |
|  | $\text { time was that it was difficult to identify the }{ }^{\text {Page } 788}$ |  | Q. But to be clear, the initial work Page 789 |
| 2 | benefits or risks of this transaction based on |  | that you did, both for your own curiosity and |
| 3 | what I'd looked at and that more due diligence |  | in the comments that you related on the Zoom |
|  | was really needed, I believed, based on my |  | call, that was before you and I had ever had a |
|  | experience and M and A work and things that I |  | conversation? |
|  | would have thought. |  | A. Yes. |
|  | So that's all I said at that point in |  | Q. Okay. So subsequently you were |
|  | time. |  | engaged. You agreed to become engaged as an |
|  | And then later on, you know, through -- |  | expert witness to provide testimony on behalf |
| 10 | they shared my name with you. And you engaged | 10 | of the group that became Citizens Against the |
|  | me from Kutak Rock to be an expert witness in |  | Merger? |
| 12 | this case. | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And if you know -- and if you | 13 | Q. Okay. And what did you do in |
|  | don't, you know, just let me know -- but that |  | connection with that engagement? What did you |
| 15 | first -- the Zoom meeting that you participated |  | look at, and what were you looking for? |
| 16 | in, do you know whether a lawyer had even been |  | A. So, really, the first step was to |
| 17 | hired by the group that became Citizens Against | 17 | continue to look at any other information that |
| 18 | the Merger? | 18 | was being made available to me. And I'll just |
| 19 | A. I believe two different sets of |  | describe kind of this is really the -- for the |
| 20 | lawyers had been hired. Didn't know who they |  | most part, the month of January through early |
| 21 | were, one with regards to the litigation in |  | February because in December then, I was -- I |
| 22 | Holdrege and you with regards to the litigation |  | watched this board meeting in December or parts |
| 23 | here -- or not litigation but this proceeding |  | of it. And that's where it's, like, okay -- I |
| 24 | before -- that was ultimately going to be |  | could tell -- it sounded like a decision wasn't |
| 25 | before the Power Review Board. |  | until later but likely the intervenors, |


| Citizens, was going to have an opportunity to present their position and why they did not support this merger before the board. <br> So my steps were, as -- well, first, get engaged so that I knew kind of what was my expectation, what's the role here. <br> I've worked with attorneys before in rate proceedings in other jurisdictions. I've testified in the States of Colorado, Wyoming and New Mexico, mostly in an accounting and financial reporting perspective, not in situations like this. <br> But I'm familiar with the process of gathering information, having depositions. So I ultimately read all the depositions that you conducted, which I don't even know how many there were, eight, ten, something like that. Quite a few. <br> Q. Sixteen, I believe. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

A. Oh, then I didn't read all of them. I only read about eight. I'm sure that I would have really -- the other eight were as equally riveting.

But the -- I also wrote a number of discovery questions that I submitted to you
requested that you did not receive? I mean,
generally. You don't have to list it document
by document. But what are you looking for that you didn't --

THE WITNESS: A couple obvious
ones were I asked for the unaudited calendar
2022 financial statements. It's mid February.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: For
Central?
THE WITNESS: For Central. I
think it's -- yeah, my whole approach was to
investigate really around what -- is this a benefit for Central.

I did not really go after a lot of other
documents on behalf of Dawson. So that was an
example, 2022 audited -- unaudited financial statements.

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And
was -- did anyone provide you a reason for why
that was not available to you?
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Maybe my attorney does. But --
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.
All right. Got it. Next.
THE WITNESS: Next was the 2023
because, you know, my goal was to seek the
truth, to find out why -- why does Central want
to merge with Dawson and what is the benefits
or what are the risks around doing this, to be
able to reach a decision or an opinion on my
part is this thing -- is this in the best
interest of Central and its customers.
Q. As part of that, you provided me with
lists of documents and information that you wanted to see; correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And eventually were additional documents made available to you?
A. Some of -- some were. There was a number of outstanding discovery questions that I still never received. But, you know, it's, like, this hearing is going on whether I receive those or not. So it's, like, I've got to reach my own opinions around -- based on the information that I do have.

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Can I
interrupt you real quick?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Can you
give me an idea of what kind of information you
budget. I wanted -- liked to see is -- you
know, the premise that I was told was things
are deteriorating. So I'm an ex-auditor, you
know. My approach is trust but verify.
So I'm trying to grab all the information
that I can to -- that would support what I
heard in terms of why did they want to merge.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And
just quick, 2023, do you know whether or not
the district is -- the fiscal year starts in
January 1st or in July 1st?
THE WITNESS: No. It starts
January 1st.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. THE WITNESS: And I believe
there was a budget that was approved in -- I
think it was in the month of December.
You know, I did look -- one of the things that I did look at, Mike had gathered audited -- or minutes, agendas for the minutes from, I think, like, the last two years prior to November.

And so, like, in December of the prior
year, I could see that the budget had been
approved. So my expectation was is that around
the December timeframe they -- Central Page 794
meetings every month. So I assumed that the
budget had been prepared and would like to have
seen that.
I had requested a copy of the capital
expenditures. I wanted to know what kind of
capital expenditures were happening over the
next five years, you know. All the kind of
basic financial information that you would want
to gather to understand not only the current
financial position and results of operations
but looking to the future because that's
consistent with -- with Mr. Nelson who told me
was that it's not that we're terrible today,
it's that things are changing for the worst.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Okay. And I'm going to want to go back. And we'll get into more detail.

But on that point, did you ever come to
the conclusion that Central will have a
financial viability problem either today or,
say, 2030 or any other period in the future
that you've received data on?
represent to you and so that we can avoid
skirmishing over some of the information issues, that there were some discovery
objections that were raised by the districts,
and there were some rulings made, and there
were some additional documents that were withheld on confidentiality and other bases.

So some of the -- some of the documents you didn't receive are issues that the lawyers are certainly aware of.

But one set of information that we had requested that we didn't receive because of confidentiality concerns related to the Power Purchase Agreements and related, certainly, to the pricing of those agreements and the bids that -- that Central received for those RFPs; isn't that true?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that's information that you never received; correct?
A. Never received it.

And then there was some information that was confidential that I did receive. You and I were to be the only ones to look at it.

That included four models that were
A. Well, I -- as you know, and it's
been, I think, prepared was there's a -- I
prepared a report. I think it's labeled a report.

In there, my opinions around -- and the conclusions that I reached based on all of the information that I gathered.

And, no, I -- I could not find a deterioration of financial statements.

There's an exhibit in there -- and I think we'll be talking more about that later today -that shows that financial -- the forecasts that were presented and considered, which were embedded in the PSE Phase 2 report, were -seemed reasonable, and it wasn't devastating at all.
Q. Okay. And we'll -- and we'll get into the detail. But I just, you know, don't want to leave any cliffhanger.

When you got all the way through the process, you found no support for the conclusion that Central was facing a dire, deteriorating financial situation?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. All right. So I will
prepared by PSE that were the basis of the
Phase 2 report -- I shouldn't say the basis.
They were just -- the financial information
that's presented in there -- and we'll probably catch up on some of that later on -- it came from a standalone forecast that was prepared for Central, the assumptions that are in there, that's documented in that PSE report.

There happened to be a set of standalone assumptions and forecasts for Dawson as well that was in there.

I think in the prior discussions, you've heard some -- that they talk about the combined result, which is merely adding the two entities together and then modeling in the two major savings, one around the purchase power cost savings and another which was dealt -- dealing with compensation and benefits savings.

And when you considered those items, when you added it to the combined, that's how you get to the consolidated financial information that was then presented in that report.

MR. JARECKE: Can I interject with a question just for the clarity of the record, Mr. Degan?


|  | prior to getting to the hearing. <br> So if we have to have a discussion about discovery and documents, I would suggest we do that off the record. Counsel? <br> MR. JARECKE: That's fine. <br> MR. BRASHEAR: I'm okay with |  | ge 803 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL. Was th |
|  |  |  | for the hydro? |
|  |  |  | THE WITNESS: That was for the |
| 5 |  |  | three hydros, for Jeffrey, Johnson 1 an |
| 6 |  |  | Johnso |
|  | your clarifications. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | BY MR. DEGA |
|  | N: |  | Q Okay Just to clarify on the PPAs, |
| 10 | ESS: | 10 | we've only seen one existing PPA, and it was |
|  | thing I'd like to state. There were then two |  | for the three hydros to Kansas Power and Light |
| 2 | other confidential documents that I did review. |  | which became |
| 13 | One was the Evergy Purchase Power Contract that | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | was executed, I believe, in 2011. I was given |  | Q. -- Evergy? |
| 15 | that on a confidential |  | A. The 10-year contract, yeah. If I |
|  | And the other thing was the RFP or the |  | refer to it as Evergy because they're the |
| 17 | Request for Proposals for -- for power to be |  | surviving holding company, I think, that's now |
| 18 | purchased beginning in |  | part of Kansas City Power and Light. |
| 19 |  |  | Q. And we saw the RFP request; correct? |
| 20 | hydro? |  | A. Yes. |
|  | THE WITNESS: It was written b | 21 | Q. But we have not seen any of the RFP |
| 22 | Central, that Central sent out. |  | responses? |
| 23 | 33 I don't know who they sent it to. I only |  | A. That's correct as w |
|  | know that they sent out a Request for Proposal. |  | Q. And we've also not seen any proposed |
| 25 | 25 And I saw the content of that. It was -- in | 25 | either PPAs or term sheets regarding the future |
|  |  |  | in evidence, a copy of Exhibit 56. Page 805 |
| 1 | sale of energy from the hydros? A. That's correct. |  | in evidence, a copy of Exhibit 56. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. And so in the absence of that |  | Q. And have you reviewed this? Do you |
|  | information, it is difficult to make an |  | recognize this document? |
|  | assessment as to whether the estimates that are |  | A. I do. |
|  | contained in the modeling with respect to |  | Q. And what is it? |
|  | future power sales, we simply have to take at |  | A. It's labeled as Exhibit B to my |
|  | Central's word? |  | report that I prepared. |
| 8 9 0 | A. That's -- that's true |  | So as part of this whole proceeding, I |
| 10 | Q. And we've seen no verification that |  | prepared a report last week. It was filed the |
| 11 | would back up those numbers? |  | day before my deposition -- or not -- well, not |
|  | A. Well, and they didn't really want to |  | filed before. I think it's been now filed as |
|  | 3 talk about those. I mean, not only did they |  | part of this proceeding. But it was given to |
|  | not share the detail, that's been identified as |  | counsel, Central/Dawson counsel, before my |
|  | just something that's been confidential. When |  | deposition. |
|  | I read all the minutes, if you were to -- |  | Q. Okay. And the original spreadsheet |
| 17 | there's -- in the minutes, every time they get |  | that you prepared, was that subsequently |
| 18 | to those topics -- whether it's the merger, the |  | revised? |
| 19 | Purchase Power Contract -- they go into |  | A. It was. There was a couple minor |
|  | executive session. |  | changes. I just wanted to -- would you like m |
| 21 | So, you know, reviewing the minutes was |  | to speak to those changes? Or not yet? |
| 22 | kind of a worthless exercise on my part because |  | Q. Yes. If you can highlight what's |
| 23 | all the things I really wanted to find, it |  | different between the original spreadsheet that |
| 24 | wasn't there. |  | you prepared and the version that we are |
| 25 | Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's |  | looking at? |


| 1 |  | Page 806 <br> A. Okay. |  | it's not the one that was attached to the Page 807 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | MR. JARECKE: I'm going to |  | report. This is the revised -- |
| 3 |  | object to that question. I think we need to |  | MR. JARECKE: I understand. |
|  |  | lay some foundation for this document before we |  | MR. DEGAN: -- spreadsheet. |
|  |  | can speak to the changes. |  | MR. JARECKE: Okay. |
|  |  | MR. DEGAN: It's in evidence |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yeah, 56 |
|  |  | MR. JARECKE: The report's not |  | is in evidence. |
|  |  | in evidence. |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
|  |  | MR. DEGAN: 56 is not in |  | Q. So if you could, just walk us through |
| 10 |  | evidence? | 10 | what the changes are. |
| 11 |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: 56 I | 11 | A. Okay. So at the very top there, the |
| 12 |  | believe is. | 12 | lines where it says Central/Dawson combined, I |
| 13 |  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: It's | 13 | didn't have the Central ones and the Dawson |
| 14 |  | just this. | 14 | ones broke out separately. Being an |
| 15 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: It's | 15 | ex-auditor, I wanted to leave a nice audit |
| 16 |  | the spreadsheet | 16 | trail of adding up this is what was presented |
| 17 |  | MR. JARECKE: Yes | 17 | in the PSE report for those periods that are |
| 18 |  | MR. DEGAN: It's just the | 18 | presented here. |
| 19 |  | spreadsheet. It's not the report. |  | hen I look at what was the -- this is the |
| 20 |  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Right | 20 | bottom line change in net positio |
| 21 |  | MR. DEG |  | otherwise, sometimes referred to as net income |
| 22 |  | MR. JARECKE: Fair enough. But |  | on a -- on an income statement. But that's |
| 23 |  | it is -- Exhibit B is the exhibit to the report | 23 | what those numbers were. So you can see those |
| 24 |  | itself, is it not? | 24 | period |
| 25 |  | MR. DEGAN: It is a revised -- | 25 | There's a lot more years that are |
|  |  | presented in the PSE report. But I was trying Page 808 |  | PSE report as an alternative financial Page 809 |
|  |  | presented in the PSE report. But I was trying |  | PSE report, as an alternative financial |
|  |  | to make this a little more simpler and to -- to |  | perspective? |
|  |  | focus on the forecast periods that I thought |  | THE WITNESS: It is not an |
|  |  | were most relevant and would be important in |  | alternative. The -- the column's labeled |
|  |  | this discussion. |  | history and LRFF, future -- so that's the 2020 |
|  |  | Q. Okay. And -- |  | actual that's listed there. |
|  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I'm |  | Then the third column that's labeled |
|  |  | going to interrupt just for a moment |  | future so 2023 forecast, it says future, that |
|  |  | So this is Exhibit B of the report that |  | column, the 2024 future period and the 2030 |
| 10 |  | you prepared. Is the report -- maybe I missed | 10 | forecast future, those periods and the -- |
| 11 |  | this. Is the report in evidence? | 11 | the -- those net income numbers are in the -- |
| 12 |  | MR. JARECKE: It is no | 12 | that report. |
| 13 |  | R. DEGAN: It is not | 13 | I have not done any revised forecasts in |
| 14 |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 14 | any of the work that I have done. |
| 15 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And is | 15 | BOARD MEMBER MOEN: This data |
| 16 |  | it clear that the -- or I also understood this |  | all comes from the report? |
| 17 |  | is not actually from the -- it's a revised |  | THE WITNESS: The audite |
| 18 |  | version of this exhibit from the report. |  | financial statements on the first column, it |
| 19 |  | MR. DEGAN: Yes. |  | says 2020 audited -- |
| 20 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Is that |  | BOARD MEMBER MOEN: Okay. |
| 21 |  | right? |  | THE WITNESS: -- I prepared from |
| 22 |  | GAN: That's correct |  | Central's audited financial statements that |
| 23 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: One |  | were on the Nebraska website. |
| 24 |  | other clarification, you're comparing this, i |  | BY MR. DEGAN |
|  |  | I'm understanding correctly, to portions of the |  | Q. But to the -- the question, the |



| 1 | Q. Because by having the model, you were | 1 | And then in 2020, history and LRFF you're |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | able to pull out the data for the respective |  | comparing as a starting point the information |
| 3 | districts and to see it |  | that was in the audited financials versus the |
|  | component of it was being contributed from |  | starting point in the model; correct? |
|  | Central's data versus what portion of it was |  | A. Yes. LRFF stands for long-range |
|  | being contributed from Dawson's data; correct? |  | financial forecast. That's just an acronym |
|  | A. Yes. Because I -- again, my premise |  | that was used for that. |
| 8 | was I really wanted to understand what's |  | Q. Okay. And then we have some -- the |
|  | driving Central's financial performance or |  | years 2023 and 2024. Was there a particular |
| 10 | deterioration that I was told was happening. | 10 | reason why you chose those two years? |
| 11 | Q Yeah And and put simply, that | 11 | A. Yes. Because I knew the contract |
| 12 | you wanted to be able to verify from combined | 12 | with Evergy was expiring December 31st of 2023. |
| 13 | information whether or not there was anything | 13 | And I really wanted to see, well, was that the |
| 14 | in there that would indicate a problem at | 14 | sole reason or driver behind what maybe was |
| 15 | Central if that data was pulled out separately? | 15 | going on. |
| 16 | A. Yes. And, I mean, I also wanted to | 16 | So I wanted to really zero in on 2023, |
|  | see what was there relative to Dawson. | 17 | 2024. |
| 18 | I mean, if you are going to merge with |  | The other thing, the reason I left the |
| 19 | somebody else, you want to know what this | 19 | audited actually in here as well is when you're |
| 20 | merger partner's -- what's the key information | 20 | doing a forecast -- and I used to manage a |
| 21 | about this merger partner. | 1 | forecast process for a Fortune 500 company for |
| 22 | . Okay. And so on Exhibit 56, when your |  | a number of years. You -- it's helpful to be |
|  | completed your work, you started with audited |  | grounded in the actuals. |
| 25 | financial information. That's the far left |  | So the auditor in me said, okay, if |
|  | column for 2020. | 25 | they're starting their forecast and looking |
|  | forward from 2020 in their model, okay, ${ }^{\text {Page }} \mathrm{I}$ ('m ${ }^{816}$ |  | position even for Central, there's a $\$ 2$ million ${ }^{\text {Page }} 8$ |
| 2 | going to pull out the audited financial |  | difference, 8.2 million versus 6.1 million for |
| 3 | statements and see if I can see that it agrees. |  | 2020. I don't know why that difference exists. |
| 4 | And what I did find, though, was there's |  | I mean, those two things were, you know, |
|  | some differences. And I don't care about small |  | bigger differences than I thought. |
|  | dollar differences, to be honest with you. |  | You can see some things agree. |
|  | This is just a forecast and whatever else. I |  | Depreciation agrees exactly. And I -- and I |
| 8 | mean, there can be modeling errors. |  | didn't see differences for Dawson. And I |
|  | But I don't understand why net position, |  | suspect that that's because Dawson uses these |
| 10 | which is essentially equity, is -- there's a | 10 | models for their own basis. |
| 11 | difference of almost \$20 million. I don't know | 11 | And so when the consultant was grabbing |
| 12 | why. I'm just -- but that's the way it is in | 12 | Central information, Central's not using this |
| 13 | the model. | 13 | model because it's a -- it's a rural electric |
| 14 | And there's some other minor differences. | 14 | utility. I don't know if it came out of -- |
| 15 | I mean, net income even for the -- excuse me, | 15 | it's a model that I think gets used by a lot of |
| 16 | I -- I used net income. If I use the word net | 16 | public power entities here in Nebraska. So it |
| 17 | income, it's because I've been working with | 17 | made sense that, you know, Dawson was nearly |
| 18 | public companies for so many years that to | 18 | identical. |
| 19 | speak to change in net position as being the |  | Q. Okay. Well, you mentioned that you |
| 20 | same thing as net income. |  | pulled out 2023 and 2024 because you were |
| 21 | So I'll try to use net position, change in |  | looking to see whether or not rolling off the |
| 22 | net position consistent with the financial | 22 | PPAs had triggered some sort of a significant |
| 23 | statements here. But I -- I might make a |  | change in Central's forward projections; is |
| 24 | mistake. |  | that true? |
| 25 | So -- but you can see that change in that | 25 | A. That's correct. |


| Page 818 <br> Q. And, again, because you were told by |  |  | Q. -- referred to a letter out there ${ }^{\text {Page } 819}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. Nelson that there was a deteriorating |  | from Central indicating a deteriorating |
| 3 | 3 financial statement, so that's what you were |  | financial condition, is it that letter? |
|  | looking for; right? |  | A. Yes |
|  | 5 A. Yes. But it wasn't even jus |  | Q. Exhibit 47? |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ discussion with Mr. Nelson because if you look |  | Y |
|  | at the responses, there's letters that Central |  | Q. Okay. But back on your model, |
|  | 8 wrote back to explain the reasoning behind the |  | Exhibit 56, did you ever discover any financial |
|  | merger, there's documentation in that -- those |  | deterioration in any of your analysis? |
| 10 | ${ }^{0}$ same communications that reference a | 10 | A. Well, you can see that in the change |
| $11$ | deteriorating financial statement and rate | 11 | in net position, even from 2023, if you look at |
| 12 | instability and the kinds of things that, you | 12 | that line item and go from the left side -- for |
| 13 | know, I guess I wanted to see if I'm looking at | 13 | Central, the second to the bottom line in that |
| 14 | the forecasted financial information | 4 | middle caption there in between the blue lines, |
| 15 | hat I'm referring to? | 15 | you can see that they have a forecast of a loss |
| 16 | Q. Are you referring to Exhibit 47? Is | 16 | of 1 point -- I'm just going to round, 1.3 |
| 17 | it this letter? |  | million. I'm used to dealing with numbers in |
| 18 | 8 A. Yes, Octo |  | millions in my financial reporting. |
| 19 | Q. And as a Central customer, did you | 19 | Q. Okay. But to be clear, you're |
| 20 | receive that lette | 20 | referring to the balance sheet, change in net |
| 21 | A. I did not. I was -- this letter was |  | position? |
| $22$ | provided to me by, I believe, Rob McCormick. |  | A. No. I'm -- the income statement line |
| 23 | Q. Okay. But I just want to clarify the | 3 | item, the change in net positio |
| $24$ | record when you -- | 24 | Q. Okay. |
| 25 | 5 A. Yeah. | 25 | A. -- the second from the bottom of the |
|  | $\text { Central information within the income Page } 820$ |  |  |
|  | 2 statement. And if you go to 2030, you can see |  |  |
|  | 3 that there is a loss in the forecast in 2030. |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
|  | Q. Now, I want to stop you there and |  | Q. And I want to stick on '30 |
|  | have you turn to the Phase 2 report, Exhibit |  | A. Yeah. |
|  | 6 22, and look at Table 23 on page 50. |  | Q. Because '30's |
|  | 7 A. Okay. |  | negative change in net position; would you |
|  | Q. Is that the same number? Or do the |  | agree? |
|  | two numbers agree? Your change in net position |  | A. In the model, I believe it actually |
| 10 | 02030 on Exhibit 56, does that agree with change | 10 | starts the year before that. I don't have that |
| 11 | in net position between Exhibit 56 and Table | 11 | in front of me. |
| 12 | 23 ? | 12 | Q. Okay. |
| 13 | A. Yeah, in fact, all three numbers | 13 | A. But I -- I think there's a small loss |
| 14 | agree, the forecasted information for '23, '24 |  | in 2029. |
| 15 | and '30. | 15 | Q. All right. But change in ne |
| 16 | Q. Okay |  | position, that's going to include noncash |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Is this | 17 | charges like depreciation? |
| 18 | Table 23 you said? | 18 | A. It definitely does, yes. |
| 19 | MR. DEGAN: Table 23 of the | 19 | Q. Okay. And a depreciation charge, |
| 20 | report, yes. | 20 | because it's noncash, it doesn't deprive the |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: On page |  | entity of the use of cash from operations for |
| 22 | 50? |  | whatever purposes it deems fit; agreed? |
| 23 | R. DEGAN: On page 50. |  | A. That's correct. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 24 | Q. Okay. So when you revised |
| 25 | A. There is no -- yeah, there's 22 . So |  | 56, did you undertake an effort in order to |


| reflect adding depreciation back in to show what Central's forecast would actually be at least from an operating cash perspective? <br> A. Yes. There -- there is no cash flow information that was in the model. I thought there might be when I got it. <br> And in lieu of having a cash flow forecast where I could have visibility to operating cash flows, investing cash flows, financing cash flows, I just wanted to zero in on an -- a proxy of operating cash flows. <br> So I added back the depreciation, created the line item that's the -- directly below change in net in -- position which is change in net position excluding depreciation. <br> Q. And once we add depreciation back in, then what are we looking at for an approximation of cash from operations -- and understanding it's an approximation because you don't have a cash flow model. If we add depreciation back in, where would Central end up in 2030 pursuant to this model? <br> A. Essentially rounding to $\$ 4.0$ million. <br> Q. Okay. And you can't see that from |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

25 the report because the -- there's -- it just
Q. And just to be clear, this -- we're strictly talking about operating revenues?
We're not talking about dipping into the reserve fund?
A. That's correct. Although, we can
kind of see some of that information in the
balance sheet information here when we get to that.
Q. But I -- I want to stay on the -- on
the income statement that we're talking about revenues and operating revenues?
A. An income statement would not reflect
any withdrawals from a reserve fund or
investments. That's a -- that would have been in the cash flow statement but not on an income statement.
Q. But it would show up in the -- in the balance?
A. It would show up on the balance sheet. And that -- that is presented here on my exhibit.
Q. Before we turn to the balance sheet, is -- does gross revenues have any meaning if you're looking at a potential merger partner?
A. Well, gross revenues is one element.

Tows Page 823 shows the -- that change in net position; correct?
A. That's correct. And they don't show -- well, they show all the years. But, also, they don't show an aggregate.

So I created an extra column on here that was 2024 to 2030 because, you know, I don't just care about one year. I really wanted to see, what's the cumulative results of this entire period with a new contract that was going to be in effect, is that -- was it the contract that was having that much of an impact and what I saw when I added up the seven years, in other words, calendars 2024 to 2030 in the forecast, that even change in net position was still a net positive $\$ 432,000$ for those seven years.

So they were not -- while they might have been losing money at the end of that period in that forecast, over the seven-year period, it was essentially break even.

And if you looked at change in net position, excluding depreciation then for that same seven-year period, it was $\$ 35$ million positive.

MR. JARECKE: Can I just ask you to -- I'm confused if we're now talking about a private utilities merging or public utilities? BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Well, We'll start with your particular experience with a investor-owned utility.
A. Well, I think for both, whether it's an invest -- a merger of investor-owned utilities or public power entities, operating revenues is still just one element of consideration when you're looking at the entity and its financial performance.
Q. You've been here through the testimony since the hearings began?
A. I have been. I sat through the last two days. They were totally enjoyable.
Q. And I apologize, I've got to take a step back before we leave this issue.

But throughout your analysis, did you ever find anything indicative of a deterioration in either gross revenues or cash available for operations based on everything that you've looked at?
A. Well, you can see that revenues drop
from 2023 Page 826
to 2024 , and I can see within the
model on a confidential basis how much of
that -- those revenues was attributed to an
assumption that's made around a Purchase Power
Contract in terms of lower revenues.
Again, this is all Central on a standalone
basis assuming it's going forward. So you --
that was -- was visible.
And so you're just seeing the summary
result here.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: When you say the revenues drop, which line is that?

THE WITNESS: So if you were to
look at in 2023, operating revenues are 21.9
million in 2023 forecast. And they drop to
20.3 million.

Now, I'm not saying that's all related to
the -- any change in wholesale power contract.
But it's a driver.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: That's
the line you're talking about is operating
revenues?
THE WITNESS: That's the line
I'm talking about, operating revenues.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
water can I run through those generators.
And so, yes, there has -- was
significant -- I think when they talked about
historically, you know, you have good years and
bad years. That's what I heard. You know, you
have dry years and wet years.
And, you know, Central has -- has lived with that volatility over the years. And you can see how much over the years they've still retained in terms of growing investments, through still managing their financials, I think relatively conservatively. I have to be positive to the way Central has done that.

But, you know, a lot of companies don't have that volatility. And I think if you presented the Central -- or the Dawson information, it's a regulated utility that customers -- that -- you know, the use per customer, the amount of sales, sure, weather drives things. Customer growth drives things.
But for the most part, revenues are a lot more stable in a -- in a retail distribution utility.
Q. Okay. So initially --

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Can I

BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. And if you were here for prior
testimony, do you recall the slide showing the
revenue curve that Central has seen
historically for the revenues from the sale of its hydropower?
A. I can honestly say that I didn't see it. But I heard it because it was only presented up here. And I was sitting in the back row.

But as it was described and -- and -- and I'll just -- what I heard was that, you know, revenues change a lot from one year to the other. I think that's what I would have expected. I've seen it in its existing financial statements.

And the primary driver of those operating -- wholesale sales revenues, there's really two things that drive it, what are my sales and what's the price of that energy that's being sold.

And we know that in terms of how much water we have in the hydroelectric generating facility, that's what really drives how much generation can I get out of this, how much ask -- can I ask a question?

When you did your analysis yesterday,
the -- I believe it was the manager for
Central, in Exhibit 21, page -- our page 52,
there's a line that talks about the power not
sold externally. And so that's a $\$ 32$ million line item.

He indicated that that was actually a PPA
bit. Would you consider that within your
analysis, that that would be money that they
would be getting from a -- potentially from a
PPA that was offered?
THE WITNESS: So I'm not sure
which page -- which table you referenced. But
if I look at Table 18 that's -- what is this
exhibit?
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: This would be Exhibit 21.

THE WITNESS: Is this Exhibit
$21 ?$
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: The page number that I have is --

MS. KREIFELS: It is not.
THE WITNESS: -- 52.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: It's

|  | the same table. <br> MR. DEGAN: It's the same name. <br> BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Combined -combined versus consolidated net income impact areas. |  | Page 831 <br> marketing that power to somebody. It could |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | have been Dawson under a PPA. I d |
| 3 |  |  | Doesn't matter. But it was that they were |
|  |  |  | selling that power probably under a PPA of some |
| 5 |  |  | structure. That was the assumption |
|  | THE WITNESS: Yes. So that line |  | the consolidated model, what was the |
|  | item that you reference the total savings |  | way it was presented, as I understand it, was |
|  | from -- it's really 2024 to 2030 there, of the |  | that on a consolidated basis, you were going to |
|  | 32,589,341? |  | take the costs associated with operating that |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: | 10 | generation facility and that would be allocated |
| 11 | That would be the opportunity |  | to your retail distribution utility -- that's |
| 12 | taking a PPA that was offere | 12 | the generation, all the cost of that |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: | 13 | generation -- and that, yes, you would not be |
| 14 | because in th | 14 | able to sell that power because it was being |
| 15 | talking about using the Jeffrey generation |  | used as part of the utility operations on the |
|  | provide that 10 percent carveout of renewable |  | distribution side of your business. |
|  | carveout under the contract that Dawson ha |  | And so here, what you were seeing in this |
| 18 | with NPP |  | table is the power cost savings that were |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Okay. So I |  | calculated, estimated in this PSE report that |
|  | guess my question is, did you consider that in |  | was driven because the purchase power costs |
|  | your analysis, that potentially they could have |  | that Dawson was going to pay NPPD post -- and |
| 22 | had -- or could have that as cash flow? |  | whether it -- you know, it happened in 2024 or |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: When I'm looking |  | really was a year later, I don't know how the |
| 24 | at Central on a standalone basis, I'm seeing |  | contract works because I don't have that |
| 25 | forecast data that still assumes Central is | 25 | information, but essentially they would be |
|  | $\text { Page } 832$ |  | BY MR. DEGAN: Page 833 |
|  |  |  | B Y MR. D |
|  | NPPD and then incurring -- they would have the |  | your |
|  | generation costs from Jeffrey as that's wher |  | was to look to see if you could find some |
| 4 | they were going to be able to meet the demand, |  | evidence of a financial need for Central to |
|  | the kilowatt hour consumption of their |  | merge. |
| 6 | customers. |  | And you came to the conclusion, after |
|  | BY MR. DEGAN |  | looking at all the data that you could get your |
|  | Q. Are you aware of any reason why |  | hands on, what conclusion did you come to? |
|  | Dawson and Central couldn't agree to sell the |  | A. That I did not see a significant |
| 10 | power from Jeffrey on a PPA basis? |  | financial deterioration of Central and that -- |
| 11 | A. I'm -- that -- PPAs are standard |  | in other words, there was -- if that was the |
|  | the industry. They're done all the time ove |  | primary reason for this merger to happen, that |
|  | different periods of time. I would have |  | it did not exist. |
| 14 | thought that's the easiest way to have |  | Q. Okay. Did you also review the Phase |
| 15 | delivered and achieved some saving |  | 2 report that was issued by PSE, Exhibit 22? |
|  | MR. JARECKE: I'm going |  | A. I did. It was, like, 100 pages long. |
|  | object to the narrative of this response. It |  | And initially when I -- my preliminary |
| 18 | was a simply yes or no, if you're aware of a |  | results were only just looking at the |
| 19 | reason, no. |  | highlights, but when I was fully engaged, I had |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I guess, |  | to go through the whole thing. |
| 21 | first, if you could answer whether you -- the |  | Q. After reviewing that report, did you |
|  | question -- |  | come to the conclusion that there was any |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: The answer is, no, |  | meaningful benefit to Central and strictly from |
| 24 | I was not aware of a reason that they could not |  | Central's perspective? |
| 25 | do a PPA. |  | MR. JARECKE: I have to object |


|  | to the leading form of this question. We're | 1 | Page 835 <br> MR. DEGAN: No, they do not. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | going to have to let this witness testify | 2 | ) |
| 3 | BY MR. DEGAN |  | certainly do. |
|  | Q. What conclusions did you come to |  | And in term |
|  | after reviewing the repo |  | without any underlying questions is, I believe, |
|  | MR. JARECKE: Now I have to |  | not something the hearing officer would find |
|  | object to the form. I really don't understand |  | use |
| 8 | the scope of your question. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Well, |
|  | I think the witness has already testified |  | it's what the board would find use |
| 10 | his task was to see if there was deterioration. | 10 | let quite a bit -- I mean, |
| 11 | He's already testified that he did not find |  | there's been quite a bit of leading questions |
| 12 | from his viewpoint deterioration of Central. |  | throughout the hearing yesterday. I don't know |
| 13 | EG | 13 | that they were to expert witnesses. |
| 14 | RECKE: There | 14 | But, you know, I am allowing some fair |
| 1 | there's been no questioning, no foundation |  | amount of latitude on leading questions. I |
| 16 | whatsoever that he has had any other task. |  | know there were a lot of them yesterday. I |
| 17 | MR. DEGAN: As counsel pointed | 17 | will allow some latitude with the expert |
| 18 | out yesterday, the rules of evidence do not | 8 | witness. I don't know that they were expert |
| $19$ | apply to these proceedings. They've not been | 19 | testimony yesterday. |
| 20 | invoked. So the question is whether or not the |  | But certainly as a professional, |
| 21 | information is helpful to the board. | 21 | Mr. Wendell needs to answer the question first |
| 22 | MR. JARECKE: Rules of evidence |  | to foundation, and then he can give his |
| $23$ | don't apply. But the usefulness of the |  | opinion. If the scope is too broad, Central's |
|  | Schafersman Daubert rules do apply to this |  | welcome to object to that. |
| 25 | hearing. | 25 | But I think I'm going to allow some |
|  | latitude on leading questions, trust Page 836 |  | the 11.4 million, of which the 6.7 million wase 837 |
| 2 | Mr . Wendell to answer correctly and if it' |  | related to generation and power savings. That |
| 3 | whether it's leading or not, he has to answer |  | subtotal's there in Table 18. And then the 4.7 |
| 4 | correctly and accurately. |  | million for employee and benefits savings that |
| 5 | So I'll let it go with that |  | were on a combined basis. |
| 6 | BY MR. DEGAN |  | And then if you go to Table 19, there is |
|  | Q. Okay. Mr. Wendell, let's start with |  | an allocation of that savings between the |
|  | did you review in depth the Phase 2 report? |  | retail electric savings and the water savings |
| 9 | A. I did. |  | of being the 8.2 million there, the total -- |
| 10 | Q. And what benefits to the parties a | 10 | this is on page 44, Table 19. And then 3.2 |
| 11 | identified in Phase 2? |  | million for water resources. |
| 12 | A. There are really | 12 | I believe water resources is intended to |
| 13 | focuses on two items, the power cost savings |  | be Central's operations. You know, Central is |
| 14 | and employee and benefit savings opportunities. |  | an irrigation company. Dawson is an electric |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And what were those |  | utility. And so that's -- I think they were |
|  | conclusions? |  | fairly consistent throughout this document how |
| 17 | A. The conclusion |  | they were dealing with that. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 19 | you're talking in the report? |  | Q. Okay. |
| 20 | MR. DEGAN: In the report. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I'm just |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | going to make one clarification. |
| 22 | A. In this report, the total savings |  | We've been using the word company |
|  | that we were just on Table 18, and so -- and I |  | throughout the hearing. They're actually |
| 24 | think these numbers have been thrown around a |  | districts. But I'm allowing the company. I |
|  | long time, the $11-$ overall total savings of |  | think we all know what it means. But I would |


| 1 | Page 838 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | clarify they aren't actually private companies. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I'll try to use |
| 4 | the word districts. |
| 5 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: It's |
| 6 | okay. Lots of people before you have used |
| 7 | company. I just want to clarify for the |
| 8 | record, they're actually political subdivisions |
| 9 | of the state and they're districts. But we all |
| 10 | know what it means. So I'm not going to |
| 11 | address it again. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: I'll try to refer |
| 13 | to them as either Central or Dawson. Maybe |
| 14 | that's the easier way to think about it. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I just |
| 16 | want to clarify, they're not a private company. |
| 17 | I think we all know that. We can move forward. |
| 18 | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 19 | Q. Was there any items of financial |
| 20 | diligence that you would have expected to have |
| 21 | been done that you do not see either reflected |
| in the Phase 2 report or in the modeling that |  |
| 22 | you've examined? |
| 23 | MR. JARECKE: I have to object |
| 24 | to the form of the question. |
| 25 | Again, I think all of his experience as to |

types of public entities?

THE WITNESS: Or other public
entities, I have not dealt with a merger of those entities. That's correct.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
And could you repeat the question?
MR. DEGAN: Well, let me -- I'll
lay some additional foundation.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. I'm asking you whether or not from a financial diligence standpoint, not governance, not whether a merger is a good idea or bad idea. Is there any difference when you're doing financial diligence between a private entity or a public district?
A. I think they both -- you would want to do some due diligence so that -- doesn't matter who it is. And -- I thought he was objecting.

MR. JARECKE: No.
THE WITNESS: I was prepared for your objection, whatever it was.

MR. JARECKE: Not at all.
A. I lose track of my train of thought.

So, you know, due diligence is -- is
your question relates to private utilities. If Page 839 your question relates to private utilities. If
that's the question, what would you expect to
see when private utilities are undertaking this
examination, he can answer that.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I think
he testified that he has dealt with public
utilities and mergers in those -- in that area, too.

Have you not?
MR. JARECKE: I do not believe
so.
THE WITNESS: I said -- I've
stated, I believe, that my public accounting experience of 11 years with Arthur Andersen dealt with a number of different types of entities that make up the utility industry, including investor-owned utilities, public power entities, independent power generators, both gas and electric distribution utilities.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Have you dealt with mergers of public entities?

THE WITNESS: I have not dealt with the merger of two public power districts. I have not.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Or other
discussed in the accounting and financial
reporting function. It doesn't distinguish
between -- you know, that I should do some
degree of due diligence different from a
district or a public power entity versus two investor-owned utilities.

It's doing what you need to do from a
reasonable business perspective to -- so that
you can gather the information to reach a
conclusion that -- that if it was a merger,
that this merger was in the best interest of
both entities or each individual -- entity individually.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So is it your -- your opinion and belief as a professional that the process of review for whether it's public or private would be largely the same, although some of the -- there's not shareholders, there's some differences, but the process of review would be the same?

THE WITNESS: The effort for due diligence should be -- there would be a lot of similarities.

I would expect that for two large
investor-owned utilities, it might be more --
it -- would likely be done confidentially. Page 842
I can assure you the two mergers that I
was involved with, all due diligence before you announced a merger was all done in secret by a handful of the people on a confidential basis.

That's not really the case here because --
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: But is
the process for -- sorry to cut you off. But is the process for review, do you know, would
it largely be the same from a financial
accounting standpoint whether it's public or private entities?

THE WITNESS: I would say the process is basically the same. It's the scope of that review probably still does change a little bit.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And there's different facts that come in because there's not shareholders with public. I understand that.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I'm just
wondering if the process would really be any different.

THE WITNESS: I don't think the
knew that there was some discussion as to
whether you were able to get PPAs and that sort
of thing. So I asked him if that was an actual
offer. And he indicated that that was an offer.

So had they accepted that so you'd have a
PPA instead of a merger, would -- would Central
still be financially stable and -- and viable?
THE WITNESS: I think it would
have been stable and viable, and it's presented
that way in the individual forecast of Central on a standalone basis.

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Thank you.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Okay. And maybe to clarify that
point, this $32,589,341$ in the total savings
column, that's in this model as part of
combined net income; correct?
A. Yeah. It's the assumed revenues from the sale of power from Jeffrey in the -- on -in the model.
Q. Right. And that's all -- you know, because it is a little bit confusing. But the top line combined net income, that assumes there is no merger and both entities continue
press . . Page 843
process is -- is really any different. I think
it's the scope.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay
Mr. Degan.

MR. DEGAN: Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I'm going
to go back to my original question, which I
think is in my mind simple but probably not.
If Central had accepted the PPA of
$32,900-589,341$ in lieu of going through a
merger and they're flush with cash right now --
my understanding, 100 mill -- if they had
accepted that PPA, would they still have financial stability?

THE WITNESS: I don't know that there was an offer of a PPA for that exact million. But that 32 million that you referenced is the revenue that's in the financial model. And so the results that I summarized do present that I don't have a financial situation, and they reflected those revenues. Does that make sense?

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Well, yesterday I asked the question of the GM for Central if they actually got a -- because I operating independently; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And so --
A. Well, it's just adding the two
entities together.
Q. But it assumes --
A. Yeah, in an effort to try to present
a consolidated result.
Q. But the numbers assume that the districts are operating independently?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And we don't know how that $32,589,341$ is derived, but it -- it's being indicated as a savings item and being deducted out of the combined net income; right?
A. Yeah. It's the revenue that's reduced in the model --
Q. Okay.
A. -- on a consolidated basis.
Q. So I think Chairman Reida was looking for clarification that --
A. Correct.
Q. -- that number is assumed in the model and in your analysis?

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Right. So


```
in 2020 but 10 years later, I'm at \(45--145.5\) Page 850
million, I'm still in a very good financial
position.
Q. Okay. And you testified that the
finding that was made in Exhibit 2 is that the
districts could save \(\$ 11.3\) million over the
period of 2023 to 2030 by virtue of
consolidating; is that right?
A. Yeah. You said Exhibit 2?
Q. Exhibit 22. I apologize.
A. Oh, which is the MS -- the PSE
report?
Q. Correct.
A. Yes, yes, that's correct.
Q. And those savings are derived from
what two sources? Where are those savings coming from?
A. Well, the power savings which we've talked about and has been extensively discussed throughout this whole proceeding of how you use the generation from Jeffrey to serve your retail load, which is the 6.7 million --
Q. And what's the other component?
A. And then employee compensation and benefits, which is a 4.7 million, which I
```

that you were just going to have lower -- 11 Page 852
lower employees and you had some escalation.
And I believe in Central's model, I mean,
as you looked at the forecast, they talked
through what were the labor assumptions in the
base model. And then these are the savings
related to that.
Q. Okay. Well -HEARING OFFICER TEXEL:
They're --
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. -- you testified that the benefits that could purportedly be derived from entering into an arrangement between Central and Dawson for the energy that's going to become available from Jeffrey, that could be accomplished through a Power Purchase Agreement?
A. I believe it could, yes.
Q. Okay. So assuming that the parties could come to an agreement that was acceptable to both sides; right?
A. Just need to negotiate one.
Q. Okay. Well, so having concluded that there was not a financial need or emergency that would drive a consolidation and having
believe Page 851 believe it's been described is that you were going to have attrition of about 11 employees over the next three to four years after the merger, so you were going to have compensation savings from the reduction of those 11 employees, at least by the end of the period.

And then the benefits equalization is -is really a -- your plan, as I understood it, was they would have to merge some benefit plans and that -- I believe it's even described that Central's benefit plans are not as -- they're lower cost than what Dawson's benefit plans were. And, therefore, the -- there would be incremental costs of putting these benefit plans together for your employee base.

And so benefits equalization is really an increase of benefits expenses, not -- and that's why it's negative here in Table 18.

You're seeing staff changes, savings, which is the lower employees. And with regards to compensation levels, I understand it from the testimony is they haven't actually figured that one out yet, as to whether or not there would be any compensation adjustments to any current employees. So the assumption here was concluded that whatever benefits could be derived from making the energy from Jeffrey available to Dawson through a PPA, as a customer of Central, in your mind, was the 5 benefits and cost savings from consolidating these two entities a justification or sufficient to make the consolidation make sense in your mind?
A. Well, savings of $\$ 11$ million over this entire period is not a very significant number in the first place.

We haven't figured out how we're going to allocate those savings as well. So there's uncertainty about how that would happen.

And I'm not proposing any specific methods. I'm taking the assumptions that are embedded in this report as being a valid way that I might allocate them.

But that's -- and I think other people have testified, you know, I'm not doing this merger because there's a lot of savings here.
Q. Well, I'm just asking in your opinion, as a customer, would the savings -and I'm only -- I'm not talking about the power savings from Jeffrey. If we assume those could

| 1 | Pe -- could be delivered through a Power ${ }^{85}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Purchase Agreement rather than a consolidation, |
| 3 | would the benefits and cost savings on the |
| 4 | administrative side, would they be worth it |
| 5 | of -- for purposes of justifying a |
| 6 | consolidation in your mind? |
| 7 | A. Well, they're not. |
| 8 | And I -- there's another big |
| 9 | consideration, which is what are all the costs | of this merger. And those have not been presented.

And having lived through a couple of mergers, it's not zero. So even though we're talking about one item of savings around compensation and benefits, I don't know -there's a lot of information I still wish that I knew.
Q. Well, on that diligence piece, do you see anywhere in the Phase 2 report where any potential risks or potential complicating factors are identified?
A. There's some, only I would just say a little bit of discussion around that.

For example, for Central, there's a reference to a transmission matter of Dawson
was engaged on a combined basis for the two
entities, and if I assume that they did exactly
what they were asked to do -- I never saw the
Request for Proposal to understand the full
scope of what PSE was asked to do. But if I
look at this result and they did everything
they were asked to do, they were really looking
at maybe the viability of a merger, if that's
the word that was correct, and evaluation of two specific benefits, some -- doing some surveys of some board members.

And beyond that, I -- it's -- there wasn't anything else that really jumped out at me.

But it was not that they were asked to do due diligence.

And I've heard a couple of the things where, yes, there was some due diligence around evaluating the legality, could we merge. And it's, like, okay, that was done through some attorneys. I wouldn't expect PSE -- these are engineers. So I wouldn't have expected them to do that.

But I don't know what -- you know, what due diligence was completed.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
that -- of transformers and not -- didn't know
what was the -- you know, there was -- it was,
like, there's a transformer problem. And the
report just says there's a problem. And I'm not aware of any followup that said, oh, yeah, that's not a risk.

Is there a risk there or not? I don't know. But it's flagged in this report as being something that I would have liked to have followed up on.
Q. Well, in fact, there's a -- this report reflects the results of a survey that was presented to the directors and managers of the two districts where they were asked to identify potential benefits and potential complications of the consolidation?
A. Yeah. There is survey information. Although, survey information just is -- these are some what do people think, are they aware of this risk.

It's not the due diligence of evaluating necessarily those risks. And that's not documented in this report.

And I don't think that was within the scope of PSE to be doing due diligence. PSE

MR. DEGAN: Your witness. MR. JARECKE: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JARECKE:
Q. Mr. Wendell, your time spent in the private utility sector was -- I know you played several different roles. But you were an auditor; is that a fair statement?
A. I was an auditor and a consultant.

Prior to Sarbanes-Oxley happening, probably half of my work was consulting work in the utility industry.
Q. Okay. And -- but with -specifically to the two mergers that you talked about under your Xcel experience, you were not part of the decisionmaking process whether or not the merger should go forward or not?
A. I was not asked to make a decision in that merger. I was a worker bee. I observed a lot of that stuff. And I saw the results. And it was done prior to the merger being announced and during the entire two-year period that it still took for -- well, one- to two-year period, depending which merger it took, to actually effect each of those mergers.

| 1 | Q. And I'm going to simplify and call them Xcel if that's okay for the simplicity of the title. I understand they went through name variations over the time. <br> A. That's fine. I typically refer to |  | external auditors if the historical documents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | are accurate as opposed to try to do all that |
|  |  |  | yo |
|  |  |  | There are forecasts. So without a doubt, |
|  |  |  | there were 5-year forecasts, mayb |
|  | them as that way. Xcel and its predecessorcompanies. |  | forecasts that were prepared -- that each |
|  |  |  | company manages, and when they merged, they |
|  |  |  | would have shared that information in a |
|  |  |  | restricted, confiden |
| 10 | somebody in your role and a larger staff that | 0 | and discussed that information amongst the |
| 11 | would be examining those numbers is first |  | merger teams. |
| 12 | looking to verify the accuracy of those |  | Q. But the purpose of your forecasting |
| 13 | number |  | in that setting with a privately owned utility |
| 14 | A. From a forecasting perspective, yes. |  | is ultimately to see if we can increase or |
| 15 |  | 15 | bring a better return to the shareholder? |
| $16$ | did. And that's my background as a financi |  | A. Not really. I think it's -- you |
| 17 | planning, an executive as a vice president |  | know, you're make -- I presented to the board |
| 18 | And I had responsibilities for all the modeling | 18 | of directors of forecasts, and what I will tell |
| 19 | and forecasting of Xcel Energy on a |  | you is the decisions that were made before a |
| $20$ | corpor |  | Fortune 500 company are based on the forecasts, |
| 21 | , |  | not based on the historical results. And so |
| 22 | merger, you're going to look at the h |  | that information was -- it had to be vetted. |
|  | documents, make certain that the histor | 23 | I worked with not just a couple finance |
|  | documents are accurate? <br> A. Yeah. I think you rely a lot on |  | people to throw together some numbers. I me the assumptions are owned by other executive |
|  | $\text { throughout the company. It's a pretty } \begin{aligned} & \text { Page } 860 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Page 861 response to that question, shareholder return? |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 | broad-based, comprehensive process to flesh |  | A. Shareholder return, it was the |
|  | out, to have confidence that your financial |  | primary objective. But I don't know that we |
| 4 | forecasts are accurate to the degree that they |  | talked specifically about forecasting only. |
|  | would still be expected to be accurate. It's |  | Q. No. And I'm not narrowing |
| 5 | just a forecast, thoug |  | question. |
| j | Q. Absolutely. And, Mr. Wendell, I'm |  | A. Okay. |
|  | not disputing any of that. |  | Q. But, again, you wouldn't -- two |
|  | But the reason for tha |  | companies wouldn't consider merging if |
| 10 | accountability that you and those executives |  | thought it would lower the results for the |
| 11 | would have is ultimately to bring a better |  | shareholders. You wouldn't consider that |
|  | return to your shareholder? |  | merger? |
| 3 | 3 A. It's not solely to bring a retur |  | A. No |
| 14 | shareholders. I mean, you -- you're managing |  | you're going to bring in the synergy savings |
| 15 | all kinds of things. |  | you know, and -- and there was a lot more |
| 16 | That happens to be a pretty high objectiv |  | comprehensive what are we going to do on a |
| 17 | is that as a Fortune 500 company, you're -- |  | merged basis. |
| 18 | you -- you want to earn a return, and you're |  | And in our mergers -- and I was fa |
| 19 | going to have a dividend, and you're going to |  | with it -- we're talking about merging two |
| 20 | dividend that back. |  | electric utilities, electric and gas utilities |
| 21 |  |  | where you had combined operations that wer |
| 22 | think it's a lot broader than what you'r |  | being done twice and how can I consolidate |
| 23 | saying. |  | those operations, achieve those saving |
| 24 |  |  | And that's not present or disclosed |
| 25 |  |  | this merger. This is a merger of an irrigation |


company -- and its operations are going to
continue pretty much as they've described it --
and a distribution company. And then we have
even the way the management is is to be kind of
separate operating those so --
Q. You just described Central as an irrigation company. And you did also on direct examination.

But, in fact, isn't Central a public power district with additional --
A. It is a public power district that provides water resources services.

It manages and has irrigation customers.
It operates a canal system and dams to create generation that it -- that it obtains some revenue to support its ongoing operations.

It operates the canals to recharge the groundwater.

It has lakes for recreation.
It manages water that helps with wildlife and those kinds of things.

And I think if you were to look at the mission statement of Central, those are all covered in that mission statement. And that's what I believe Central is.
Q. Okay. But from an internal
accounting standpoint, the $\$ 32$ million doesn't
actually go away? Dawson or at least the
financial component will still pay the
equivalency of that same amount to Dawson -- or
to the combined entity? It doesn't simply disappear?
A. Well --
Q. They're not getting the power for free?
A. It's not clear how -- what they're
going to actually pay for the power, if I
understand this transaction correctly.
Q. Correct. But when you looked at the model and you looked at the forecasting, as you testified to, they utilized a lower number based upon --
A. They -- they -- on the consolidated basis, they were forecasting lower wholesale sales, yes.
Q. Correct.

But then they utilized that same number -and, again, we won't get into the specifics of the number -- but that same number which is utilized in the assumption as to what this
Q. Very much agree.

And, again, as we've seen through the prior testimony and the prior exhibits, the largest source of their revenue is, in fact, from the production of electricity?
A. By design from its initial years, yes.
Q. So when we talk about -- again, you talked about -- jump to actually Chairman Reida's questions.

Chairman Reida, in utilization of Exhibit 21, a couple of times in his questions to you, identified the 32 million -- we'll round it off to that -- as a lost opportunity cost.

Again, you're a CPA. You're an auditor. The $\$ 32$ million in the lost opportunity cost, did you understand Director Reida's question to mean that $\$ 32$ million went away? Did you respond to that question with that?
A. I thought that's how I responded to it.

It was the revenues that were forecast that I was going to forego because the generation that created those revenues was going to be used by Dawson.
combined unit would bring in in terms of revenue?
A. I think that's correct.
Q. Okay. So, again, to Mr. Reida's
question, it's not -- to simply say it's a lost opportunity cost for $\$ 32$ million is not really accurate. There's going to be a large sum of money, approximately equivalency of this $\$ 32$ million, that still flows into the books?
A. Yeah, I think you would -- the way I would view it is there's a positive opportunity along with a lost opportunity, if you're going to -- because the only way you can think about this is on a -- in aggregate, which is the lower -- the lower revenues but lower purchase power costs. I mean, that's how I look at it --
Q. I understand. That's a fair summary.

So in terms of you -- and you also said -and I believe others have testified ahead of you -- if it was truly about trying to save \$11 million, we wouldn't do it. I mean, that wouldn't be a big enough return to really move the needle forward, private utilities or maybe for public utilities if that was purely the
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industrial? There are going to be different rates within those classes?
A. They are.
Q. Absolutely. And you know that from your experience?
A. Yeah. Doesn't matter whether I'm an investor-owned utility or public power, they all have different rate structures.
Q. Absolutely. And, again, let's -again, what these directors are responsible for -- whether it be Central, Dawson or this combined unit of Platte River -- that ratemaking authority falls on them?
A. The board is responsible for -management is probably responsible for evaluating cost of service rates, making a proposal to the board. The board ultimately makes the approval.
Q. And you understand in that process, again, slightly different than the private utility, but certainly within the public utility, first of all, we have to understand what's the cost of service. That's the underlying determination we have to make?
A. Definitely want to understand your
separate businesses, I believe. And so Dawson
really has to do the same thing from the
electric side of the business as a retail
distribution utility.
Q. And, again, Xcel did the same. You would were involved in that. Whether it be gas or electricity, all held under the same entity,
there were different ratemaking authorities that apply to both?
A. Ratemaking authorities, yes. We are regulated by the FERC and by state regulators and other regulators. But -- and you don't have to deal with too much of those.
Q. That's correct.
A. Yeah.
Q. But in terms of using your Xcel experience again, it was -- there were efficiencies obviously of bringing a gas and electric company together, probably not on the delivery of gas or the delivery of electricity, but within the management structure itself. I can only assume those entities merged for the efficiencies that were gained and ultimately the better return for your shareholders?
A. I think that was one of the large --
costs, that's correct.
Q. But in setting the appropriate rate, ${ }^{3}$ we have to cover that cost. We have to cover ${ }^{4}$ the expenses associated with that delivery.

But in the instance with the public utility like Dawson, again, you struggled with the term net profits, margin, but we can't deliver that energy at a loss. But Dawson's not looking to make a profit. Do you agree with that?
A. I would agree that an investor-owned utility needs to deliver net income so that it can provide that return to its shareholders. And that is not the case for a public power entity.
Q. Okay. And under this combined entity, is it your expectation that this ratemaking responsibility, that continues? That can't go away?
A. Without a doubt, you still -- whether you merge or not, Central still has to evaluate its own costs -- the cost of service for how they're charging any products and services on the water side of the business.

So, I mean, you're still running two one of the reasons that they merged.

And there are synergies even between combining an electric company -- electric distribution and gas distribution. All your customer service functions are consolidated, operated together. So you achieve economies of scale.

You -- you combined IT operations to help make this all work. And it wasn't clear to me if, like, combining IT operations is part of what is going to happen here.

There was a discussion of having a separate district services organization, which -- but I don't know how any of that was -- is going to be done. It was yet to be determined, I guess.
Q. Okay. But if we operate with the assumption that IT, I think is an easy example, that those services will be combined, there could be cost savings in that synergy for this combined entity?
A. Could be cost savings. Could be additional costs.
Q. The -- in terms of -- let's return to the balance sheet.

|  |  | Page 87 |  | to come over also? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | b |  | A Yes th |
|  |  | criticizing you. It's been used throughout |  | Q. Okay. But purely from a balance |
|  |  | this whol |  | sheet standpoint in followup to your questions on direct examination, bring over net assets, |
|  |  | A. I was going to say, I was trying no |  |  |
|  |  | to |  | bring over net liabilities, from a purely |
|  |  | th |  | balance standpoint, the merged entity is in a stronger position? |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | , |  | A. It's bigger. |
|  |  | sheet | 10 | Q. It's bigger. |
|  |  | co |  | A. I don't know if it's stronger or not. I think you have to evaluate a lot of other |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | C |  | factors. |
|  |  | th |  | Q. Okay. Well, there will be more |
|  |  | a | 15 |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | A. In -- |
|  |  |  | 17 | Q. In proportion to the assets? |
|  |  | th | 18 | A. Oh, there -- liabilities are less |
|  |  | entity | 9 | than assets when you merge these two companies, that's still a true statement. |
| 20 |  | asset question, increase the asset side of this | 20 |  |
|  |  | ne |  |  |
|  |  | A. If the only thi |  | A. But I didn't hear things, like, the |
|  |  | assets | 23 | S \& P credit rating, like, is the credit rating |
|  |  |  |  | stronger or not. We didn't hear that |
| 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
|  | Q No And of course that analysis Page 876 |  | 1 | Q. We are in agreement. Page 877 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | has not been comple |  | So when Xcel was, again, valuing its |
|  |  |  |  | assets and its production utilities, that would |
|  |  | Q. But in terms of -- again, I want to |  | have included the coal utilities? |
|  |  | back up to Xcel for a moment. Again, |  | A. Yeah, they still have some coal |
|  |  | looks at a private 1 |  |  |
|  |  | had to look at what's |  | them but, yes. |
|  |  | production plants? Would that not be par |  | By 2050, they're saying they're going to be 100 percent renewable. |
|  |  | the analys |  |  |
|  |  | A. Part o | 10 | Q. Actually, they've said by 2030 that |
|  |  | at, particularly in a utility environment th |  | the -- well, at least the coal plant in |
|  |  | we have today, is -- is if -- is the assets -- | 12 | Colorado is scheduled to close before 2030? |
|  |  | just what's on the book value is -- and I thin | 3 | A. Yeah, I think they're trying to get |
|  |  | there was some discussion here yesterday about, |  | to $80-$ - they're trying to get to 70 percent |
|  |  | you know |  | renewable, 80 percent renewable. And so, yeah, |
|  |  | It's -- you purchase things that are recorde | 16 | the coal plants -- there's a plan, I think, to |
|  |  | at whatever you paid for them. You depreciate |  | shut down every coal plant yet between now and -- I don't know what the exact date is. |
|  |  | them over time. And at any point in time, the |  |  |
| 19 |  | fair market value of the assets are -- they're |  | Q. That's fair enough. <br> A. It keeps changing. |
| 20 |  | never going to equal what that historical cost | 20 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Q. But the forecast you completed did |
|  |  |  | not anticipate the closing of that coal plant? <br> A. You know, when I was there five years ago still, yes. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | different. They could be more. They |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Q. But now when the merger occurred, you |



| Q. Correct. So the assets will come over at no cost? <br> A. That's what's proposed in this merger. <br> But that's also one of the reasons why when you look at this merger in total, that I don't think this is in the best interest -this merger as proposed is not in the best interest of Central. That's my overall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q. Okay We'll get to that |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A |
|  |  |  | A |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | through all of this documentation |  | you |
|  | Q. Okay |  | aspect, a dispatchable resource, that reso |
|  | narrowly fo |  | is going to have more opportunities as a |
|  | a PPA, they would sell the |  | of Southwest Power Pool. And, again, |
|  | energy prod |  |  |
|  | A. That's |  | day-to-day market. We don't know what that |
|  | Q. Okay. You |  | ure decision will be |
|  | would be a better avenue? |  | A. Could be capacity |
|  | A. That's --I think it is a bett |  | olve. And that provides |
|  | avenue. But that's not my conclusion overall.I've said that the merger was not in the |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | best interest because there's a lot of other consequences that are happening in this merger. Some of my other witnesses on behalf of Central are going to speak to some of that later on. |  |  |
|  |  |  | So, |
|  |  |  | of the polar vortex, if Central had a -- all of |
|  |  |  | - |
|  | ut |  |  |
|  | A. It -- that's a correct statement th |  | report, anything that said we're going to use |
|  | enters into a |  | energy in such a way that will harm the |
|  | bligations are under that power contract |  | gator? |
|  | ut there's also another |  | A. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | k is really imp |  | e |
|  | 't really know |  | 促 |
|  | as City |  | irrigation cus |
|  | dr |  | premise of your |
|  | reasonable -- |  | I'm -- again -- |
|  | it, as much as possible, except to the extent |  | I I said th |
|  | we're not go |  | you reviewed |
|  |  |  | ell, |
|  | And thos |  | used the word that irrigation custome |
|  | front of me. But it say |  | rmed. I said this is not in the best |
|  |  |  | terest of Centra |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | If you -- if you believe those are the |
|  | you're going to use that generation and you're |  | tatements, |
|  |  |  | that |
|  | Q. |  | mebody? |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | ument of any source that suggests that the |  | Q were just referring to the Kansas City |
|  | gation users will be harmed? Have y |  | $r$ and Light contract. And, again, you |


|  | the clause you're referring to is that the |  | Page 887 anything in the documents that would show that. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | irrigation customer would be protected under |  | WITNESS: I've seen som |
| 3 | that contract? |  | things -- |
|  | A. I believe so, based on that |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Not your |
|  | provision |  | opinion, if I c |
|  | Q. Okay |  | THE WITNESS: I've seen some |
|  | A. Some protect |  | things that concern me. Is that answering the |
| 8 | Q. I'm asking within your review of any |  | question? |
|  | document, confidential or otherwise, have you |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Well, I |
| 10 | seen anything to suggest that that will not | 10 | think the question was whether you've seen |
| 1 | continue to be true | 11 | anything that said there would be any harm, if |
|  | A. There's a lot of things that - | 12 | I understand it right, not -- that would be |
|  | Q. That's a simple yes or no. | 13 | separate from your opinion based on what's in |
|  | A. Maybe. I don't know. I'v | 14 | the documents. Does that make sense? |
|  | there's some issues that I -- I can't | 15 | Was there anything -- maybe I'm |
|  | don't think I can answer that as a yes or no | 16 | rephrasing -- I should let Mr. Jarecke reask |
|  | answer. |  | the question. So I'll withdraw it and let |
|  | Q. Okay. |  | Mr. Jarecke ask. |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Well -- |  | A. Well, then please restate the |
| 20 | JARECKE: Fair enough | 20 | question, I guess, because I'm not sure that |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | I'm -- and I know I can -- we can do kind of as |
|  | MR. JARECKE: I'm sorry, unless |  | a rebuttal here. So my attorney's going to |
|  | you wish -- |  | help get me out of anything I get into problem |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Well, I |  | with so -- |
| 5 | just -- the question's whether you've seen | 25 | BY MR. JARECKE: |
|  | Q. Page 888 |  | A Sage 889 |
|  | Q. He might. |  | A. Sorry, I don't know how to answer |
| 2 | MR. DEGAN: What? |  | other than I don't kn |
|  | BY MR. JARECKE: |  | Q. That's fair. |
|  | Q. Again, the premise of my question was |  | Mr. Wendell, I'd like to move back to |
|  | focused upon, again, a prior contract that you |  | ratemaking -- rate forecasting |
|  | reviewed, a -- actually, I think expiring |  | Before I get into that question, again, |
|  | contract that you reviewed is in place that has |  | I'm going to assume for purposes of this |
|  | certain protections from a hydro production |  | question that you have some experience in this |
|  | standpoint to make certain that the irrigation |  | area also, but you understand that all the |
| 10 | customers aren't harmed. | 10 | hydroelectric production of Kingsley Dam is |
| 11 | And the narrowness of my question is | 11 | purchased by Nebraska Public Power District? |
| 12 | whether or not you've seen any document or a | 12 | A. Yes, I do. |
|  | forecast from a document that says are this | 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | future production will harm the irrigation | 14 | A. Separately accounted for as its own |
| 15 | utilization? | 15 | division of Central. |
| 16 | A. I don't know because I don't know how | 16 | Q. Correct. |
|  | the change in operations might happen to do | 17 | And do you also have the expectation that |
|  | that. Does that make sense? |  | it would be NPP -- under that contract, would |
|  | Q. All right. Well, no. Because you're |  | you have the expectation that Nebraska Public |
| 20 | jumping to the next question. |  | Power District has the full responsibility to |
| 21 | A. Well, then ask the next question. |  | pay for all of the hydro facilities located |
| 22 | Q. I understand you have fears. I'm |  | within that dam, within the Kingsley Dam, the |
| 23 | asking if you've seen a document? |  | operation and maintenance? |
| 24 | A. I don't know. I don't know. |  | A. It's my understanding that they have |
| 25 | Q. Okay. |  | a cost of service contract that essentially |

K. Page 890
pays for the Kingsley Dam operations.
Q. But clearly I think we both have the expectation that NPPD's not interested in paying for the other dams, the other hydro facilities? That would be outside the purview of their contract?
A. Which is why they put a provision in
the -- or there's a provision structured in the
Plan of Consolidation to specifically say that you can't move assets or revenues, expenses between that division and any other division.
Q. Correct. And, again, much like Xcel, that's a common practice within utilities, you're going to have, again, these silos where both revenue and costs are siloed, not unusual within the utility industry; is that true?
A. Yeah, you're -- you've set up accounting to track your cost of service.
Q. Very good.

But with respect to Nebraska Public Power District and, again, Lake McConaughy for the water storage facility, would you also not agree that Nebraska Public Power District is not going to accept a cost that -- whatever the entire cost of the dam structure is, the interests or shared interests as --
A. I think the accounting structure and they call it divisions that Central has used historically and in the Plan of Consolidation, they refer to it as units, and so you're adding a new unit, which is the electric distribution unit. And you're proposing that you're going to now have that additional unit, along with another additional unit, which is district services.

So, you know, my -- I do agree that Central has the basis of how you would pull this together and there's an opportunity that you would be fair in how you do this.

But I don't know how you plan to handle all of the allocation of costs between the district services.

And I don't know how you might -- if you're going to make decisions of moving revenues or expenses -- even if it takes a three-fifths vote of the board, if you're going to move revenues or expenses between those units or take assets from one of those units somehow to move back and forth, whether you even agree to pay them back or not, those --
maintenance surrounding Lake McConage 891 maintenance surrounding Lake McConaughy, they're not -- they would not view that as a proportional cost that they should pick up 100 percent of that?
A. I don't know for sure the split of what costs they pay for or not. I'd have to actually look at that contract.
Q. I -- and I'm not asking you to project that number. But would you agree that that facility that feeds the canals that then feed the later hydros, they're going to have to share in the cost of Lake McConaughy, the impoundment for that water?
A. I assume that the way the cost structure is set up on Central today and what costs are part of the hydro division are -- are reflected as some of those operations that you've disclosed if they're part of the irrigation and that side of the operation.
Q. Okay. So you recognize -- and, again, as a Central customer, in terms of their internal accounting methodology, you're happy with the way they do it, you trust the way that they do it, that they can, again, appropriately apportion their costs between competing the lack of that, where we're just talking about there's an intent that we're going to figure this all out, that bothers me.
Q. But as you just testified to, you don't know the proportionality today as to the division of the allocated costs for Lake McConaughy as it relates to the NPPD contract or to the Johnson 1 or the Johnson 2? You don't know those internal numbers?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. But you have no qualm with their methodology?
A. If NPPD is buying off on it and Central's been buying off on it, I'm assuming they're going to continue business as usual along those lines.
Q. And so wouldn't they continue to do business as usual with this new division -again, they're going to do the math, they're going to figure out the proportion of costs that should be associated with that division and charge the customer correctly as they have in the past?
A. Well, now that's a hypothetical because I don't know, we're talking about
creating a new unit. If that's what you're ${ }^{\text {Page } 894}$
referring to is the new units that are being created?
Q. I think if it's within the existing unit, there's a hydro production now.
A. The -- so are you talking about changes that will impact how I record my costs amongst the hydro unit?
Q. No. My --
A. No.
Q. -- question is today, today, Central has a responsibility to the ratepayers, including you or your brother or your tenant, to proportionally charge you on a rate function what they believe is attributable to the irrigation water that they deliver to your property?
A. The board makes a decision annually whether or not to change irrigation rates.

I got a letter in January that said they weren't increasing rates this year.

And so, yeah, that -- I'm assuming we -they're capturing the costs and they're evaluating that as part of their ongoing operations.
But whatever that pump is, it's using
electricity. But you -- you're not sitting
here and disputing that they can't calculate
the charges -- again, I understand they're not
delivering electricity -- but you're not
disputing that the charges that they're
calculating are inappropriate or somehow disproportionate to their customers?
A. Yeah, as far as I know, Central has done a good job of managing finances in accounting, at least in terms of the operations they have today.
Q. Okay. And you've seen nothing in the

Phase 2 report or your further analysis that
suggests that they will not continue to have this same capability even if additional services are brought in?
A. I think that's outside the scope of what the Phase 2 report was.
Q. Okay.

MR. JARECKE: Can I take a five-minute break, just to review my notes and make sure that --

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I'll tell you what, what if I were to ask some
Q. So you believe today that Central is -- is performing that function to your satisfaction that they have the capability to calculate rates fairly to their customers?
A. As far as I know, I think that's correct, yes.
Q. Okay. And, again, the -- today that's between competing customers, whether that be Kansas City Light, whether that be Nebraska Public Power District or the recipient of irrigation water?
A. Yes. But it's not --
Q. You've answered my question.

So in terms of -- again, whether or not there's an electric service unit, retail -again, you just mentioned that you have a small electric well on your property --
A. No, we do not have electric well on our property.
Q. The pump that has to pump the water out of the canal?
A. Oh, yes, that's a small pump.
Q. Okay.
A. One place.
Q. Maybe only 110 K -- or kilowatts.
questions? Because I have some questions. I Page 897
don't know if /that provides you an opportunity
to review your notes. MR. JARECKE: It will. Thank

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.
You referred to Exhibit 47 earlier, which is a
letter from Mr. McCormick?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Do you
have a copy of that close by?
THE WITNESS: Did I give it back
to you?
MR. DEGAN: I have a copy. THE WITNESS: Okay. BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: On the
last paragraph in the middle, it says that the
revenue that Central can realize for marketing
its hydroelectric generation are not as
favorable as they have been in the past.
And the very last sentence, "Central
can -- Central no longer can expect to gain the
same level of revenue from hydropower
generation as it did in the past."
Do you have an opinion about whether

|  |  | that's true? Page 898 |  | Page 899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | THE WITNESS: It's consistent | 2 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I know |
| 3 |  | with the forecast that I reviewed. They |  | we've talked about this a number of times, |
|  |  | lowered revenues in the forecast with the new |  | including previous witnesses as well. But I'm |
|  |  | contract. |  | looking at the power of cost savings and the |
|  |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And |  | power not sold externally. |
|  |  | that forecas |  | And there's a difference between thos |
|  |  | THE WITNESS: It's my |  | And we've talked about what each one of those |
|  |  | understanding that Central prepares its own |  | lines -- I'm -- |
| 10 |  | financial forecasts. | 10 | THE WITNESS: Excuse me. Are |
|  |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |  | you on Table 22 you say? |
| 12 |  | THE WITNESS: | 12 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: No, no. |
| 13 |  | PSE. | 13 | HE WITNESS: No. |
| 14 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. | 14 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I'm on |
| 15 |  |  | 15 | Table 18, page 43. |
|  |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Do you | 16 | THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. Table |
|  |  | have an opinion whether that forecast is |  |  |
| 18 |  | accurate or not? | 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Exhibit |
| 19 |  | THE WITNESS: I'm not an expert |  | 22 |
|  |  | in power markets. And no one shared with me |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Exhibit |
|  |  | what -- any results of an RFP. So I don't know |  | 22, yes. |
| 22 |  | whether it's accurate or |  | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm |
| 23 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |  | Table 18 now. Sorry. |
|  |  | Okay. Can we go back to Table 18 that you referred to in Exhibit 22 on page 43? |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So the power cost savings and power not sold |
|  |  | externally -- and we've discussed what those --- 900 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | River would have some flexibility associated |
|  |  | what is represented there. And I guess my |  | that they would have a difficulty achieving in |
|  |  | question is, do you have an opinion about |  | a PPA. |
|  |  | whether or not those two revenue streams, one |  | You had some opinion because I think you |
|  |  | that's being lost and the other one that would |  | read a PPA with Kansas City Power and Light |
|  |  | be gained in the event of a merger, whether |  | that provides some degree of flexibility, it |
|  |  | those are accurate or reasonable forecasts? |  | sounds like -- do you -- I guess do you have an |
|  |  | THE WITNESS: You know, it -- |  | opinion about the -- and maybe this is not your |
|  |  | that's all still going back to what the power |  | area of expertise but the amount of flexibility |
|  |  | market is and I-- or understanding the NPPD |  | that's being gained and perhaps the value |
|  |  | contract. |  | that's being gained as a function of a merged |
|  |  | So that's what the one line item is |  | entity versus a PPA and how that might generate |
|  |  | saying, hey, I'm going to lower my costs in the |  | additional revenue in the sale of electricity? |
| 14 |  | NPPD contract because I can lower my |  | THE WITNESS: So I'm not a power |
| 15 |  | consumption because I'm buying power from |  | purchase expert. But I can share with you some |
|  |  | someplace else. And the other is the revenues |  | comments, if that's okay. |
|  |  | that I'm going to forego. |  | We talked about this provision in the |
|  |  | So -- but they both have assumptions |  | power contract that basically says, in the |
|  |  | around pricing. And I did not have assess to |  | existing contract, that I'm not going to do |
|  |  | either one. So I cannot speak to those. |  | anything that's contrary to managing my water |
| 20 |  | Does that make sense? |  | operations. So, you know, can I -- is there |
| 22 |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Yes, it |  | some benefit? Can I manage the power |
|  |  | does. |  | differently in a post-merged position? |
| 24 |  | One of the things that's been described is |  | I mean, people schedule energy deliveries |
|  |  | how a consolidated -- in other words, Platte |  | daily. There's probably -- I don't know all |


| the details of how often it's all scheduled and all that detail. But that happens today under a power contract. <br> And I would assume that even in a -- if I'm managing my own generation and I've got my retail load over here, I still have -- I'm going to try to maximize what I can get out of that generation because everything I can do is going to help lower my NPPD costs. <br> Does that make sense? <br> So how they will really plan to manage that differently, is there a benefit? I don't know. There's probably some opportunity. But I don't know how much there is. <br> BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. <br> Thank you. <br> MR. JARECKE: And I have no further questions. Thank you. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Do we -how long do you have for redirect? <br> MR. DEGAN: Probably -- probably good time for a beak. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. <br> If it's not a short -- your questions, then I think this would be a good time to take our |  | lunch break, come back for redirect and finish that rather than -- <br> MR. DEGAN: In fact, the break may facilitate getting him off quicker. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. <br> Well, I mean, if it was five or six minutes, we can go. But since it's already 12:20, I think we want to take our lunch break now. <br> We will break -- does the board and parties want to do an hour like we have been? <br> MR. BRASHEAR: Go till one? <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Go till one maybe? <br> MR. DEGAN: Yeah, one. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Gain a <br> little bit of time. <br> MR. JARECKE: Yeah. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Let's <br> try to reconvene at one o'clock. It's now 12:20. <br> (12:20 p.m. - Recess taken.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (At 1:05 p.m., with parties present as before, the following proceedings were had:) <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. <br> So we'll go back on the record. We will reconvene the hearing. <br> And all board members are present, participating -- present or participating on WebEx as before. <br> With that, Mr. Jarecke, you had something to add. <br> MR. JARECKE: Mr. Texel, just as a brief preliminary matter, Mr. Erik Sonju is the author of the Phase 2 report, Power Systems Engineering. We do not intend or believe we have time for any rebuttal. <br> But I want to make certain the board is comfortable excusing that witness for the day. <br> BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: I'm <br> fine. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Seeing <br> all nods -- are you okay with that, Chairman Reida? <br> BOARD MEMBER REIDA: They're <br> going to excuse the person who performed the analysis? |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: For the PSE report. <br> BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Okay. Who was going to call that witness? <br> MR. JARECKE: He was purely retained as a -- or identified as a rebuttal witness. And at this point, we don't believe there will be either opportunity or time available for that. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Would he have been a Central -- <br> MR. BRASHEAR: Yes. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -witness? <br> MR. BRASHEAR: He would have <br> been -- <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I think that's what Chairman Reida is asking, who would have -- <br> MR. JARECKE: On behalf of Central, yes. <br> BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I don't have a problem with it. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. Then yes. |



| 1 | Page 910 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | from personal experience. I've never reviewed |
| 3 | the contracts between NPPD and any of the other |
| 4 | utilities on how they're billing them Purchase |${ }^{\text {Power Contracts -- purchase power costs. }} \begin{aligned} & \text { And none of that was shared in any of the }\end{aligned}$ reasonable that then they would be setting that

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: -- that
basically lose their savings for a year?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, you wouldn't
be able to double dip by achieving both of
them. You've either got to do -- use it
internally, try to get the savings from the
lower NPPD costs through demand charges, or
sell it on the wholesale market or -- unless
there's an ability to use it during just the peak months.

And I don't know. But could you use it during just June, July, August, reduce your peaks, sell it off during the other months of the year? I -- I mean, it's possible, I would think.

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: But -okay. That -- that was just a comment. So that was just a thought.

What you're saying is they wouldn't use it where it was real high demand period, which is probably where the big price would be to sell it on the wholesale market?

THE WITNESS: I think that would be correct because that's when not only
Dawson's peak is probably almost all of the
demand for a whole year, that they had Page 911
basically -- if they would sell it out and not
use it to offset the demand on Dawson's system,
${ }^{4}$ that then for a whole year, they basically have 5 lost all their potential savings?

THE WITNESS: Well, I guess when I heard her state that, my assumption was that if power markets change significantly, all of a sudden the wholesale market was going to provide an opportunity where I could sell power at a significantly higher price than what I'm seeing today or maybe they were seeing in any of the existing RFP responses, that that's always an option is you could sell power on the wholesale market instead of using it to serve your retail load. That's how I interpreted her response.

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: But if they
did that, then they would be setting a new demand for Dawson? If they weren't using that to offset the demand for Dawson and they set a new 20-megawatt demand, doesn't -- by selling it on the open market rather than providing it to Dawson, doesn't --

THE WITNESS: You can't --
retail electric utilities in Nebraska are peaking during the summer.

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. And, Mr. Wendell, will you agree with me that there were no marketing sale of power assumptions included in any of the modeling that was done by PSE?
A. Yeah, no, I don't believe there were any, no.
Q. I think there's a reference that there may be future or other benefits at some point in the future, but they didn't actually run any modeling based on an ability to sell power into market -- markets?
A. Exactly what Chairman Reida was referencing.
Q. Exactly.
A. I didn't see any of that, no.
Q. Now, the cost savings that is part of the findings in the PSE report is strictly limited to the cost avoided between the cost of buying from NPPD versus running the unit as a cost unit for native load?

|  | A. Yeah. Selling wholesale or using it for your native load. <br> Q. Now, counsel asked you a question along the lines of the problem that you have -well, strike that. <br> To the extent that there are savings |  | ge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | And it's there afterwards. And, you know, |
| 2 |  |  | there's going to be, you know, a lot of work to |
| 3 |  |  | figure out, what will be the value down the |
|  |  |  | road, we don't k |
|  |  |  | 't know that I would want to give |
| 6 |  |  | that up at this point in time. And that's why |
|  | with -- for this 20 megawatts at Jeffrey, that could also be accomplished by a PPA; correct? |  | I believe a PPA is the simplest and it avoids a |
| 8 |  |  | whole bunch of other issues to -- you know |
|  | A. That' |  | to, you know, achieve -- you know, both |
| 10 | Q. As opposed | 10 | entities have been working together on this |
| 11 | A. Yes. |  | merger. It can achieve the lion's share of the |
| 12 | Q. And counsel asked you if the problem |  | savings if they would -- if they put -- you |
|  |  |  | know, put their heads together and make that |
| 4 | like the price. | 14 | happen. |
| 15 | reason you don't prefer a merger over a PPA? |  | Q. If you get a PPA with a price you |
| 16 | A. Well, the merger contemplates putting |  | don't like, it is temporary; right? It's for |
| 17 | these two entities all the way together. And in reality, alls we can do is use one |  | the life of the agreement? |
| 18 |  |  | A. So you're talking about the RFP with |
|  | 20-megawatt unit. Because of the contract with |  | other customers and whatever else? |
| 20 | NPPD, I'm limited to just essentially using the |  | Q. I'm saying if you have to take a PPA |
|  | Jeffrey to help serve the native load of |  | price that's not as attractive as you would |
| D | Daws |  | like it to be, you only have to take that price |
| 23 | And | 23 | for the term of the contract? |
| 24 | hydro units, t | 24 | A. That's correc |
| 5 | changing. It's there to serve Central before. | 25 | Q. It would eventually -- |
|  | Page 9 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | as Exhibit 62, do you identify this docume |
| 3 | units that been around a 1 |  | that summarized my opinions based on the work |
|  | And, you know, I don't think anyone' |  | that I've been engaged to do. |
|  | going to want to do a contract longer than the |  | Q. And does that report reflect the work |
|  | remaining FERC license but |  | that you did and the conclusions that you |
| 7 | Q. But a consolidation is |  | reached? |
|  | right? |  | A. Yes. |
| 10 | A. C | 10 | Hang on a second here. You still have |
|  | permanent |  | copy where it has some yellow highlights on |
|  | In this case here, what's being asked for | 12 | Q. Oh. |
|  | is to dissolve Dawson. You're going to -- you |  | A. Which is inconsistent with what you |
|  | know, that's another thing that still has to |  | gave me on Exhibit 56 |
| 15 | happen is, you know, we talked about that we |  | Q. I gave you the -- I gave you the |
| 16 | don't know the answer to it yet, are you going |  | wrong documen |
| 17 | to use Central's investments to pay off some of |  | A. Okay. |
| 18 | that debt? Are you going to issue more bonds? | 18 | Q. Well, this |
| 19 | They're alluding that it's going to be the | 19 | A. Trying to trick me? |
| 20 | bonds. | 20 | Q. No. That's the original. This is a |
| 21 |  |  | copy. But the Exhibit 62 that you have has the |
| 22 | can be -- you know, you can just have a divorce |  | original spreadsheet. |
| 23 | and it's all done. This -- it's a permanent. |  | A. Okay. Well, this one that's on here |
| 24 | It's -- you know, it's going to -- there's no |  | still has yellow highlights on it. So can I |
| 25 | unwinding it after you -- you've done this. |  | rip it off? |




|  | me -- |  |  | They -- they -- we do operate some land in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  | Dawson County that's under Dawson Public Power. |
| 3 |  | MR. DEGAN: Go ahead. |  | And that's what they are is a power entity. We |
| 4 |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: If you |  | buy power to run our well over ther |
|  |  | can rethink the question -- |  | On our side is just water. And, yeah, we |
|  |  | THE WITNESS: 2022 |  | do have the generation. But that didn't seem |
|  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yeah, |  | like that was -- would be enough to even drive |
|  |  | I'm going to -- if you could -- when you give a |  | this thing |
|  |  | date, if you could give the year. I'm going to |  | Q. Okay. |
| 10 |  | assume it's 2022 -- |  | fair? |
| 11 |  | THE WITNESS: |  | A. I was -- we were puzzled, ye |
| 12 |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- unles | 12 | Q. But did you have a concern initially? |
| 13 |  | you say different. But just | 13 | Did you have a -- I mean, were you watching |
| 14 |  | let's put the date in -- the year in. |  | this closely? Were you -- |
| 15 |  | sorry. |  | A. We were watching it from afar. I did |
| 16 |  | THE WITNESS: October 2022 | 16 | have a friend that called me one day. And he |
| 17 |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Thank | 17 | says we need to be more aware of this probably |
| 18 |  | you | 18 | about a year |
| 19 |  | BY MR. DEG |  | And I told him basically what I just told |
| 20 |  | Q. And, actually | 20 | you is this will never happen, it's just lik |
| 21 |  | When you first heard about a poten | 21 | two different of a thing. |
| 22 |  | merger, what was your initial thought? |  | Q. Okay. Generally speaking, what's |
|  |  | A. Initially, our thoughts were it |  | what has your experience been with Central in |
| 24 |  | probably didn't make sense because we didn't do the same thing, you know. |  | terms of how they manage their system? Have you been happy with it? |
|  |  | Page 928 |  |  |
|  |  | A. Oh, yes, yes. |  | driest year that I've farmed in. We've had |
| 2 |  | Q. Okay. |  | droughts. But this year was literally dry the |
| 3 |  | A. I feel like we've been partners. You |  | whole season, about 40 percent of our normal |
|  |  | know, as we -- it's been alluded to about all |  | rainfall. |
|  |  | the pivot development. Central was actually -- |  | Our dryland production this year was 80 |
|  |  | drove that to a degree early on, you know, |  | bushel per acre. |
|  |  | helping give us incentives when we put pivots |  | Our irrigated production was a little over |
|  |  | on the ground and, you know, save the water, |  | 250. |
|  |  | which was good for both of us. |  | So just that being said, that's a |
| 10 |  | Yeah, I would feel confident to say | 10 | thousand-plus dollars an acre, you know, |
|  |  | Central and myself and all of us have had a | 11 | difference. |
| 12 |  | good relationship. | 12 | Q. Do you ascribe that because of the |
| 13 |  | Q. And has Central been impactful to the |  | difference of having irrigation versus not |
| 14 |  | Tri County area? |  | having irrigation? |
| 15 |  | A. That would be a understatement for | 15 | A. Well, irrigation versus not having |
| 16 |  | sure. |  | irrigation. |
| 17 |  | Q. In a positive way or neg |  | And then, you know, we -- we farm ground |
| 18 |  | A. In a positive way, yeah. |  | that's not surface water, that's pumping -- |
| 19 |  | Q. And they've been operating for |  | pumping water that we pump from the ground. |
| 20 |  | 80-some years now? | 20 | And our -- we pump from a low -- lower level -- |
| 21 |  | A. 80-some years, yeah |  | or a higher level, I guess is the -- we pump |
| 22 |  | Q. Just briefly, can you describe the |  | less because of the mound of water that's built |
|  |  | importance of water in your farming operations? |  | up. |
|  |  | A. Well, let's just go to this year, |  | We also have a farming interest in nor |
|  |  | which was a dry year, probably almost the |  | Texas where there are no restrictions on water. |

There's no recharge And without recharge the 930
There's no recharge. And without recharge, the
Ogallala -- the Ogallala aquifer is declining really fast.

So Central has impacted us both with
surface water and it's been referred to here as
the mound or the water that's leached down and
is sitting underneath us to pump.
Q. And I take it that it's impacted in a
positive direction, both for groundwater and surface water?
A. Absolutely. Both.
Q. Okay. And I also want to clarify, I believe your land has some areas that are surface water and groundwater irrigated, some is surface water only and some groundwater only? Do I have that right?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. Okay. You mentioned that you have some land over in Dawson's electric service territory. Who's the electric provider for the majority of your electric power units?
A. That would be Dawson Public Power.
Q. And it is for the majority of your land?
A. Yeah, yeah.

Central's board minutes of what the board governance and the subdivisions were going to be.

So we looked on the website. There was nothing there, you know, about that.

So, once again, asked Bob. And then there's going to be some public meetings.
Those public meetings started October 12 th.
We went to the meetings. And, you know, they were set up at, like, a set of tables around a room.

And Gwen and Devin was at one of the tables. And they can probably remember that there was three or four of us there. And we questioned them for -- the meetings were set up for two-hour increments. And I'm going to say we tied up their table for most of an hour asking questions, and one of them being, you know, how they set up the subdivisions.

We had other questions, one of which was, you know, the -- what's going to happen to the power created by the water going through the generators. And that was going to be, you know, going -- part of it was going to go into the new company because of this 10 percent
Q. All right. Well, let's skip forward
to the event that occurred in October of 2022
that began to give you more significant
concerns about this proposed merger.
A. Okay. What -- the first thing we heard about was that they had announced that how the board governance and the subdivisions were going to be. So I went and found my local board member, Bob Dahlgren, Central board member.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Bob meets every morning at the six o'clock in the brain trust in Bertrand at the convenience store, so we can slip up there and get truthful knowledge.

And so I -- as soon as I found out, I went and asked Bob. And he said, yeah, that's been released.

And so that -- that gave us, you know, curiosity. You know, we wanted to better understand it. So we were hoping to have some interaction with -- with Central.

And so they had actually -- October 3rd is the first time we can see where it was published in the minutes of Dawson -- or of allotment that was allowed by NPPD.

And I would say the meeting was informational. I think it's that map there by that wall that was on the wall and showed the subdivisions. So that would have been our
first exposure of actually seeing it in public October 12 th. And we knew the vote was coming up --
Q. Okay.
A. -- October 24th, or we found out then that the vote was come up October 24th.
Q. At that time, were there any directors on Central's board that represented a power company or an interest other than directly devoted to water?
A. Well, in our -- in our Tri County area, there's -- there was nine on the old -on the board now that -- of Central now. There's nine directors in the three county area that's called the Tri County area.

And then there's three more -- or two more and one at large. And so --
Q. Well, I --
A. -- I would say, to my knowledge, none of those people are -- they were on the board
because they evidently wanted to bage 934
evidently wanted to be on a board
that managed water. It wouldn't have been
any -- any reason other than that.
Q. And I guess that was the point I was
getting at is that currently at this time,
Central's being managed solely for management
of water and the people who benefit from the
water; isn't that true?
A. That's absolutely true.
Q. And I take it when the change in governance caused you to have some concerns, is that the ultimate issue that you have is the fact that now management will be shared between water users and electricity users?
A. I think -- I think our -- our mission statement, which we don't have, but the whole time would be, you know, the change in concentration of 9 out of the 12 being from the Tri County area currently to going down to 6 out of the Tri County area versus the 14 , two of which in the Dawson area are also -- or in
the Gosper County are also Dawson and were
Dawson customers when they took the vote.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. And, yes, there are two out at
not have to take into consideration the
concerns or needs or benefits of the
electricity customers?
A. Exactly.
Q. Which will change if the
consolidation goes through?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So the board eventually -well, let me back up.

Did you -- I'm going to strike that.
The board approved the merger in a -Central's board and Dawson's boards each respectively approved the merger in a joint meeting in October?
A. Yes, they did. And there was about 10 of us that spoke at that meeting. And alls we asked was just a 90 -day, you know -- since we had just found out about the governance two -- less than two weeks before the vote, we just asked -- all of us asked for a 90 -day extension just to get our head around what was going on in the public input of the meeting.
Q. Okay. But that was denied; right?
A. Yeah, that was denied.
Q. So the two boards in a joint meeting

Ogallala. But I think there could be some idea
of, you know, they would like the lake full and
we might want the lake lower. So, you know, I
mean, just to sit around and say we think
it's -- there's some way it could magically
work out, I don't know. There's just a lot of different interest now. Before it was just water.
Q. Well, your board currently doesn't
have to worry about how any of its decisions will affect electricity customers; is that true?
A. That's -- that's true.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: You say your board. Can you clarify --

THE WITNESS: Central, Central. HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: --Dawson or the Citizens Opposed to the Merger board?

MR. DEGAN: Yes. Thank you.
Fair point.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. So let me restate my question.

Your concerns regarding Central's
potential merger with Dawson are -- revolve around the fact that currently the board does
did vote to move -- to consolidate; correct?
A. They approved the Plan of

Consolidation.
Q. The Plan of Consolidation?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So was it at that time you decided to form Citizens?
A. Actually, a couple of us had retained counsel and tried to get an injunction to stop the vote.

State statutes would indicate that if we would have done that, the two companies could have asked that a bond be held during the timeframe of the -- you know, till the injunction was straightened out. And our attorney felt that that could be -- they could make that quite harmful to us. So he recommended that we didn't do that.

So we retained some counsel on the 18 th of October.

Then there was a couple factions. You know, there was a group that was thinking Power Review Board, and a couple of us were thinking procedure of how we got here. And so we, over the next couple of weeks, just ended up melding
and getting in a room. And this is what Page 938 resulted.
Q. Okay. And how many -- how many members of Citizens are there currently?
A. Over a hundred.
Q. Do you have any idea how much -- how
many irrigated acres your group represents?
A. I don't know if we've ever added up that way. But I'd say it's a big chunk of -especially Phelps County and Kearney County, which the acres is about 108,000 acres of surface water.

There's a couple little groups in Dawson and maybe Lincoln County. But the majority of it got -- Phelps County has over 60,000 of the acres.

I'm not sure how the other splits out but about 20 some and 30 some or something like that. So most of our -- majority of our people are from Phelps County just because of that split.
Q. Okay. And are most of the surface water irrigation customers of Central in
Phelps, Kearney and Gosper County?
A. Yes.
A. You know --
Q. Did Citizens hold a public meeting?
A. We held one public meeting
specifically advertised and everything for a
public meeting. We had several meetings -- we
met together weekly.
Q. Okay.
A. Either Monday or Tuesday mornings.

And so what we started doing at the beginning of those meetings was going between Kearney County and Phelps County and, you know, finding a place to meet.

And we would have up to 20 -- I think one
meeting almost 40 people that just came just to
sit around and ask us why are you guys doing this and, you know, what's driving you.
Q. And did you have a big public meeting that you advertised?
A. We had a big public meeting that we advertised.
Q. And when was that?
A. I think it was January 25 th. We had it. And we had this massive snowstorm. So we had to kick it down the road a week. And I think the final date that we had it was January
Q. I mean, more than 90 percent?
A. I'm going to say yeah. I think it was alluded to yesterday as about 5,000 acres that's not in those three areas.
Q. And -- and I neglected to ask you, but pursuant to your Water Service Agreement with Central, you pay Central for water deliveries; correct?
A. We pay Central for water delivery.
Q. Okay. And would you -- would you agree that Central has done a good job of keeping water rates low?
A. I would say better than a good job. I would say, you know, in the last few years, all of our inputs have gone up by 30 percent in the last two years. And Central hasn't raised the rates either year.
Q. Okay. So this protest was filed. And these proceedings began. Did -- did Central hold a public meeting in order to get the word out on what Citizens was attempting to accomplish?
A. No, I don't think so. I don't think a public meeting at all.
Q. Okay.

25th.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: That would have been 2023 ?

THE WITNESS: 2022, yeah.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: '23?
THE WITNESS: '23, excuse me,
yeah, there you go.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. And approximately how many people attended that large public meeting?
A. Well, we set up 160 chairs. And there was about 20 people that were standing in the back. I'm going to say they could have all sat down. It would have been pretty full at that point.
Q. And was -- representatives of Central, were they invited and allowed to speak?
A. We didn't go that way. We felt that they had had plenty of time or they could handle it their way. A couple board members did come. Some staff members came.

We did question and answer, you know. We did -- we gave what we called the
disadvantages -- disadvantages of the merger,

| 1 | Page 942 <br> you know, like, six points that we felt that |  | you know, like -- there's some banks. There's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | weren't -- that were disadvantages to Central, |  | some -- couple irrigation companies, you |
| 3 | ${ }^{3}$ that, you know, had no advantage, we really |  | Some seed companies, fertilizer companies, |
| 4 | ${ }^{4}$ can't find an advantage to the thing. |  | people that have a stake in irrigated |
| 5 | So we presented that. We did questions |  | agriculture also. |
| 6 | and answers. And we didn't have lunch. We got |  | Q. Is Ed Schrock one of your directors? |
| 7 | 160 farmers in a room, and we didn't supply |  | A. That would be Former State Senator Ed |
| 8 | 8 lunch. That's my testimony. |  | Schrock. |
| 9 | Q. Surprised a fight didn't break out. |  | Q. Former Senato |
| 10 | Okay. But it was a civil discussion even | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | between the representatives of Central that | 11 | Q. That's my bad. And he's in the room? |
| 12 | 2 were there? | 12 | A. He's in the room. Might be asleep. |
| 13 | A. Yeah | 13 | Q. And he's a current member of NPPD's |
| 14 | Q. Because it's your position as you sit | 14 | board? |
| 15 | here, both as an individual and as president of | 15 | A. And he's a current member of NPPD's |
| 16 | your group, that you like Central and you want | 16 | board. |
| 17 | Central to go on in the form that it's in? |  | Q. And what are your -- your -- of the |
|  | A. We like Central. We would like it to |  | six points -- we don't have to go through all |
| 19 | stay as it is. And we're going to be -- we're | 19 | of them. But as you sit here today, first, |
| 20 | ${ }^{0}$ obviously doing business together, as we speak. | 20 | let's -- let's go with COM's or Citizens' |
| 21 | Q. What proportion of your members are |  | principal objections. |
| 22 | Central customers? I think I talked in acres. |  | A. Well, the first one we call loss of |
| 23 | But specifically would have contracts? |  | control, which just goes to the fact that 75 |
| 24 | A. It's way -- it's way over 90 percent. | 24 | percent of the current board is living in |
| 25 | There are -- there are some stakeholders, | 25 | Central's footprint now, Tri County's |
|  | fotprint Page 944 |  | 70 Dage 945 |
|  | footprint. |  | Dawson ha |
| 2 | Q. Uh-huh. |  | Central has around 20 million in revenue. As |
| 3 | A. And we go to, like, 43 percent in |  | you can see, we've built up \$90 million. And a |
| 4 | Tri County's footprint. |  | big chunk of that's been the last 20 years. So |
|  | The second one is the board controls the |  | we make $\$ 5$ million a year average, give or |
| 6 | Water Service Agreement. The connection |  | take. |
| 7 | between us as farmers and Central is the Water |  | I'm not in any way implying that Dawson's |
| 8 | 8 Service Agreement. |  | debt is out of a boundary of what debt a person |
|  | The Water Service Agreement, it states |  | can carry or a business can carry. It's just |
| 10 | annually that Central sets the price of water. | 10 | the way they do business. |
| $11$ | They also forecast and determine if they can | 11 | But the way we look at it as farmers, |
| $12$ | give us the full amount of allotment of water. | 12 | Dawson's like an elevator, they buy corn at a |
| 13 | They determine what level the lake's going | 13 | price, sell corn at a price and hope that they |
|  | to be before they -- when they have to say, no, | 14 | did their math right so they cover their cost. |
| 15 | Guys, we've got to slow down. |  | Central has been fortunate enough that |
| 16 | And so we understand how important that |  | they have the revenue from the hydros that's |
| 17 | board is to us as -- as water users. | 17 | pouring into this account that's building up a |
| 18 | Therefore, our worry about loss of -- I know | 18 | reserve that is sitting there -- like, right |
| 19 | it's still local control, but it's less -- it's |  | now there's a proposal to build a $\$ 20$ million |
| 20 | more local the way it is than the way it's |  | new siphon from Johnson Lake over to Elwood |
| 21 | projected to be. |  | Lake. |
| 22 | Q. And it's also having to balance |  | I'd go hat in hand into the bank if I had |
| 23 | the -- two different ratepayers? You've got |  | to borrow \$20 million, and they just call the |
| 24 | electric and water, right? |  | guys up and say let's get going, you know. |
| 25 | A. So that was one of our points is |  | So the money has built up. But that's a |



|  | groundwater Page 950 |  | Contrat footrint, Page 951 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | groundwater. <br> And part of their mission statement is |  | Central's footprint, are you still just talking about the -- where the majority of the water |
|  | look after the groundwater quantity and |  | users are in the Tri County area? |
|  | quality. |  | THE WITNESS: Where the 90-plus |
|  | So they felt in the -- in the motion tha |  | percent of the water is on the Tri County area. |
|  | they made, they felt like it was in the best |  | D MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: But |
|  | interest of the NRD to try to, once again, keep |  | not the whole footprint of Central? |
|  | that local control to match up with their -- |  | NITNESS: Not the whole |
|  | their district is -- the Tri-Basin NRD is -- |  | footprint, y |
| 10 | their electorate -- their directors are elected | 10 | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Okay. |
|  | from an area that's exactly the same as | 11 | Thank you. |
| 2 | Central's footprint. | 12 | BY MR. DEGAN: |
|  | Q. Okay. And the name Tri-Basin, what | 13 | Q. Did Dave Nelson speak during the |
|  | does that refer to? | 14 | meeting? |
|  | A. Well, I think all the other NRDs in |  | A. Yes, Dave did. Director -- Central |
|  | the state are aligned with a river basin, like, |  | Director Dave |
|  | the Republican or the Central Platte, the Twin | 17 | Q. Okay. Dave Nelson? |
| 8 | Platte. | 18 | A. Dave Nelson, yes. |
|  | Try -- Tri County is part of the Platte | 19 | Q. What did he say during the meeting? |
|  | Basin, the Republican Basin and the Little Blue | 20 | A. He was concerned that we were |
|  | Basin because of that -- that's Central's | 21 | misrepresenting our side about the -- the loss |
|  | footprint. So they basically, when they formed | 22 | of directors was one of his points. |
|  | it, they -- they put it right there. |  | Second point that he made, which, you |
|  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Can I ask you a quick question? When you say |  | know, we've kind of heard through the whole thing is that unless Central did something, |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | they were -- they were financially doomed, they |  | think I got this actually in the mail the day I |
|  | needed to do this or financially they were |  | came. |
|  | doomed. |  | I went to the first meeting in the |
|  | Q. Did he say words to the effect that |  | morning. When I came home then -- and I wish I |
|  | Central needed this merger in order to survive? |  | would have had this that morning. I would have |
|  | A. I think that's accurate. I think he |  | quizzed them about it then. |
|  | even threw out maybe a time limit of how long |  | But it says, "Absent the merger, Central's |
|  | they could make it. But I think 10 years or |  | long-range financial position is projected to |
|  | something. But, yeah, that was -- |  | deteriorate before the end of the decade |
|  | Q. Okay. And you have Exhibit 47 in | 10 | because of decreased revenue from hydro |
|  | front of you there? | 11 | generation." |
|  | A. Yes. | 12 | So obviously the next morning, I took this |
|  | Q. Did you receive a letter similar to | 13 | letter up to the brain trust in the morning. |
|  | Exhibit 47? | 14 | And we talked about it with Bob. |
|  | A. Yes, we did | 15 | Now, Bob's background as a member is 60 |
|  | Q. And you -- when -- you alluded to the | 16 | years of banking. He just retired from 60-plus |
|  | fact that the statements that Mr. Nelson made | 17 | years of being a banker. |
|  | during the NRD board meeting were in line with | 18 | So as a board member, Bob probably saw |
|  | some of the previous communications you'd |  | everything that was going on through his lens. |
|  | received from Central? |  | And he immediately told me, he says, that would |
|  | A. Yes. This -- and this document -- |  | be news to me. |
|  | this document came -- it's dated October 10th. |  | And he does like this, you know |
| 23 | So we would have probably got it around the |  | (indicating). He shrugged. He couldn't make |
| 24 | 12th, which would have matched up with the |  | heads or tail of how that got in this letter. |
|  | first meeting that I went to in Holdrege. I | 25 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: You said |


|  | you took to the brain trust. What is that? |  | 75 to 90 . And so we're watching that. We see |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, that's the |  | that -- you know, we get the Communicator. And |
|  | ${ }^{3}$ convenience -- that's th |  | we -- we -- you know, we know what's going on |
|  | ${ }^{4}$ HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Is that |  | as farm |
|  | 5 what you referred to ear |  | And I'm thinking to myself when I -- when |
|  | 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the si |  | I looked at the PSE report and we're going to |
|  | 7 o'clock in the morning group |  | save 11 million over seven years and we somehow |
|  | 8 HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | built up in six years -- or -- I might be off |
|  | I'd forgotten that. Thanks. |  | on that. Might be eight years. But we went |
| 10 | HE WITNESS: | 10 | from 75 to 90, I'm, like, we're making more |
| 11 | B |  | money than that by ourselves, what's going on |
| 12 | Q. Mr. Robison, do you believe that the | 12 | here, why would we -- why would we join in a |
|  | merger is in the best interest of Central? | 13 | merger that -- I understand, you know, if there |
| 14 | A. No, I don't. And I -- early on | 14 | was some benefit that in the backside of this |
| 15 | we -- we've been watching this build up. | 15 | it came back and we made this investment into |
| 16 | About eight years ago, I took issue with a |  | something, that's what farmers do. But we |
|  | project that Central was doing that was |  | can't see it. |
| 18 | projected to cost 75 million. And I'm going to |  | So I -- I |
| 19 | say that was about six or seven years ago. |  | helpful to Centr |
| 20 | And the |  | Q. Do you have concerns as an individual |
|  | because the p |  | that this merger, depending on all the |
| 22 | million. And at the time we had exactly 75 |  | decisions that have to be made in the future, |
| 23 | million in our reserves. And that project | 23 | could end up harming you in the form of higher |
| 24 | didn't transpire. |  | water rates? |
| 25 | But in that six years, we've built up from | 25 | A. Yeah. |
|  | Q. If it's not ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pag |  | Page 957 |
| 1 | Q. If it's not managed appropriately? |  | water. |
| 2 | 2 A. If it's not managed right or |  | The power made by the water is -- certain |
|  | 3 something, you know. Obviously money's going |  | amount of that revenue is -- there's some |
|  | somewhere. |  | formula in the charter, not the bylaws, in the |
|  | I mean, if that was on my farm, I'd be |  | charter is coming back to Central, not a silo |
|  | wanting to know why was I making 5 million a |  | inside of a company. |
|  | year, now I'm going to make -- we're going to |  | I -- we got -- we've got cattle. We've |
|  | split a million a year, however that's split. |  | got a fertilizer company. We've got a truck |
| 9 | I don't know for sure. I would be wanting to |  | company. We've got a chopping company. And we |
|  | know -- I wouldn't probably get into that |  | farm. I understand how silos work, you know. |
|  | venture for sure. | 11 | You go into the bank and, well, let's put it |
| 12 | So that's our -- we think like farmer | 12 | over here for today, and then when it comes |
|  | So at the end of | 13 | back -- when you make that payment and this |
| 14 | sheet. And you measure what just happened last | 14 | comes in -- that's my worry with silos. |
| 15 | year by the -- that bottom number in the |  | Q. Mr. Robison, you're under oath. Be |
| 16 | right-hand corner called net worth. |  | careful. |
| 17 | And it looks to me like the revenue stream | 17 | A. They're probably watching at home. |
|  | that was building the reserves for Central is | 18 | Q. Thank you |
| 19 | now going to be divided between two companies. | 19 | MR. DEGAN: Your witnes |
| 20 | And it would -- we would have had less angst if | 20 | CROSS-EXAMINATIO |
| 21 | the merger agreement -- Plan of | 21 | BY MR. BRASHEAR |
| 22 | Consolidation -- get my terms right -- would |  | Q. Mr. Robison, I think you testifie |
|  | have had some hard -- hard written, you know, |  | earlier Citizens Opposed to the Merger had o |
| 24 | like, the water is the water, it's not to be |  | a hundred members. Do you have a more specific |
| 25 | negotiated, sold. Anything can happen to the |  | number than that in mind? |

A. I think the last number I heard
that -- before we left home was 115 .
Page 958
Q. 115, approximately?
A. Yeah.
Q. And how many of those approximately

115 members, to the best of your knowledge, are
irrigation customers of Central?
A. I'm going to say 90 percent.
Q. So you think around 95 perhaps?
A. Yeah. And I would say then there are probably 10 customers that aren't Central customers that live on the south edge of the counties that are for sure mound water users.
Q. And just to be clear, I think you
just said 10 customers. I'm assuming you meant 10 members?
A. Ten members, yes.
Q. But they're irrigators?
A. They're irrigators.
Q. From the mound?
A. From the mound, yes.
Q. Speaking of the mound, I think you testified Central really benefits both surface water and groundwater --
A. Yes. $\quad$ Page 960
agreement? I think it's -- I've read around 55 million.
Q. I would represent to you you're very close so --
A. Okay.
Q. So that $\$ 55$ million in debt, does
that give -- Platte River will assume that
debt. Do you understand that -- if the
amendments are approved, Central will become
Platte River and assume that debt; is that your understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Platte River assuming that debt cause you any concerns?
A. No. Because I would have to assume that whoever loaned Dawson that money would have had fairly a strong idea that they were going to get it back. That's how that works generally.

And so if they were doing that before we merged, this is a stronger balance sheet now.
Q. Mr. Robison, I would like you to look at Exhibit 30, please. And we'll get that to you.

Do you have Exhibit 30 in front of you,
Q. -- irrigation?
A. Yes.
Q. It benefits -- you viewed it as you
have benefits from Central in both of those areas; is that correct?
A. Yes. We have -- probably 30 percent of our farm is, you know, groundwater from the mound.
Q. Do you pay Central anything related to your groundwater pumping?
A. No.
Q. Do you pay Central for your surface water?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Robison, are you -- you're
familiar with the Plan of Consolidation?
A. Fairly, yeah. I've read it.
Q. Generally?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. You understand that Dawson has -Dawson Public Power District has debt today?
A. I do. Bonded debt.
Q. Do you have an understanding of how much debt that might be?
A. Does it say that in the merger
sir?
A. Yes.
Q. Is Exhibit 30 related to an interview that you did with Mike I believe it's Konz of the Kearney Hub in early January?
A. Yes. It appears to be.
Q. Could I have you turn to the last page of that exhibit, please, sir.
A. Yes.
Q. I will read -- would you -- I'll read through the last three sentences -- paragraphs. "A merger could be a helpful thing, but let's get that right, Robison said. We feel like there wasn't enough stuff written in the merger agreement. As an example, he said, CNPPID's electrical sales currently subsidize irrigation operations to keep down rates for irrigators. He said, CNPPID's water rights might -- may be safe but the manner in which the water is used could boil over into future disagreements if they don't get attention today. They can take away the water but the new board will decide if -- they can't take" --

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: They
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { maybe created a more rural aspect for directors } \\
& \text { coming into the new board when the transition }
\end{aligned}
$$

goes through. Did you not see that as a
benefit?

THE WITNESS: I don't -- I'm
sorry. I don't think that's exactly accurate.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I think the voting
subdistricts are just as they were.
Those carve-outs were already in Dawson's
company, North Platte, Cozad, Lexington. To my understanding, those carve-outs were already there.

So they just put the two companies together because we don't have those carve-outs on our side of the river. We -- everybody on our side of the river -- and you weren't here for the first time. But, you know, over -- it was even the mailman can vote over here.

And so on our side, everybody can vote for the directors on the new company.

On Dawson's side, I -- Dawson doesn't furnish power to those cities, so they weren't part of Dawson election process either. And I could stand corrected. But that's -- somehow
the NPPD canals, which are going to happen
whether this thing transpired or not.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Right.
And I guess my point, it didn't have to do with
recharge. It had to do with board member
representation being more rural now in those
areas where you could have easily had a
North Platte representative on the Central board?

THE WITNESS: I follow you.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Okay.
And my way of thinking that more rural representation is better --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: --than
it was now. That's where my question went.
THE WITNESS: To your point.
MR. BRASHEAR: I have no further questions.

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I have
one question. So just so you kind of have a
position -- or an understanding of where I
think our -- my way of thinking about this and
the criteria we have to make a decision; right?
So we have an amendment before us with a

```
your --
previous.
```

in this I was told that.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: But
those areas were part of Central, and those
communities were not carved out --
THE WITNESS: Okay. I see
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: --
THE WITNESS: -- I see your
point now.
BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: So now
you've pulled out some what would have been
urban votes from what would have been the
Central area. So that's the point I was
making.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's --
there's -- to me there's no overlap. It's been
alluded to that there's recharge from Central
to that area.
I don't know if that's exactly accurate
because Devin's -- Devin's testimony said that
the recharge can't cross the river, the water
comes to the river. So Central -- most of
Central's water is south of the river.
The recharge on the north side is through
charter amendment that's been approved by bage 973
board of directors.
And then as I'm looking the -- one of the
same -- main statutes here that gives us the
criteria upon which we can use to disapprove an
amendment to the charter, which is essentially
what this merger results in is these amendment
charters, I have to be able to affirmatively
find that -- one of the potential findings is
to affirmatively find that this amendment is
going to jeopardize and impair the rights of in
this case we'll say it's the irrigators.
So I -- I need to be able to -- which
means I -- it can't be speculative, as I
interpret that. I have to be able to
affirmatively find that someone's rights are
being impaired.
Can you help me understand how the
Citizens -- the irrigators that are represented
are included in the Citizens Group, how their
rights are being impaired?
THE WITNESS: I think per
testimony that's been going on here for two
days, that even became more clear to me.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.

| 1 | $\text { Page } 974$ <br> THE WITNESS: Over and over |  | $\text { The way it is now, we know -- I think it's }{ }^{\text {Page } 975}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | again, it's been stated that we're going to |  | in this letter right here, it's referred to, as |
| 3 | figure that out, when we get the new board |  | a savings account strictly set up for repair |
|  | together, we're going to address that. |  | and maintenance. And now that revenue strean |
| 5 | Depending on how that gets figured out |  | will be -- depending on the new board, |
|  | could impact the irrigators in this way, tha |  | depending on the bylaws, depending on all |
| 7 | that money that's being created by the -- by |  | things that haven't been decided yet, even by |
|  | the water going through the generators, within |  | the vote of how it's going to be decided, could |
|  | the new company, the reserve is theoretically |  | be possibly impaired of building up that |
| 10 | locked out there to be used, you know, for -- | 10 | revenue for our repairs because we have no |
| 11 | for the irrigators. | 11 | taxing authority. If things go south, we just |
| 12 | The revenue from there on is to be | 12 | got to figure it out. |
| 13 | determined. I think that was in testimony |  | And Central has done a wonderful job of |
| 14 | yesterday. | 14 | straightening that out over the year |
| 15 | So if that revenue gets diluted down and |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Thank |
|  | that reserve starts dropping and we come to |  | you. |
| 17 | whatever problem comes up within our system -- |  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Is it |
| $18$ | one thing I look at, Johnson Lake is silting |  | a -- just one more question. Is it just |
| 19 | in. Some day we're going to have to address | 19 | possible -- because there's a lot of |
| 20 | silting in Johnson Lake. That's going to be a |  | speculation going on here. So things could go |
| 21 | big project. |  | one way or the other. |
| 22 | That would be a helpful thing if we had by |  | For example, Dawson using the -- the hydro |
| 23 | that time $\$ 150$ million in the lake and it was |  | off of the Jeffrey plant, selling that to the |
|  | going to cost 50 of it to try to address that |  | retail customers brings in additional revenue. |
|  | problem. That's -- that's my angst with it. |  | Could a portion of that additional revenue in |
|  | $\text { theory be used to supplement these funds as Page } 976$ |  | MR. DEGAN: Can I redirect? ${ }^{\text {Page } 977}$ |
| 2 | well, since there's a direct correlation to the |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yes. |
| 3 | benefit of both? |  | I'm sorry. Redirect. |
|  | THE WITNESS: That could also |  | MR. DEGAN: Okay. Thank you. I |
|  | happen. To be determined. |  | just have a couple of questions |
|  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: So -- |  | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
|  | right. And I understand where the unknown |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
|  | is -- is frightening. |  | Q. Ms. Gottschalk asked you some |
|  | THE WITNESS: Yeah |  | questions about whether or not the removal of |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: In a | 10 | some of the metropolitan areas from Central's |
| 11 | dramatic change such as this. But I guess that | 11 | chartered territory would give you some comfort |
| 12 | was just my -- my statement more than a |  | because it's more rural. Do you remember that |
| 13 | question is that this could go either way. | 13 | line of questioning? |
| 14 | Okay. Thanks. |  | A. Yep. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I don't | 15 | Q. Well, as we sit here today, no |
| 16 | see any more -- are there any questions from |  | director from Central, regardless if they're |
| 17 | Chairman Reida or Ms. Peck? |  | from North Platte or rural areas, has to worry |
| 18 | Chairman Reida, did you have a question? |  | about electric retail customers? |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: No. I said | 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | no. | 20 | Q. Not even an issue? |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Oh, I am | 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | sorry. I didn't hear that. I thought the way |  | Q. Not whatsoever? |
| 23 | was leaning forward, I thought he -- all right. | 23 | Isn't that the primary issue that you're |
| 24 | Then this witness is excused. | 24 | concerned about? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 25 | A. Yeah, yes. |


| 1 | $\text { Q. And -- and whether it's } 1 \text { of } 14 \text { or } 7^{\text {Page } 978}$ |  | pivotal meeting in the middle of harvest when |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 of 14 , the first day after the consolidation |  | many farmers would be too busy to be in Elwood |
|  | 3 becomes effective, that board is going to have |  | to express their opposition. See where that's |
|  | 4 to make decisions that impact electric |  | indicated? |
|  | 5 ratepayers and water ratepayers; right? |  | A. Yes. |
|  | 6 A. Yes. That's right. |  | Q. And is that true? Was that -- was |
|  | 7 Q. And those interests don't always |  | that harvest season for folks up in the |
|  | align; right? |  | Tri County area |
|  | A. That |  | A. That was peak harvest season. |
|  | the point of |  | Harvest kind of starts in our area with silage |
| 11 | Q. If I could | 11 | chopping the last week of August. Usually go |
|  | newspaper article in front of you. And I've | 12 | about the 10th of September. Bean harvest |
| 13 | got to catch back up again. Exhibit 30, I | 13 | starts. Corn harvest starts with bean harvest, |
| 14 | believe. | 14 | and we're trying to wrap up by the first week |
| 15 | If I can have you turn down below, "The |  | in November. |
|  | people are reading," section |  | Q. Okay. |
| 17 | references that -- | 17 | A. So that was prime time harvest. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: "People | 18 | Q. And we've heard testimony that this |
|  | are also reading"? |  | study of this potential consolidation lasted |
| 20 | EGAN: |  | for two years; right? |
| 21 | BY |  | A. Right. |
| 22 | Q. It's below th | 22 | Q. And so was that a concern, |
|  | It's on the second page of the article. |  | two years of study, they wait to drop the final |
| 24 25 | There's a couple of paragraphs that talk about many said it was unfair to conduct such a |  | decision right in the middle of harvesttime? <br> A. Well, it was awkward for us, you |
|  | know, as 980 |  | ge 981 |
|  |  |  | Q. All |
|  | of -- when we -- when it became aware of what |  | clarify. We're talking about the October 24, |
|  | 3 was going on, yeah, we didn't have much extra |  | 2022, joint board meeting between Central and |
|  | time to attend to this. |  | Dawson for purposes of voting on the |
|  | Q. Okay. And is that why you had asked |  | consolidation agreement? |
|  | 6 for the continuance for -- |  | A. Yes. |
|  | A. That's why |  | Q. And it was that -- it was that vot |
| 8 | Q. -- a delay of the vote |  | that you in your individual capacity were |
|  | A. Excuse me. That's why we asked for |  | asking the two boards, Central and Dawson, to |
| 10 | the continuance, just -- | 10 | postpone? |
| 11 | Q. But that request was denied? | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | A. That request was -- | 12 | Q. But that decision was -- or th |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Request | 13 | request to continue that vote was denied? |
| 14 | for continuance from? | 14 | A. Both -- both boards had a motion |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Taking the vote on | 15 | Q. Uh-huh. |
|  | the Plan of Consolidation |  | A. -- which didn't pass, to extend the |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: By |  | time or not take the vote, wait 90 days. |
| 18 | Central? |  | Q. So Central said no, and Dawson said |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: By Central an |  | no? |
| 20 | Dawson. |  | A. And Dawson said no. Both of them had |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Just |  | a motion. |
| 22 | wanted to clarify. It wasn't a Power Review |  | Q. Okay. |
| 23 | Board continuance so -- |  | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. |
| 24 | HE WITNESS: October 24th. | 24 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Do you |
|  | 5 BY MR. DEGAN: | 25 | remember what the votes were? Was it unanimous |


|  |  | THE WITNESS: Neither of them were unanimous. Both failed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THE WITNESS: On the -- th |  |  |
| motion to -- |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Were you |
| L |  | re |
| continue -- to delay the vote and request -and -- |  |  |
|  |  | : Ninety day |
| ve the |  | OFFICER TEXEL: So |
| votes on the motion to delay were the same as the votes to pass. |  | had a specif |
|  |  | THE WITNESS: Yes. We had a |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay |  | sp |
| THE WITNESS: You know, I think |  | y |
| the votes | 12 | give you a reason why they said no at the time? |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: The | 13 | WITNESS: |
| same -- |  | re |
| T | 15 | the proposed group needed to get this merge |
| same. | 16 | front of your |
|  | 17 | the new governor started making appointments. |
| favor of the same, dissenters were the same -- | 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: On their |
| THE WITNESS: | 19 | bo |
| HEA | 20 | THE WITNESS. On your board |
| favo | 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Oh, on |
| THE WITNESS: And in Central's | 22 | our board. Okay. On the Power Review Board? |
| case, it was | 23 | THE WITNESS. Yeah yeah |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Neithe was unanimous? |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. And that was from who? |
|  |  |  |
| THE WITNESS: Central. |  | ge |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Who told |  | not just a nebulous idea but assurity that you |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Who told |  | not just a nebulous idea but assurity that you |
| you that? |  | w |
| THE WITNESS: Peop |  | HE WITN |
| Central. |  | support this merger, we would have to have |
| HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | assurity that |
| Okay. Thank you. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And that |
| THE WITNESS: Yeah. |  | would be to put it into the charte |
| BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I have one |  | HE WITNESS: It would |
| followup question |  | be in the charte |
| You had indicated that you looked a | 11 | OARD MEMBER REIDA: And that's |
| Plan of Consolidation? |  | what would -- that would make this acce |
| THE WITNESS: Yes. |  | to you? |
| d that |  | epending on |
| and that there's nothing |  | how it was, you know, negotiated. But, yes. |
| there that would indicate protections for the |  | BOARD MEMBER |
| water users? |  | if, in fact, it was a positive portion put in |
| THE WITNESS: That's my |  | that would end up in the charter, that you |
| that's my idea. |  | would support it? |
| BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Okay. And |  | THE WITNESS: Well, I'm speaking |
| if you had fo |  | for two people here. So I'm going to say |
|  |  | MR. DEGAN: Not speaking for me, |
| issues in this Plan of Consolidation that would |  | unfortunate |
| then be transferred into the charter of the new |  | ot |
|  |  |  |


| 1 | Page 986 <br> MR. DEGAN: Okay. |  | shows -- and, of course, there's a reduced Page 987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | HE WITNESS: The Citizens |  | version of this in your notebook. Exhibit 41 |
| 3 | Opposed Group, I think if we took a vote of |  | shows the original -- the current, I should |
|  | that board, there would be -- it would be hard |  | sa |
|  | to get that passed -- anything past that group |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: The |
|  | that would -- they would accep |  | current |
|  | As me personally, I think I could |  | MR. JARECKE: -- footprint of |
|  | negotiate. We'd probably end up with no merger |  | Central with an overlay of the lines that would |
|  | by the time I'm done getting what I wanted on |  | change as a result of the proposed merger. |
| 0 |  | 10 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Which |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Okay. | 11 | includes North Platte in the current charter |
|  | Thank you. | 12 | territory? |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: All | 13 | MR. JARECKE: It does. Yes. |
| 14 | rig | 14 | Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. JARECKE: Mr. Texel, I don't | 15 | MS. KREIFELS: No. |
|  | have a question or -- I just wanted to clarify | 16 | MR. JARECKE: Well, current. |
| 17 | for the sake of the record, Mrs. Gottschalk's | 17 | MS. KREIFELS: Current, yeah. |
| 18 | question in terms of the rural nature and what | 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: This on |
| 19 | was carved out, I believe she was referring to | 19 | the easel is Exhibit 43 |
|  | Exhibit 41 for the -- for the clarity of the | 20 | MS. KREIFELS: Three. |
| 21 | record in terms of the rural versus -- where | 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And |
| 22 | the carve-outs, what was the nature -- | 22 | shows North Platte not in |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: What was | 23 | I haven't compared the rest of it. But |
| 24 | Exhibit 41? | 24 | that's the largest difference I see. |
| 25 | MR. JARECKE: Exhibit 41 |  | One of the counsels looks like they want |
|  | to -- Page 988 |  | Lundeen, B-R-A-D-L-E-Y, L-U-N-D-E-E-N. |
| 2 | MS. KREIFELS: No. |  | EARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- |  | what's your address? |
|  | clarify? Okay. |  | THE WITNESS: 524 D Road, |
|  | MS. KREIFELS: I'm good |  | Wilcox, Nebraska. |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: All |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Thank |
|  | right. I think then you're excused, |  | you. Your witness. |
|  | Mr. Robison. Thank you very much |  | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
|  | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Thank |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 10 |  | 10 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lundeen. What |
| 11 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Your |  | county is Wilcox located in? |
| 12 | next witness. |  | A. Kearney County. |
| 13 | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. Brad | 13 | Q. And what is your current occupation? |
| 14 | Lundeen. | 14 | A. Retired farmer. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL | 15 | Q. Do you still own farmland? |
| 16 | Mr. Lundeen -- did I say that right? | 16 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Uh-huh |  | Q. Is that land located in Kearney |
| 18 | BRADLEY LUNDEEN |  | County, Nebraska? |
| 19 | Called as a witness on behalf of Protestants, |  | A. Yes, it is. |
| 20 | being first duly sworn, was examined and | 20 | Q. How many -- so do you have a tenant |
| 21 | testified as follows: |  | that's farming your land? |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Thank |  | A. I have two sons that are engaged in |
|  | you. Could you state your name and spell it |  | farming. |
| 24 | and then give your address? |  | Q. Okay. In the long term, the more |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: My name's Bradley |  | expensive of the tenant farmer variety? |


|  | A Page 990 |  | e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 A. That's right. And free labor. |  | A. Sure. It's basically a public entity |
|  | Q. Maybe what we're talking about here, |  | created with elected officials to manage the |
|  | 3 an exchange for revenue versus available |  | groundwater quality and quantity and the rest |
|  | asset |  | of the natural resources of their b |
|  | 5 And do you currently sit on any public |  | Q. Okay. And with your particular |
|  | board |  | board, the Tri-Basin NRD, where, roughly, is |
|  | A. Yes, |  | that located? |
|  | Q. And which board is that? |  | A. Basically Kearney County, Phelps and |
|  | A. Tri-Basin NRD |  | Gosper. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. I guess as an initial matter, | 10 | Q. And north or south of the Platte |
| 11 | I need to make clear, are you testifying here | 11 | A. South of the Platte. |
| 12 | today in your individual capacity or as a | 12 | Q. How many members serve currently on |
| 13 | representative of the NRD? | 13 | your board? |
| 14 | A. As an individual. | 14 | A. Thirtee |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And just to make clear, you | 15 | Q. And when did -- well, let me start |
| 16 | are not authorized to speak on behalf of the | 16 | with this, when did you personally become aware |
| 17 | NRD? | 17 | of the proposed merger between Central and |
| 18 | A. T | 18 | Dawson? |
| 19 | Q. And you are in no way, shape or form |  | A. Probably about a year and a half ago. |
| 20 | implying to the board that your views or | 20 | Q. And what form did that come in? Was |
| 21 | opinions are anything other than your own | 21 | there a presentation made? Or did it just come |
| 22 | A. That's correct. | 22 | from reading news accounts? Or tell me -- |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Can you give the board a |  | A. Both. I had been to several |
| 24 | ${ }^{4}$ general description of, I guess, what an NRD is <br> 5 in general in Nebraska and what it does? |  | meetings, one with Central that Devin presented to us. And there were several other instances |
|  |  |  | .r. Page 993 |
|  | that I was in attendance at meetings and read |  | irrigation contracts with those irrigation |
|  | 2 about it. |  | districts? |
|  | Q. And I neglected to ask you this, b |  | A. No. I'm sorry. I don't have surface |
|  | is the land that you own, is it surface wate |  | water. I have a farm in another NRD. |
|  | irrigated? |  | Q. Oh, okay. Another NRD. All right. |
|  | A. Some |  | At any point in time, was there a |
|  | Q. Do you also have some groundwater |  | presentation made by either Central or Dawson |
|  | irrigation? |  | to your NRD? |
|  | A. Yes, we do. |  | A. No. |
| 10 | Q. Do you have agreements with Central | 10 | Q. Had -- was your NRD actively |
| 11 | for surface water? |  | monitoring the situation? |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | A. We've been monitoring, yes. We're |
| 13 | Q. And how long have you been a Central | 13 | very interested in their activities. But |
| 14 | customer? |  | we've -- and we worked in the past well with |
| 15 | A. We've been Central about eight years | 15 | Central. We have a good relationship. |
| 16 | on one parcel, and my son's got for the last |  | Q. Okay. To your knowledge, was anybody |
| 17 | three. |  | from the NRD tasked with acting as a go-between |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Have you gen |  | between the NRD and either Central or Dawson |
| 19 | pleased with the manner in which Central |  | regarding this proposed merger? |
| 20 | operates its district? |  | A. No. |
| 21 | A. Very well, very -- perfect job. | 21 | Q. Well, let me bring your attention |
| 22 | Q. Do you own surface water irrigated |  | a special meeting that was called on February |
| 23 | land in other irrigation districts? |  | 8th of 2022. Were you present at that meeting? |
| 24 | A. Yes, I do. |  | A. Yes, I w |
| 25 | Q. And do you have surface water | 25 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: That was |


| 1 | a meeting of the Tri-Basin NRD? <br> THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. |  | $\text { Page } 995$ <br> determine either, A, to take a position at all; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | B , to take a position for the merger; or, C , |
| 3 |  |  | take a position against the merger? |
| 4 | MR. DEGAN: Yes. |  | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | BY MR. DEGA |  | Q. Okay. So going into the special |
|  | Q. And let me strike that and back up |  | meeting, it was unknown as to even whether or |
|  | because I misstated in my question the year. |  | not the NRD was going to take a position? |
| 8 | So was there a special meeting of the |  | A. Absolutely. |
|  | Tri-Basin NRD called on February 8th of 2023? |  | Q. All right. Do you recall who was |
| 10 | A. Yes, there was. |  | present at that special meeting? |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And were you present at that | 11 | A. I believe all of our board members |
| 12 | meeting? | 12 | were present. And then there was several staff |
| 13 | A. Y | 13 | from Central and some board members, and the |
| 14 | Q. What |  | water group opposed to the merger was also in |
| 15 | meeting was called |  | attendance. |
| 16 | A. There was a concern on some of the |  | Q. Okay. Let's start with Dawson. Who |
| 17 | NRD board members that we need to either take a | 17 | was present from Dawson? |
| 18 | stand and either oppose it or agree to their |  | A. Just Gwen, the manager. |
| $19$ | proposal. And there's just been so much talk |  | Q. And who was present from Central? |
| 20 | about it, we wanted to have an open discussion | 20 | A. Devin and some other staff members. |
| 21 | about the pros and cons of it. | 21 | Q. Were there any board members present |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And so, again, I'm asking for |  | for either district? |
| 23 | what your understanding was. And if I |  | A. There was two, yes. |
| 24 | understand your testimony, that the special | 24 | Q. And who were they? |
| 25 | meeting was called so that your board could | 25 | A. Dave Nelson and Dudley Nelson. |
| 1 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Which ${ }^{996}$ |  | Q. And what were those concerns, if you |
| 2 | district are they with? |  | could describe them generally? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Pardon me |  | A. Oh, there's a lot of concerns. But |
| 4 | EARING OFFICER TEXEL: Which |  | mostly was the representation, the fin |
|  | district are they with, do you know? |  | representation of the board makeup. |
|  | THE WITNESS: They're with |  | Q. And do you personally have a position |
|  | Central. |  | on the merger or do you have any concerns |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | regarding the merger? |
|  | BY MR. DEGAN: |  | A. Personally I'm opposed to the merger |
| 10 | Q. And what was the format of the | 10 | Q. And why are you personally opposed? |
| 11 | meeting? Did -- did the opponents get an |  | A. Because I feel it's not in the best |
| 12 | opportunity to state their concerns? |  | interest of our NRD, my family farm, district |
| 13 | A. Yes. The chairman let them have a |  | as a whole. The people that I represent I |
| 14 | opportunity to ask some questions, open | 14 | don't feel like it is in the best interest of |
| 15 | questions to both staff. And there was quite a |  | them. |
| 16 | few questions back and forth. |  | Q. And why is that? |
| 17 | Q. Now, let me back up. |  | A. Basically you're losing up control on |
| 18 | Even before the special meeting was called |  | partial -- on the makeup of the Central's |
| 19 | in February, were there members on your board |  | board, which I think is very important. |
| 20 | that already had concerns regarding the merger? |  | And the other concern personally I have is |
| 21 | A. Yes, there was. |  | after this merger takes place, I get my power |
| 22 | Q. And had those concerns been relayed |  | from Southern Public Power. And our irrigation |
| 23 | to you in conversations with those other |  | district bills us from them. And that's -- |
| 24 | members? |  | there's no benefit to Southern Power whatsoever |
| 25 |  |  | from this district, from this merger. |


|  | $\text { Q. Okay. So you don't see Southern } \quad \text { Page } 998$ |  | $\text { Dlatt Diwn DDN Page } 999$ <br> Platte River PPD, there will be two directors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | getting any benefit from it? |  | in that county. Is that your understanding? |
| 3 | 3 A. No, I don't see any. |  | THE WITNESS: I think so. |
| 4 | Q. And when you talk about your issues |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And |
|  | 5 regarding Central's board, is it your concern |  | there will still be two in each of Gosper, |
|  | 6 of having to share any portion of control ove |  | Phelps and Kearney? |
|  | 7 that board or even any influence on the board |  | THE WITNESS: Yep -- there will |
|  | with folks that are interested in delivering |  | only be a total of six in Gosper, Kearney and |
|  | electric power? |  | Phelps. |
| 10 | A. My main concern on that, the makeup | 10 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So can |
| 11 | of the board is that the -- now the | 11 | you explain? I'm not tracking you. Can you |
| 12 | representation's going to shift mainly to | 12 | help me understand why you're concerned that |
| 13 | Dawson County, and that's governed by a | 13 | Dawson County is going to have an outsized |
| 14 | different NRD, Central Platte NRD. And |  | influence, if I understood your comment |
| 15 | sometimes their interests are in conflict wit | 15 | correctly? Or help me clarify. |
|  | us. Sometimes they |  | THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Dawson |
| 17 | But to |  | County is in Central Platte NRD's jurisdiction, |
| 18 | big issue. |  | other than Tri-Basin's NRD jurisdiction |
| 19 | Q. Okay. |  | boundaries. So there's a -- there's a -- it's |
| 20 | ARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Can I | 20 | a different territory that they represent. |
| 21 | ask a question about that? |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |
| 22 | . DEGAN: |  | So when you said Central -- so if I am |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So you |  | understanding correctly, you're concerned that |
| 24 | said Dawson County. Dawson County from a representation perspective has two -- in the |  | that NRD will have more influence that this new entity that you're -- than the NRD that you're |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | currently a part of? |  | Q. And at the conclusion of that |
|  | ITNESS: That's my concern. |  | discussion, was a -- was a motion made? |
|  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |  | A. Yes, there was a motion. |
|  | Thank you. |  | Q. And I'm going to hand you a copy of |
|  | BY MR. DEGAN |  | Exhibit 58 and have you first review that |
|  | Q. Okay. I think you t |  | document. |
|  | folks from the Citizens Group were allowed to |  | A. Yes, that's an accurate statement of |
|  | state their case; is that right? |  | a motion. |
|  | A. Yes. |  | Q. Okay. So it states that a motion was |
| 10 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I want |  | made, that the new entity would leave the |
| 11 | to clarify. You said that they were allowed to |  | Tri-Basin NRD constituents without adequate |
| 12 | ask questions to the staff. To the Tri-Basin |  | representation. With this loss of |
| 13 | staff or the staff from the districts from |  | representation, we would not be able to fulfill |
| 14 | Central and Dawson or whose staff? |  | the mission statement of the Tri-Basin NRD? |
| 15 | th staff |  | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 16 | Q. And in -- were there additional |
| 17 | So whoever -- basically could ask questions to |  | concerns beyond this that were discussed during |
| 18 | whoever they wanted? |  | that NRD meeting? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, rig | 19 | A. Not really, just the open discussion |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | back and forth. People were wanting to hear |
| 21 | I'm sorry. Go ahead. |  | both sides of the issue. |
| 22 | BY MR. DEGAN: | 22 | Q. But you don't recall any of the other |
| 23 | Q. Did the representatives of Central |  | particular concerns that were voiced during |
| 24 | and Dawson also speak? |  | that meeting? |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | A. No. |




| 1 | falling as fast. <br> So if that makes sense, where our |  | long. And the Prewitt has a two-mile outlet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | canal. |
|  | reservoir is is about 250 feet above the river. |  | The North Sterling has a 65-mile outlet |
|  | So we took it at a place that was far enough |  | canal that serves our fa |
|  | away that we could fill this large river. |  | Q. Okay. So at the end of the day, you |
|  | So we're trying to divert water right now |  | serve water irrigation customers? You call |
|  | in the wintertime to fill the reservoir. We do |  | them landowners; is that right? |
|  | that in the winter so that we can release the |  | A. Yep, that is correc |
|  | water in the summer to our irriga |  | Q. But despite the difference in names, |
| 10 |  | 10 | the service that's being provided is the same; |
|  | issues all the time. Today it's ice. We're | 11 | correct? |
|  | running water under ice conditions. So we have | 12 | A. It is. We're a -- we're a public |
| 13 | a 500 cubic foot per second inlet canal. It's | 13 | instrumentality of the State of Colorado. We |
|  | just a large ditch, unlined that goes towards | 14 | were formed under the irrigation district law |
|  | the reservoir. |  | of 1905. |
|  | And the ice builds up in there in the |  | So we -- we serve our farmers who pay |
|  | wintertime when it's cold. And we have to run | 17 | taxes to our county treasurer. She collects |
| 18 | less than we can and fill -- so that the water | 18 | with the property tax and gives those taxes to |
| $19$ | doesn't overflow the banks because it flows a |  | us, the irrigation district, to use to -- for |
|  | lot slower when it's frozen, a weak flow | 20 | our expenses. |
|  | underneath |  | Q. Okay. So under Colorado law, you |
| 22 | And then that fills the reservoir in the | 22 | have the authority to levy the tax for that? |
| 23 | wintertime. We release it in the summer. | 3 | A. That is correct, each October. |
| 25 | Same is true for the Prewitt. We only have a smaller inlet canal about five miles |  | Q. So it's done through that mechanism as opposed to a Water Service Agreement? |
| 25 |  |  |  |
| 1 | A. That is correct. |  | Page 1013 <br> North Sterling Irrigation District. So all my |
| 2 | Q. And have you been here for at lea |  | life. But I managed the district for the last |
|  | the last day or so of testimony |  | 30 years, almost 31. I have my 31s |
|  | A. Yeah, yeah, I came in righ |  | anniversary in May. |
|  | the storm. I've been -- I was here all day |  | Q. Okay. And what is your educ |
|  | yesterday and then today. |  | training and professional background? Do you |
|  | Q. Okay. So you have -- you've had |  | hold any certifications or licenses? |
|  | opportunity to hear some of the testimony that |  | A. I'm a registered professional |
|  | has been elicited? |  | engineer, civil engineer, in Colorado. I got |
| 10 | A. | 10 | my degree from Colorado State University in |
|  | Q. Okay. |  | agricultural engineering. |
|  | stream that your reservoirs are connected to? | 12 | I worked for five years as a consultin |
| 13 | A. We divert water from the South Platte |  | engineer, doing hydrology and geotechnical-type |
| 14 | Rive |  | engineering for mine reclamation. Basically |
|  | Q. Okay |  | our -- our emphasis was in mine reclamation. |
|  | running through your system before it comes |  | Q. Okay. |
|  | downstream here into Central's system? |  | MR. DEGAN: Tim, may I approach? |
| 18 | A. That is correct. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And Central draws both from the North |  | And we already said that's continuing. |
| 20 | and the South Platte; is that your |  | MR. DEGAN: It may be |
| 21 | understanding? |  | continuing. I forgot that. |
| 22 | A. That's my understanding. |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 23 | Q. How long have you been in th |  | Q. Handing you what's been marked as |
| 24 | irrigation business? |  | Exhibit 64. Do you recognize that? |
| 25 | A. Well, I grew up on a farm under the | 25 | A. I do. |


| 1 | Q. And do you recognize that as your professional resume? <br> A. It is. <br> Q. And is it current? <br> A. It is current. <br> Q. Okay. So we've talked generally |  | have the ability to build large capital Page 1015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | improvement projects like hydro units in order |
|  |  |  | to provide an additional source of revenue for |
|  |  |  | the irrigation system; correct? |
|  |  |  | A. Yes. Lake McConaughy wouldn't be the |
| 6 |  |  | only one that -- especially in-strea |
|  | about the configuration of your irrigation |  | reservoirs that have the ability to do that. |
|  | systems. But I do want to recognize there are |  | Q. On the Platte system? |
|  | some differences between the irrigation system |  | On the Platte system? |
| 10 | that we're talking about before this board | 10 | Q. Yes. |
|  | And the principal one is that your reservoirs |  | A. Restate your question. |
|  | do not have hydro units? | 12 | Q. On the Platte River? |
| 13 | A. We do not have hydro units. We are | 13 | A. What about it? |
| 14 | not on | 14 | Q. Any of |
|  | Q. | 15 | A. Yeah, I don't know of any on the |
|  | storing water and releasing it as needed into | 16 | Platte River in my area. |
| 17 | your native irrigation sy | 17 | Q. Righ |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Are you aware of any others on the Platte |
| 19 | Q. Okay. |  | River between the Rockies and Missouri River? |
|  | not all irrigation systems have hydro units? |  | A. I -- I'm pretty sure there are. |
| 1 | A. Yeah, I -- I don't know of any in my | 21 | Glendo. And there's other ones, but I don't |
|  | area. We have six reservoirs. North Sterling | 22 | know -- I don't know for sure. |
|  | is the largest in our area. None of us have |  | Q. Oh, I'm sorry, you're differentiating |
| 24 | hydro. <br> Q. For those in-stream reservoirs, they |  | between specifically Kingsley. And that was probably my bad question. |
|  | $\text { Page } 1016$ <br> But other than Central, are you aware of |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | BY MR. DEGAN |
|  | any irrigation district on the Platte that has |  | Q. Okay. And what did I ask you to do? |
|  | in-stream hydro units? |  | A. Just look at a few documents. One is |
| 4 | A. I'm not aware. |  | the Phase 2 assessment, the amendment to the |
| 5 | Q. Okay. But of your knowledge |  | charter, the Plan of Consolidation and a letter |
|  | generally of hydro-incorporated irrigation |  | that you've used before. |
|  | systems, isn't it true that those units are |  | Q. Okay. |
|  | employed to provide supplemental revenue |  | A. And just give from my p |
|  | order to lower the cost of irrigation? |  | our reservoirs my opinion on -- on those |
| 10 | A. That is my understanding the reason | 10 | documents. |
|  | that they were constructed with hydro was to | 11 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Is the |
| 12 | offset the costs of the irrigation. | 12 | letter Exhibit 47? That letter? |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Now, you were cond | 13 | MR. DEGAN: Yes |
| 14 | to testify in this matter; is that true? | 14 | ARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay |
| 15 | A. That is | 15 | MR. DEGAN: Exhibit 47 and the |
|  | Q. And can -- do you recall -- go ahead. | 16 | report is Exhibit 22, the Phase 2 report. |
|  | A. Oh, I just want to say, I was | 17 | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 18 | contacted first by Jeff Larson who is part of | 18 | Q. Okay. And so did I ask you, after |
|  | the Citizens to -- | 19 | you reviewed those documents, just to give me |
| 20 | ARING OFFICER TEXEL: Citizens | 20 | your impression? |
|  | Opposed to the Merge | 21 | A. You did. |
| 22 | A. -- Opposed to the Merger. I'm sorry | 22 | Q. And what was your reaction af |
| 23 | Citizens Opposed to the Merger. So I was | 23 | reviewed the merger documents and the Phase |
|  | contacted by him first. He was -- and he put |  | report? |
|  | me in contact with you. | 25 | A. Why on earth would they do this. |

Page 1018
That was my first -- I mean, really, I mean,
that's my first impression when I'm reading
through that.
You're giving up exclusive control to an
amazing asset. I was at a loss why you would
do that, particularly an on-stream reservoir.
Q. Okay. Now, you touched on, you know,
some of the mechanics of how you operate your
system. And on a strictly irrigation system,
we're essentially talking about storing and
releasing. Isn't that the primary function?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. That's what water management
comes down to --
A. Right.
Q. -- storing and releasing?
A. We store in times of plenty so that we have it in times of scarcity.
Q. And for people that rely upon Platte

River for irrigation, that's a constant cycle
of wet periods and dry periods and in between
periods; correct?
A. Yeah, correct. I -- I've seen them
all -- there's -- North Sterling usually fills
every year. But there was a time in 2004 where
the Pawnee Power Plant in Brush.
And one is with Tallgrass Western Water to
provide water to oil fields to the west of us
for oil production.
So we made -- that's a 10-year deal. So
we've made some deals on behalf of the farmers
that we deliver to as well, if necessary.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Just to
clarify, the power use on the first example is
essentially a heat sync for a power plant? Or
a cooling source?
THE WITNESS: It's a cooling
source, I believe. I'm not a power person.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I don't know what
they do with it. I know that we have an
agreement to deliver -- to hold water in
reserve if they need it during the wintertime.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Okay. But those were contracts for sale of water; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So when you sell water, do you then
become conflicted with having to juggle between
we didn't fill. And that was a drought year.
But there's other times we have a refill
decree on some of our reservoirs, so we -- we
get varying amounts of water every year.
Q. Okay. And in certain periods of
scarcity, have you ever had occasions that
there was not enough water to deliver the full
allotment that was requested by your customers?
A. Absolutely. It happened last year.
Q. Okay. And that's called allotment?
A. Yeah. We give an allotment to our irrigation users, our customers.
Q. You can't provide water you don't have?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And since your system is strictly irrigators, you operate your system only for those users of the water; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. You don't have hydro units, so you're not selling power somewhere; right?
A. The only thing we do have is we have a -- we've made a couple of agreements through our boards to provide water to other people, short-term agreements. One is Xcel Energy for
your land-owning farmers versus these entities
you've sold your water to?
A. It could create a conflict. But the way we wrote our agreements is that we lose no control of the water. And if we deliver water, we're paid for the water that we would deliver.

The other thing we do is we put in -- a protection in there that any farmer can either subscribe to that agreement or not. So -- so it's completely voluntary.

Our first agreement with Xcel Energy, 84 percent of our landowners signed up for the agreement. So if we ever deliver water, they get the money -- the district gets some of the money to stand by in reserve.

But the farmers get the money if they use any water and only those that signed up for the deal.

The other guys get the full allotment. We have a reduced allotment if Xcel would take some water.

And that's the same in the other agreement as well. But the second time because they saw the benefit of that, we had 99 percent
participation of our farmers.

| 1 | So -- and we put protections in those that | 1 | Page 1023 <br> So they know they can either fallow some |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | either we're paid -- and quite a bit -- for our |  | ground or not irrigate their alfalfa all the |
| 3 | water or we keep the water and we don't have to | 3 | time, so they have options. We -- we offer |
|  | delive |  | them those options. |
|  | Q. And I just want to clarify th |  | The other deal -- and it's even at a |
|  | because you stated that you have contractual |  | higher rate -- they tell us in March how much |
|  | protections in place. So if you got to a |  | water they need for that. They have -- they |
| 8 | situation where you've got a scarcity of water |  | have a couple of them. |
|  | and you're maybe running into an allocation |  | In December, they can request up to 4,000 |
| 10 | event but you've got an agreement to -- with | 10 | acre-feet. |
| 11 | Xcel or somebody else that you've got to | 11 | March, they can raise that request to |
| 12 | deliver water, is it your testimony that that | 12 | 6,800 acre-feet if Xcel didn't take any water, |
| 13 | contract gives you the option to either not | 13 | because we only have so much water |
| 14 | deliver to Xcel or this third party or to | 14 | So in March, if they decide that they want |
| 15 | deliver but at an extremely elevated rate? | 15 | some water, again, our farmers will know how |
| 16 | A. Yes. So what we have in there is | 16 | much water they're requesting. |
|  | protection so that our farmers know before the |  | If during the course of the summer, say |
| 18 | irrigation season if these entities have taken |  | they didn't -- which they have done, say they |
| 19 | water |  | didn't request any water, we don't need any |
| 20 | So the Xcel deal is easy. | 20 | water this year and then they find out, hey, I |
|  | water in the wintertime. By the end of March, |  | need water in July, we can say, no, our farmer |
| 22 | we know whether the water has been used. So |  | have corn in the field, they have alfalfa, |
| 23 | our farmers know upfront, hey, I'm not going to |  | they've already made their plans, they're going |
| 24 | have quite as much water as I would have if |  | all the way through harvest. |
| 25 | they wouldn't have taken the water. | 25 | So our farmers are protected that, hey, |
|  | w're Page 1024 |  | vaility Page 1025 |
|  | we're not going to give up that water. If we |  | variability on releases? |
| 2 | have a surplus, yeah, we might give them some |  | A. Yeah. I mean, we get a big rain |
| 3 | water. So we have that ability. |  | event within our district, our farmers will |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And, again, because your |  | order their water off. |
|  | system does not have any, say, electric retail |  | And we've actually shut off in June for -- |
|  | customers, you don't have to juggle between |  | actually, in my 31 years, we shut off in June |
|  | those interests? |  | for the whole month because we just didn't have |
| 8 | A. |  | any need of the water. It was one of those |
| 9 | Q. And you've already alluded to this, |  | years where it just rained a lot. And our |
| 10 | the -- the water storage and release cycle | 10 | farmers didn't have any need. So we kept it in |
| 11 | varies over the course of a year; correct? |  | storage for later use. And it was important. |
| 12 | A. That is correct. | 12 | Q. Okay. And, again, you can't create |
| 13 | Q. That's seasonal -- seasonal | 13 | the water, you can only manage the water you |
| 14 | variability? |  | have? |
| 15 | A. Yeah, I mean, we have -- all winter |  | A. Right. |
| 16 |  | 16 | Q. Okay. So you had testified that |
| 17 | the winter. |  | after you reviewed -- well, let me back up. |
| 18 | And then we have -- sometimes whe | 18 | Did you gain an understanding from your |
| 19 | snowmelt begins, we'll have the ability to |  | review of the Phase 2 report whether you |
| 20 | store an additional amount in the May and June |  | Central currently has any retail electric |
| 21 | timeframe. So it's -- it's -- there's always |  | customers? |
| 22 | those seasonal fluctuations. |  | A. I did. |
| 23 | And they vary a lot in timing. |  | Q. An |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And sometimes can you have | 24 | A. So Central is a irrigation provider |
| 25 | situations where there would even be in-season |  | that also generates electricity. I had saw |


|  | that in the report. <br> I saw that Dawson is a electric retailer and -- Central sells the power. Dawson is a buyer of the power that -- that turns it over to their customers. <br> Q. But that Central currently doesn't have any -- |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Page } 1027 \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | mind when they release the water from -- from |
|  |  |  | these hydropower plants. |
|  |  |  | Q. So you testified that it made no |
|  |  |  | sense to you to go forward with this merger |
|  |  |  | from your perspective after you reviewed this |
|  |  |  | report. Why was that? |
|  | A They |  | A. Simply because when I looked at that |
|  | Q. -- electric retail customers? |  | report, coupled with the amend -- amendment, |
|  | A. Corre | 10 | coupled with the Plan of Consolidation, I saw |
|  | Q. Okay. So -- and there -- is it your |  | no protections in -- in anything. |
|  | understanding -- well, did you gain an |  | And I saw the makeup of the board changed |
| 13 | understanding as to how many hydro units that | 13 | and the loss of exclusive control of a -- of an |
|  | Central currently controls? |  | amazing asset. |
|  | A. Four. |  | So I couldn't understand, they're already |
|  | Q. And to the extent that Central enters |  | doing something, which is selling the |
|  | 7 into power agreements for the sale of that |  | hydropower, through PPAs, agreements, and |
| 18 | power, controlled by those contracts; correct? | 18 | they're not going to -- they don't have to do |
| 19 | A. Correct. |  | this in perpetuity with anybody. They looked |
| 20 | Q. But they're just selling the power |  | fine to me. |
|  | They're not beholding to do anything to those customers -- |  | And the other thing I saw in there is that |
| 22 |  |  | Central had \$102 million in the bank, and |
|  | A. Right |  | Dawson had 55 million in debt. And I'm |
| 24 | Q. -- other than deliver the power? |  | wondering, they're -- the other thing was th |
| 25 | 5 A. So they have -- the way I saw it, | 25 | was no valuation of the -- of the irrigation. |
|  | Page 1028 |  | THE WITNESS: All. Page 1029 |
|  | 2 find out how big McConaughy was. And I | 2 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
|  | I went online to look at Central's website to |  | the hydro -- |
|  | see how big it was. It seems like that would |  | THE WITNESS: Yeah, the |
|  | be something important that you would want to |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
|  | list. |  | facilities? |
|  | , |  | THE WITNESS: -- canals, the |
|  | had 208,000 irrigated district acres, surface |  | dams, the reservoirs, the water rights that you |
|  | water acres and 310,00 acres that depended on |  | own, all of that. |
| 10 | groundwater. But I didn't -- and they said |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |
|  | they had three reservoirs in the report |  | So it's amazing assets -- |
|  | And I just didn't -- I thought -- I | 12 | THE WITNESS: Assets |
|  | thought it was interesting that they didn |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
|  | value -- if you're going to merge two |  | plural? |
| 15 | companies, in my mind -- I'm not a financia |  | E WITNESS: Oh, yeah, sorry. |
|  | expert. You already heard from him. But |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And |
|  | you're going to merge them, don't you want to |  | maybe I just misheard you. Okay. Thank you. |
| 18 | know what you're getting into as far as the |  | THE WITNESS: No. I might have |
| 19 | assets that you're gaining or losing or -- and |  | misspoke. |
| 20 | then you're giving up exclusive contro |  | BY MR. DEGAN |
| 21 | those. And th |  | Q. Actually, that's a good point to |
|  | XEL: When you |  | touch off of. I mean, isn't McConaughy, the |
|  | talk -- excuse me just a minute. When you talk |  | reservoir, isn't that really the crown jewel? |
| 24 | about the amazing asset, is that Kingsley Dam |  | A. It is. I mean, it's a -- so in our |
|  | or one of the other hydros or what specific -- |  | area, way back in the 1950 s, they set up a -- |

the Lower South Platte Water Conservancy 1030
District. And they wanted to build a reservoir
similar to Lake McConaughy. They got it all
set up and funded.
And in the late 1970s, Jimmy Carter's hit
list, they canceled that reservoir off.
Denver Water tried to build Two Forks
Reservoir, an on-stream reservoir, so
Narrows -- I'm sorry, let me back up.
The Narrows Dam, which we looked at in our area and was ready for construction, served -served irrigators around. And it was -- it was going to be owned by the Lower South Platte Water Conservancy District. It was an on-stream reservoir.

Two Forks is a Denver water project. It was an on-stream reservoir but up in the mountains. And it was not allowed for environmental concerns.

So an on-stream reservoir is in my opinion a priceless asset.
Q. Just because under the current
environmental -- it's going to be redundant.
But in the current political environment that's
evolved over the last several decades, you
on on a high level. But I'm not in the weeds
in the Platte River Recovery Program. But I do
understand some of that.
Q. Okay. Fair enough.

But I guess the point I was getting at is
that the parties that are along a stream or a
river like the Platte, they all kind of have
some level of vested interest on how that water
gets managed because mismanaged upstream can
affect management issues downstream?
A. Absolutely. We're always -- we're
always watching the river very carefully.
If you look at our Lower South Platte
Water Conservancy District's website, we have
every diversion from Chatfield Reservoir to the state line and every flow in the river. And I
look at it daily.
Q. Okay.
A. So we're watching to make sure the state is doing the right thing when -- dividing up water according to your priority.
Q. Have you ever sat on either an elected or politically appointed position or board?
A. Yes.
can't satisfy the EPA, you wouldn't be able to build it?
A. Not an on-stream. I mean, there's
reservoirs being built today. And I'm aware of some of them and some that are being looked into. And, yeah, you couldn't build it today on stream.
Q. Okay. Is either of the irrigation districts part of the plant -- the Platte River Conservancy Program? I might be misstating the --
A. My irrigation district's --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- part of the -- oh, the Platte River Recovery Program?
Q. Yes.
A. We've been part of it. Right now we're not because there's issues with us paying the dues. We never get a bill. So I can't say. But we have been in the past. And we intend to in the future. But we're part of it.
Q. Okay. But so you have been involved in part of the planning process?
A. Well, not really the planning. A little bit. I'm -- I mean, I know what's going
Q. And what was that?
A. Well, I was appointed by Governor Hickenlooper to serve on the Colorado Water Conservation Board. And I represented the South Platte River and the Republican River Basins.

There are eight directors because we have eight river basins in Colorado. And each one gets a director, along with the city and county of Denver.

So there are nine directors that vote and another director from the -- that is the executive director of the Department of Natural Resources that also gets a vote.

And then there's ex officio members which include the state engineer, the commissioner of agriculture and others, the attorney general that sit on that board.
Q. Okay. And what -- what -- what's the role of the board? What does the board do?
A. Well, we have a large loan program for water projects within Colorado. So -- and we also have a grant program for all different kinds of water projects within Colorado.

And we -- we look at in-stream flows. We

|  | look at watershed protection, different -- a wide variety of things. <br> Q. Okay. And how long did you serve on that board? <br> A. Five years. <br> Q. Okay. But you're no longer on the board? |  | Page 1035 <br> also come to the Water Conservation District or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | the Water Conservation Board to -- to maybe |
|  |  |  | fil |
|  |  |  | So one was a $\$ 90$ million loan for the |
|  |  |  | Windy Gap Firming Project, which is being built |
|  |  |  | today. It's Chim |
|  |  |  | Q. Okay. And were you involved in |
|  | A. I'm not on the board anymore. |  | the -- reviewing that project for your board? |
|  | Q. Okay. But during that period when |  | A. Yeah, yeah |
|  | you were on the board and you were reviewi |  | Q. Okay. And during either your period |
|  | projects in -- I believe you indicated your |  | on the board or during the work you've done for |
|  | board would extend loans? |  | the irrigation district, do you have a rough |
|  | A. |  | approximation of the range of cost per acre it |
|  | Q. And soyou have to re |  | takes in Colorado in order to build a |
|  |  | 15 | r |
|  | the -- the planning for those various projects? |  | A. Well, it would be cost per acre-foot? |
|  | A. Yeah. You know, the staff, there was |  | Q. Yeah. |
|  | a whole staff associated with the Colorado | 18 | A. Yeah. So, I m |
|  | Water Conservation Board. And the staff looked at a lot of those, brought them to the board. | 19 | know of because I'm in |
|  |  | 20 | We have one that's -- the Windy Gap Firming |
|  | And we reviewed each board meeting. We had |  | Project, and that's \$20,000 per acre-foot. |
|  | quarterly board meetings that we reviewed if |  | Q. Oka |
|  | somebody was ask | 23 | A. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | A lot of the bigger reservoirs would do | 24 | We have the Northern Integrated Supply |
|  | bonding, that kind of thing. But they would | 25 | Project which is a reservoir above Fort Collins |
|  | Page 1036 |  | Page 1037 |
|  | coupled with a reservoir north of Greeley for a |  |  |
|  | total of 210,000 acre-feet. |  |  |
|  | And that -- the yield on that, so yield -- |  | So, you know, I'm not an appraiser. But |
|  | by yield I mean they might fill the reservoirs, |  | just from other projects, even -- the 6.1 is |
|  | but there's not enough water to actually take |  | the Perkins County Canal. But all these |
|  | all the water out of the reservoir every year |  | reservoirs are not on stream. None of them |
|  | so you fill it up when you can. And they |  | have hydropower. So this is just the reservoir |
|  | expect a yield of 40,000 acre-feet. And that's |  | to store the water and the water rights |
|  | \$50,000 per acre-foot. |  | associated with that. |
|  | I have a deal that we're looking at with | 10 | Q. And that's just for the reservoir? |
|  | Parker Water and Sanitation District. That | 11 | A. Just for the reservoir. |
|  | one's \$30,000 acre-feet. | 12 | Q. Okay |
|  | And then, you know, I've been watching the |  | A. Not any hydropower. |
|  | Perkins County Canal which -- because I'm -- |  | Q. And I understand that you're not |
|  | my -- my area of service is in District 64, | 15 | appraisal -- appraiser |
| 15 | which is subject to the compact with Nebraska. | 16 |  |
|  |  | 17 | dre what youre giving us is |
|  | feasibility study that was just done. And they | 18 | back-of-the-napkin based on numbers you |
|  | showed it was $\$ 567$ million for a 70,000 |  | and there's a great deal of variability on |
|  | acre-feet. So that's \$8,100 per acre-foot. | 20 | projects ranging from land costs to scope of |
|  | So -- so those kind of numbers I do have. |  | the project; correct? |
|  | And, you know, I -- when I looked at Lake | 22 | A. Absolutely. |
|  | McConaughy, of course, it's a 1.74 million | 23 | Q. But, you know, in your mind, if you |
|  | acre-foot reservoir. But the influence to |  | had to replace this asset, which you couldn't |
|  | that, kind of the yield would be 758,000. |  | do, but if you had to, then we would be talking |

```
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```

a range low side of 6 billion and high side,
did you say, 38 billion?
A. 38 billion.
Q. Okay. Billion with a B?
A. B. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. And that's not including the hydro units, not including the canals?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's also not putting a dollar
value on the water itself; right?
A. Those reservoirs that I mentioned, that is -- that is for the water stored in them as well.
Q. Okay.
A. So they have water rights associated with them.
Q. Okay. Now, nowhere in the Phase 2 report was there any assessment of fair value for any of the assets; do you agree?
A. I agree. That's one thing that surprised me is that there -- when I first looked at the report, I saw that it was done by a power company or power utility engineering consultant. And there was no consultant used for how this might affect the water users at
back in 1909 to 1911. That's what we carry on
the books. It's worth a lot more than that.
Q. And Kingsley's many multiples larger?
A. Of ours, yeah.
Q. And it's also in stream?
A. Right.
Q. And has a FERC license?
A. Yeah.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: When you
say a lot more than that, exponentially more
or --
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Can you give us a scope?

THE WITNESS: 38 billion. So as
far as --
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: You have a range.

THE WITNESS: -- Central -- yes,
Central, he said 84 million on the books. But
it's 6 billion to 38 billion, in my estimation,
North Sterling would have that same kind of
growth. I didn't do the numbers on those.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: It had the same equivalent ratio --
all.
Page 1039
Q. And so setting aside that this isn't
a cashout merger and there aren't shareholders
who have to be remunerated, you know, we're
5 talking about a merger of two public districts,
but in your estimation, based on the sheer
volume of the assets, should that have not been
taken into consideration at some level for purposes of how this should be structured and managed?
A. It absolutely should have been taken into consideration.
Q. Just from a fairness proposition?
A. For fairness.
Q. I will represent to you that -- well, I'll represent to you that the only numbers that are carried on Central's balance sheet for its capital assets -- which include McConaughy, all four hydros and the canals -- is booked at roughly 84 -- $84,277,664$. So $\$ 84$ million for all of those assets at book value. I take it in your mind, that has absolutely no approximation to actual value?
A. No. Our book value on North Sterling is the same. It cost 2.4 million to build it

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -approximately? Okay.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Have you ever been approached by an entity that was interested in buying your irrigation system or buying a portion of your system?
A. No.
Q. What was the High Line Project?
A. Oh, you know, we have a rural electric provider, High Line Electric --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- in our area. And so they were just looking for renewable energy so were looking at a low-head hydro in our dam.

So we had a consultant who did both water and energy, had this water and energy nexus that they did that they looked at would it be feasible to put hydroelectric in North Sterling.
Q. Okay.
A. And so that -- that's what that was.

And we did a feasibility study that showed

|  | that it wouldn't be feasible because we don't |  | protections in there so that, hey, we're either |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | release water -- although it matches dur |  | paid or -- and they're only for sho |
|  | certain times, it's not enough of a match and |  | We don't like perpetuity either. So those are |
|  | enough of a constant supply because we don't |  | things that make us cringe. |
|  | release any water in the wintertime that they |  | Q. And because your directors are only |
|  | could establish a -- that it would be cost |  | serving water users and water irrigators, they |
|  | ef |  | don't have the conflict of having to decide |
|  | Q. Well, if -- if an entity did approach |  | whether a decision should be made which |
|  | you and it's a retail electric utility and, you |  | benefits an irrigator more than an electric |
| 0 | know, said we want to come in, we want to | 10 | consumer or vice versa; correct? |
|  | consolidate, you know, we think there's a play | 11 | A. Correct. |
|  | here to put some hydro in so we'd like to have, | 12 | Q. Which would be a difficult position |
|  | you know, some access to a water source, with | 13 | for any director to be in? |
|  | the intent that eventually there would be, you |  | A. Absolutely. |
|  | know, sharing of these assets, would you be |  | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. Your |
|  | comfortable with that transaction? |  | witness |
|  | A. Absolutely |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I have |
|  | Q. And why is that | 18 | some questions before you |
|  | A. Because we have differing ideas, | 19 | I want to kind of go down a line |
|  | differing customers that we're trying to | 20 | questions. If I understood -- and you can |
|  | deliver to. |  | clarify this or correct me if I understood. |
|  | And our -- our board and I are beholding |  | I think in response to one of the |
|  | to our irrigators to do -- to deliv | 23 | questions, the primary concerns that you see in |
|  | irrigation water. We wouldn't be -- that's why we did all the other agreements with the |  | the proposed merger is no protections, I think, and I think by that, protections of the water |
|  | irigation Page 1044 |  | Page 1045 |
|  | irrigation users? |  | exclusively surface water. And we have |
|  | THE WITNESS: Correct. |  | combined. And sometimes we have what's called |
|  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: The |  | augmentation plans that have to deliver water |
|  | board changes, in other words, how governance |  | to the aquifer to make up for well pumping so |
|  | is going to work in the proposed entity versus |  | that you folks in Nebraska aren't injured by |
|  | the current entity and loss of exclusive |  | the compact. You know, we offset all of our |
|  | control, in other words, it's -- it's -- mayb |  | pumping. And those don't always match, even |
|  | those two things are closely related, you know. |  | within farmers. |
|  | Essentially what I think has previously been |  | So if you have a whole area that's getting |
|  | described is 9 out of 12 directors versus 6 out | 10 | surface water -- I don't know the whole setup. |
|  | of 14. I mean, would that be a way to | 11 | I'm just saying in our area, if you have a |
| 12 | summarize your primary concerns? | 12 | whole area that's getting surface water and |
|  | THE WITNESS: Pretty much. | 13 | they were going to combine with a area that's |
|  | mean, I don't know if I know enough about the |  | provided groundwater, there's going to be a lot |
|  | area to tell you that -- how the board makeup, | 15 | of consternation and butting of heads. And |
|  | how that hurts. But exclusive control, | 16 | most likely that -- those would never combine |
|  | absolutely. |  | in our area. |
|  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |  | We've gone through a lot of troubles. And |
|  | THE WITNESS: The only other -- |  | I've been in the middle of a lot of fights |
|  | the only thing I do know is that we have | 20 | between well users and surface water users. |
|  | competing -- just because all of our farmers | 21 | And even that is difficult enough. And they're |
|  | live in a rural area, they have different |  | all rural farmers. |
|  | ideas. |  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So |
|  | We have farmers that are exclusively |  | let's -- let's look at some of the differences |
|  | groundwater. We have farmers that are |  | then between what you're used to managing where |

```
most of your expertise is and here.
    If I have understood correctly -- and if
you have an understanding of this, you can
clarify it or, if not, you know, I'll -- I'm
sorry. But I think what I've heard is that
most of the revenue that's generated by Central
comes from the hydroelectric generation.
    THE WITNESS:That's the way I
understand it, yes.
    BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And
so -- and your revenue is coming from the
ability to levy a tax on landowners?
    THE WITNESS: Correct.
    BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And so
```

in -- in this case, I mean, there's a lot of
already competing interests in being able to
generate -- it's not really competing because
all the revenue right now is going to support
the irrigation and lowering those rates.
Essentially that's the -- I think a way to
think about it; right? That Central is
generating revenue from hydroelectric sales to
be able to keep water rates lower; right?
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So do
DNR or something like that.
And -- and, yeah. But you can use them at
different times. And that could be to the
detriment of the farmers that are relying on
that surface water.
If they need -- if all of a sudden power
becomes really important in the wintertime for
some reason, which I don't know, but eventually
you -- you will have issues where you're
releasing some water at a time -- whether it's
rainfall or whatever it may be, a time that
your irrigators in certain areas don't need it.
And that is the problem I see.

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So do
you see anything -- you've described a $\$ 38$
billion -- up to $\$ 38$ billion asset. Do you --
can you definitively point to anything that
will reduce the value of that asset as a result
of the merger?

THE WITNESS: No. It's just
exclusive -- you don't have control like you
did before.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.
And -- all right. That's good enough. Thank
you.

|  | tries to buy it at the lowest price they can. |  | district in or through a merger? Page 1051 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I wouldn't -- I wouldn't want to be |  | A. No. |
| 3 | 3 BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I was |  | Q. And I appreciate you sharing your |
|  | referring to the -- when they're looking at |  | expertise in Colorado irrigation district work. |
| 5 | 5 doing these valuations based on book value. |  | Have you ever led a public power and irrigation |
|  | 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I see. Sorry. |  | district in Nebraska? |
| 7 | 7 BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Yeah. So |  | A. No. |
|  | that was her explanation, using book to book |  | Q. Have you ever led an irrigation |
|  | because that way we're apples to apples |  | district in Nebraska? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Right. But I | 10 | A. No |
| 11 | think it would be better because they've been | 11 | Q. Do you understand, sir, that the |
| 12 | in the business for 80 years -- I don't know | 12 | current footprint -- footprint of Central today |
| 13 | how long the powerlines have been there, but my | 13 | includes more groundwater irrigators than |
| 14 | understanding is powerlines depreciate. I have | 14 | surface water irrigators? |
| 15 | yet to see a water asset depreciate. It's only | 15 | A. I don't know that. |
| 16 | gone skyrocketing in my area. | 16 | Q. Are -- are you surprised by that? |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Thank you. | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. I | 18 | Q. Does that make any difference to you? |
| 19 | don't see any other board questions. | 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | MR. BRASHEAR: Okay. Thank you. | 20 | Q. Mr. Yahn, you've talked about control |
| 21 | OSS-EXAMINATION | 21 | or at least your North Sterling district serves |
| 22 | BY MR. BRASHEAR | 22 | irrigators. Who elects the board of North |
| 23 | Q. Mr. Yahn, good afternoon | 23 | Sterling district? |
| 24 | A. Good afternoon. | 24 | A. The water users |
| 25 | Q. Have -- have you ever led a public | 25 | Q. So irrigators elect the board |
|  | Page 1052 <br> members? |  | Q. And do you understand Phelps, Kearney |
|  | A. Irrigators. |  | and Gosper Counties as being related to water |
| 3 | Q. How many board members are there? |  | and irrigation? |
| 4 | A. Three for each of the districts that |  | A. Yes. |
|  | I manage -- |  | Q. So would you view them as aligned? |
|  | Q. And all three of those districts |  | A. With Keith County? |
|  | are -- |  | Q. With regard to water. |
| 8 | A. |  | A. They could be. They're -- Keith |
| 9 | Q. -- elected by irriga |  | County, I-- just listening here in the last |
| 10 | A. Correct. | 10 | couple of days, there's no irrigation land |
| 11 | Q. -- the boards are? | 11 | there. |
| 12 | A. Correct. | 12 | But they do have complementary interests |
| 13 | Q. As you talked about the system for | 13 | in Lake McConaughy because they use it for |
| 14 | Central, you've been talking about Lake | 14 | recreation in Keith County. |
| 15 | McConaughy as part of that as well. Would you | 15 | But the water users that actually own and |
| 16 | agree with me that Lake McConaughy and Keith |  | operate it are -- are us -- in Central's |
| 17 | County where it's located are aligned in |  | district and predominantly I understand -- I |
| 18 | interest with Phelps, Kearney and Gosper |  | didn't know this before, you know, Gosper and |
| 19 | County? | 19 | Phelps and Kearney Counties. |
| 20 | A. I don't know th | 20 | MR. BRASHEAR: I have no further |
| 21 | Q. Do you -- do you view Lake McConaughy |  | questions. |
| 22 | as being related to water -- |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Redirect? |
| 24 | Q. -- to water issues |  | MR. DEGAN: No questions. Thank |
| 25 | A. Yeah, it's a big reservoir. | 25 | you. |



Robert Dahlgren -- Dahlgren; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the third was for the vacant
seat that currently existed on Central's board?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And that seat was subsequently filled
by Mr. Soneson?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Soneson participate in any of
the deliberations regarding the proposed merger?
A. No, he did not.
Q. And he was not seated as a director
until after the vote; correct?
A. Yes. In January.
Q. I'll hand you what's been marked as

Exhibit 7. Have you take a look at that.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Seven?
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. It's Exhibit 48.

Deposition 7.
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Okay. The first page of Exhibit 48
appears to be an email string that began
with -- well, let me strike that and back up.
last 80 years. Is that a fair summary?
A. I'll take your word for it.
Q. Okay. Well, certainly at this time,
there were merger discussions underway by
February of 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is that consistent with what
his viewpoint was with respect to the merger,
that he -- he did not think it was either prudent or necessary?
A. Yes, for Mr. Dahlgren, that would be true.
Q. Okay. I'm handing you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 49.

Yeah, you can just leave that in front of you.

Mr. Nelson, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 49.

Now, the cover sheet is dated December 29,
2021. And, again, in your deposition, you
identified this as another communication from
Bob Dahlgren; although, it's not signed?
A. I don't remember identifying this in my deposition.
Q. Did you tell me if you'd seen it

Is -- is the bottom email on the first
page of Exhibit 48 an email from yourself to
Mr. Brundage and Scott Dicke and Emily Anderson
at Central?
A. From me?
Q. From you on the bottom.
A. I guess so. It's got -- says it's
from me.
Q. Okay. Well, the second page is a
letter that you identified in your deposition that you received from Bob Dahlgren?
A. Yes.
Q. So is this a letter he sent to all of the directors?
A. I'm assuming he did.
Q. Okay. And I'm just clarifying that from the cover sheet, you forwarded the copy that you received on to management for Central; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And paraphrasing, but in Mr. Dahlgren's letter, he is suggesting that, to the extent there's any revenue issue, that Central should hold the course and not make any radical changes in how it has operated over the before?
A. I don't think so.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: You don't think you told him, or you don't think you've seen it before?

THE WITNESS: I don't think I've seen it.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
A. There's a lot here. If I've seen it, I don't remember it.
BY MR. DEGAN:
Q. Well, this doesn't have the exhibits. So I can't tell whether it was marked. So we'll set that aside.

If you could look, does it -- can you tell by looking at it whether or not that seems to be something that Mr. Dahlgren would have sent? It's my understanding that he was fairly prolific in sharing his views to the board?
A. Yes, it's possible it came from him.
Q. Okay. Well, if you don't recall it, I don't want to hold you to it or ask any more questions about it. So we'll set that aside.

In addition to that, will you agree with
me that there were board meetings in the spring
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public comment to the board about raising
concerns and questions about the proposed merger?
A. There may have.
Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Greg

Heiden gave public commentary at any point in
the first half of 2022?
A. I'm not sure. But he probably did.

Maybe he did.
Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection one way or the other about Dave Dahlgren giving
negative commentary about the merger in the first half of 2022?
A. Dave Dahlgren probably did give some opinions.
Q. Okay. So the board certainly was aware in the first half of 2022 that there were some water users who had questions and concerns and issues about the proposed merger?
A. Yes, a few.
Q. Okay. All right. Were you present at a meeting of the Tri-Basin NRD that took place on February 8th of 2023?
A. Yes, I was.
of maintenance to dams and generators. I'm not
sure exactly what he said, that we could --
some of our -- some of our financial position
could go away.
And he also said that they should consider
Keith County as a Central friendly county
because of the water in -- because of Lake
McConaughy.
Q. Okay. So your recollection is that he wasn't saying there was any imminent financial crises but there could be future need for the reserve funds for infrastructure?
A. Yes, for infrastructure.
Q. Okay. Do you recall him saying words
to the effect that merger is survival for
Central?
A. I do not remember him saying that.
Q. Or, you know, things are pretty bleak?
A. I think I already said -- quoted what I believed that he said.
Q. Okay. Well, did he say that Central needs to merge?
A. I think he probably hinted at it. I don't know that he said it directly.
Q. And who else was present?
A. The directors were there. Tri-Basin directors were there. Their general manager was there. Their secretary was there. I was there. Dave Nelson was there. Gwen Kautz was there. Devin Brundage was there. Three to four people representing the opponents to the merger were there.
Q. Okay. And what was -- do you recall what the purpose of that NRD meeting was?
A. To vote to oppose the merger.
Q. Okay. And was it a special meeting that the NRD had called?
A. Yes, it was a special meeting.
Q. Okay. Do you recall -- and just to be clear, David Nelson was a -- and is a director of Central?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Nelson making statements during that meeting to the effect that due to financial issues, there would be no Central unless this merger were approved?
A. I believe you're not quoting him correctly. I believe he said we are -- our reserves could be in jeopardy because of a lot
Q. Well, you -- you don't agree with that statement, do you?
A. What?
Q. That Central needs to merge?
A. I don't know that we need to merge.

But I think it's a very good idea to merge.
Q. But it's not due to a financial necessity?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. Well, when I asked you in your deposition, you were pretty clear that you felt this was an opportunity, not a need?
A. Yes. I believe that it's an opportunity to strengthen the financial position of both districts that currently exist apart because of synergies and -- I don't remember exactly what I said.

But I did say that I believed it was a good idea to merge because of finance -- both make a bigger -- bigger company more financially stable.
Q. Okay.

MR. DEGAN: Matt? Why don't we go off the record for a moment.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.

| 1 | Off the record. <br> (4:08 p.m. - Recess taken.) |  | $\text { (At 4:22 p.m., with parties present as }{ }^{\text {Page } 1067}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | before, the following proceedings were had:) |
| 3 |  |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
| 4 |  |  | Q. Mr. Nelson, I just want to clarify, |
| 5 |  |  | the testimony that you just gave in respect to |
| 6 |  |  | a series of questions I asked you regarding the |
| 7 |  |  | testimony given by Mr. Nelson at the NRD board |
| 8 |  |  | meeting, that is your -- that is your best |
| 9 |  |  | recollection as you sit here today? |
| 10 |  | 10 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 11 |  | 11 | Q. So we are going to provide the board |
| 12 |  | 12 | a recording of Mr. Nelson's testimony as it was |
| 13 |  | 13 | recorded by the NRD. Would you agree with me |
| 14 |  | 14 | that whatever's on that recording is actually |
| 15 |  | 15 | what transpired during the board meeting? |
| 16 |  | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 |  | 17 | Q. Even if it doesn't necessarily |
| 18 |  | 18 | comport with your recollection? |
| 19 |  | 19 | A. Yes. I'm fine with that. |
| 20 |  | 20 | Q. Okay. Will you agree with me that |
| 21 |  | 21 | after Mr. Nelson spoke, that nobody from |
| 22 |  | 22 | Central stood up to correct him? |
| 23 |  | 23 | A. No. |
| 24 |  | 24 | Q. And I take it that -- |
| 25 |  | 25 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Nobody |
|  |  |  | CROSS-EXAMINATION Page 1069 |
| 2 | corrected him or -- |  |  |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: No, nobody |  | Q. You heard many things at that meeting |
| 4 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- |  | that day; correct, the NRD meeting? |
| 5 | nobody disagreed with what he said? I'm not |  | A. Yes, I did. |
| 6 | sure -- |  | Q. And I assume you heard many things |
|  | THE WITNESS: No, no, nobody |  | you did not agree with? |
| 8 | corrected him. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. THE WITNESS: Sorry. |  | A. Yes, I did. |
| 9 |  |  | Q. Did you correct those? |
| 10 |  | 10 | A. No, I did not. |
| 11 | BY MR. DEGAN: | 11 | MR. JARECKE: No further |
| 12 | Q. Okay. So his comments stood as far | 12 | questions. |
| 13 | as he uttered them? | 13 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I have |
| 14 | 4 A. Yes. | 14 | a procedural question. So you're going to |
| 15 | 5 Q. And you certainly didn't correct him | 15 | provide a recording? Is this an audio |
| 16 | or try to correct the record after Mr. Nelson | 16 | recording or video recording? |
| 17 | spoke? | 17 | MR. DEGAN: It's an audio |
| 18 | A. No, I did not. I didn't realize | 18 | recording. |
| 19 | there was a record to correct. | 19 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: All |
| 20 | Q. Okay. | 20 | right. So let's talk about that at the end of |
| 21 | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. No |  | the day because, I mean, when we figure out |
| 22 | further questions. Or at least pass the | 22 | what we're doing after the end of the day, I |
| 23 | witness. | 23 | mean, when we're going to get that -- because |
| 4 | MR. JARECKE: Just one. | 24 | you don't have that here for us today? |
| 25 | 5 /// | 25 | MR. DEGAN: I think we could |


|  | Page 1070 <br> have it today. | 1 | Page 1071 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 Matt? | 2 | (An off-the-record discussion was held.) |
| 3 | 3 Yep. | 3 | (4:26 p.m. - Recess taken.) |
| 4 | 4 BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Are you | 4 |  |
| 5 | 5 wanting to -- what are we supposed to do with | 5 |  |
| 6 | 6 that? I mean, I guess it's just like one of | 6 |  |
| 7 | 7 the exhibits that we haven't actually | 7 |  |
| 8 | physically discussed? Is that basically how | 8 |  |
|  | we're treating that? | 9 |  |
| 10 | MR. DEGAN: Yes. I think we | 10 |  |
| 11 | would mark it as an exhibit. It would go into | 11 |  |
| 12 | the official record and make a record of. | 12 |  |
| 13 | 3 BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. | 13 |  |
| 14 | I'm good with that. | 14 |  |
| 15 | 5 HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: We going | 15 |  |
| 16 | to have it transcribed, or are we going to have | 16 |  |
| 17 | 7 a recording? | 17 |  |
| 18 | B MR. JARECKE: You'll receive an | 18 |  |
| 19 | electronic recording of that file. | 19 |  |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay, | 20 |  |
| 21 | okay. | 21 |  |
| 22 | 2 MR. DEGAN: We can figure it | 22 |  |
| 23 | out, whatever form you want. | 23 |  |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Just | 24 |  |
| 25 | wanted to make sure I -- let's go off the | 25 |  |
|  | $\text { (At 4:26 p.m., with parties present as }{ }^{\text {Page } 1072}$ | 1 | For purposes of planning, this is the last |
| 2 | before, the following proceedings were had:) | 2 | witness that we intend to call. |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Let's go | 3 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |
| 4 | back on the record. |  | Mr. Schwarz, can you raise your right hand. |
| 5 | 5 All right. We worked out how -- how the |  | THOMAS SCHWARZ, |
| 6 | board is going to receive the recording. | 6 | Called as a witness on behalf of Protestants, |
| 7 | That's what we did when we were off the record. |  | being first duly sworn, was examined and |
|  | And where are we at? We just had the |  | testified as follows: |
|  | one question. | ${ }^{9}$ | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |
| 10 | MR. JARECKE: I'm good. | 10 | And for both the court reporter and me, could |
| 11 | 1 HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 11 | you spell your name? |
| 12 | Did you have any redirect? | 12 | THE WITNESS: Do you want my |
| 13 | 3 MR. DEGAN: I have no further | 13 | legal name? Thomas, T-H-0-M-A-S, Schwarz, |
| 14 | questions. | 14 | S-C-H-W-A-R-Z. |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Do you |
| 16 | Did -- did Chairman Reida or Ms. Peck have any | 16 | go by Tom? |
| 17 | questions for Dudley Nelson before he's | 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes, correct. |
| 18 | excused? | 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Would |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER REIDA: No. | 19 | you like us to use Tom? |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. | 20 | THE WITNESS: I would prefer |
| 21 | All right. |  | that, yes. |
| 22 | Thank you, Mr. Nelson. | 22 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |
| 23 | Mr. Degan? | 23 | Happy to do that. |
| 24 | MR. DEGAN: Yes. Call Tom | 24 | And what's your address. |
| 25 | Schwarz. | 25 | THE WITNESS: 311 Medina Avenue, |


|  | Bertrand, Nebraska. <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: All right. Mr. Degan's witness. <br> BOARD MEMBER MOEN: Did you swear him in? <br> HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I did |  | A. Correct But the bulk of it Page 1075 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A. Correct. But -- the bulk of it is, |
|  |  |  | Q. Bulk is. You have some dryland? |
|  |  |  | A. A little dryland, yes. |
|  |  |  | Q. And I assume that -- well, strike |
|  |  |  | th |
|  | swear him in. He was standing while he didthat. |  | I'm going to ask you total acreage that |
|  |  |  | you farm. And in that number, if you could |
|  | EXAMINATION |  | include land that you own, land that you |
| 10 | BY MR. DEGAN: | 10 | control, land that you rent and related either |
|  | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Schwarz. Where |  | corporate or family interests? |
|  | are you currently employed? |  | A. It's about a thousand acre |
| 13 | A. Self-employed farmer | 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | d where do you reside |  | A. We farm -- I farm -- I'm a |
|  | A. In Bertrand, Nebraska |  | fifth-generation farmer. Our kids farm with |
| 16 | Q. And what county is Bertrand in? |  | us. And so we've got a -- the kids are |
| 17 | A. Phelps. | 17 | involved and my wife so -- |
|  | 8 Q. Do you -- as a self-employed farmer, |  | Q. Okay. And of that thousand acres, |
| 8 | you have land in Phelps County? |  | the majority of it is irrigated? |
| 20 | is in Gosper County |  | A. Correct. |
| 21 |  | 21 | Q. And is that surface water irrigation? |
| 22 | are | 22 | A. Most of it is groundwater. We have |
| 23 | We did just pick up a quarter of an 80 |  | about 200 -- oh, 200 and probably 30, 40 acres |
|  | that is in Phelps County. <br> Q. And is that irrigated farmland? |  | of Central water. <br> Q. Any of it |
|  | Page 1076 |  |  |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: What was |  | decision made at the state level that there |
|  | that number again |  | needed to be an organization that was strictly |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: 230 or 40. |  | farmers that were involved in -- where the |
|  | can't just give you the - |  | board of directors can be elected from any |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Of |  | community. |
|  | surface water? |  | And in fairness, the first bo |
|  | 俍 |  | directors were mostly from Hastings, Minden and |
|  | ARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | Holdrege in the cities. So the Water Users |
|  | THE WITNESS: Approximately. |  | became a very important component to bring |
| 10 | BY MR. DEGAN: | 10 | forward water user or farmer issues directly. |
| 11 | Q. Any of those acres both surfac | 11 | Q. Okay. And so is it your testimony |
|  | groundwater irrigated | 12 | that the Water User Group has been in existence |
| 13 | A. Yes. There would be about 200 of | 13 | since roughly -- since Central was created? |
| 14 | those acres that would be both surface an | 14 | A. Yes, correct. |
| 15 | groundwater or have access to surface an | 15 | Q. And how many members does Tri County |
|  | groundw | 16 | Water User Group have? |
| 17 | Q. O | 17 | A. Oh, that's an excellent questio |
| 18 | director of any organization? | 18 | Q. Approximately. |
| 19 | A. Yes. I'm currently the | 19 | A. I'm not -- I'm going to guess, 120 to |
| 20 | president of the Central District, Tri County | 20 | 15 |
| 21 | Water Users. | 21 | Q. And to clarify, is the Tri County |
| 22 | , | 22 | Water Users Group, is that a separate |
| 23 | that organization, what -- what -- who are its | 23 | organization from the Citizens Group? |
| 24 | members, and what does it do | 24 | A. Yes, correct. |
| 25 | A. When Central was formed, there was a | 25 | Q. I take it there's some overlap? |
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water rights on them. And people were,
needless to say, a little upset about that.
But aside from that, I think we've had a
very strong -- and today we have an extremely good relationship.
Q. And what happened with those water
rights? Was that action taken by DNR -- the
Department of Natural Resources? Or was it an action taken by Central?
A. No. It was -- it was -- Department of Water Resources had allowed this thing to -they knew there was an issue. The department had let it slide.

And then a reporter for the World-Herald actually found this out in the course of working on the relicensing of McConaughy. And he put it in the World-Herald.

At that point, the -- you know, the
Department of Natural Resources couldn't look away anymore. So they had to --
Q. Okay. But it was the department that acted to act on those water rights?
A. Correct.
Q. It wasn't Central?
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referring to is you asked if I was a member.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
So the Tri -- the --
THE WITNESS: And that's not --
no. Central District Water Users has put no money in.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: The
group didn't donate the money, you and your wife did?

THE WITNESS: No, no, that was my wife, yes.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And so it was a personal donation?

THE WITNESS: Right, correct, yeah, yeah.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Central Water
Users is not --
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: The
Tri County Water Users didn't make that donation?

THE WITNESS: No, no.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I misunderstood that. Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And, honestly,
A. No.
Q. Okay. Okay. All right. But other than that issue, I take it your farmer members and your group have been generally -- generally happy with the way that Central has managed the district?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. And I should clarify, are you
testifying here on behalf of the Water Users Group?
A. I would say I should -- I should --

I -- I guess I should say I am testifying here on behalf of myself.
Q. Okay. There wasn't a resolution passed authorizing --
A. No, there was not a resolution passed, so -- we don't meet that often, as I stated.
Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I have one question about the $\$ 500$ donation.

Was that vote to make that donation unanimous of your directors?

THE WITNESS: Oh, no, this -the $\$ 500$ donation, that's -- that we're that was somewhat on my advice to our group
because if this merger were to come to pass,
I'm afraid we're going to need our -- need our
financial resources possibly down the road if
there's problems. And I didn't want to see us
completely empty our coffers.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So
what's the source of funding of Tri County
Water Users Association? You said limited
resources. Does it have resources?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. We have --
we get -- I think it's $\$ 20$ a year membership.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: From?
THE WITNESS: From irrigators.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And how
many irrigators have joined?
THE WITNESS: I think there's --
I'm -- again, don't hold me to this completely.
But I think it's around 120.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: And
previously you said 120 to 150 members.
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL:

|  | Page 1086 |  | Page 1087 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Somewhere in there? |  | had hard information. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's in that |  | Q. And was there a communication of that |
| 3 | range. I mean, I'm being conservative but -- |  | decision made to Centra? |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: That's |  | A. No. We just didn't take -- we just |
| 5 | an estimate? |  | didn't vote or -- you know, there was no motion |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yeah |  | passed or anything. We just -- we listened to |
|  | BY MR. DEGA |  | the Central staff and then left it at that, at |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Was the Water Users Group -- |  | that time -- |
|  | did they take an interest in this proposed |  | Q. So the board |
| 10 | merger? | 10 | A. -- you know, so -- |
| 11 | A. Y | 11 | Q. So the board -- the respective boards |
| 12 | Q. And did they take a position before | 12 | moved forward and made their vote; correct? |
| 13 | the respective boards voted on the issue, | 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Central and Dawson? | 14 | Q. And following that, was there further |
| 15 | A. No. Our -- we met in December. And | 15 | action taken by your group in connection with |
|  | our previous meeting was at the end of August. | 16 | this merger? |
| 17 | And at that point, at our previous meeting at |  | A. Yes. In late December, we met again. |
| 18 | the end of August, no decision had been made | 18 | And that, of course, was after the vote of the |
| 19 | regarding the board and the makeup of the | 19 | two districts. |
|  | board. It wasn't completely ironed out at that |  | And we voted -- it's my recollection is, |
|  | point. |  | we voted five to zero with one abstaining to |
| 22 | And a number of other issues, we didn't |  | oppose the merger. |
| 23 | know if they were ironed out. So I know |  | Q. Now, is there a Mr. Soneson who is a |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | myself, I suggested we wait to make a decision until we knew what we were voting on, you know, |  | member of Water Users Group? <br> A. Yes. |
|  | Q. Page 1088 |  | A. Page 1089 |
|  | Q. And who is that? Can you give us his |  | A. Yeah. |
| 2 | name and his position? |  | Q. And his grandfather's name? |
|  | A. Jeff is -- well, he was actually the |  | A. Gordon. |
|  | grandson of the board member that passed away |  | Q. Gordon. You didn't know you were |
|  | that created the vacancy on Central's board. |  | going to get quizzed on the Soneson family? |
|  | He's been a -- he's been -- he's a younger |  | A. No, no. Names are not my specialty, |
|  | gentleman. He's been on the Water Users for -- |  | I'll say that. |
|  | again, I hate to guess, but I would say five, |  | Q. It's my bad because I had written |
|  | ten years at the maximum. |  | down Soneson. So -- okay. |
| 10 | Q. And Jeff ultimately filled the | 10 | Were you here during the testimony of |
| 11 | vacancy? | 11 | Mr. Muhlbach? |
| 12 | AUDIENCE MEMBER: Brent. | 12 | A. Okay, you're -- |
| 13 | A. Brent, sorry. Jeff, oh, gees, sorry. | 13 | Q. Dan Muhlbach, the director from |
| 14 | BY MR. DEGAN: |  | Dawson? |
| 15 | Q. Excuse me | 15 | A. Yes, uh-huh. |
| 16 | A. Old-timers disease. | 16 | Q. And do you recall when he made a |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Why | 17 | comment that he had had a conversation with |
| 18 | don't you clarify that because we had some -- |  | Brent Soneson regarding Brent Soneson's |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |  | position on this merger? |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- |  | A. Uh-huh, yes. |
| 21 | chatter from the peanut gallery. |  | Q. Did that surprise you? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, Brent, | 22 | A. Yes, it did. |
| 23 | Brent. Sorry, my bad. | 23 | The last meeting I attended with him, he |
| 24 | BY MR. DEGAN: |  | was opposed to the merger, very -- I thought |
| 25 | Q. So it's Brent Soneson? |  | strongly opposed to the merger. So I'm not -- |

I -- I don't know what that's all about. ${ }^{\text {Page } 1090}$
Q. Okay. Was he an officer or a
director of the Water Users Group?
A. He's -- Jeff -- or I'm back again.

Brent is -- is a -- is the treasurer. He's an officer.
Q. An officer of Water Users Group?
A. Water Users, uh-huh.
Q. Did he resign once he became a board member of Central?
A. No, he has not resigned at this point.
Q. So he still has a position?
A. Correct.
Q. And the official position of the

Water Users Group is in opposition to the merger?
A. Correct.
Q. And that vote happened in December?
A. Correct.

MR. DEGAN: Thank you. Your
witness.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRASHEAR:
Q. Mr. Schwarz, good afternoon.
talking about?
A. Absolutely. Absolutely.
Q. You talked about the Water Users

Group taking a vote, I believe you said five
voting in favor --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- no one opposed, one --
A. Correct.
Q. -- abstention?
A. Correct.
Q. How many directors are on -- that was
in December of 2022?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. How many directors are or were on the
board of directors in December of 2022?
A. That's three -- there's three per
county. So there would be nine.
There's three from Gosper -- now, if -- I
don't know if you want to get into nitpicking,
but just in case you do, I mean, Gosper -- the
directors for Water Users on Gosper actually
are one township over into Phelps because of
the -- to make it somewhat even amongst all the
water use.
So even though I live in Phelps County,
A. Good afternoon.
Q. With regard to the line of
questioning Mr. Degan was just on for -- I want
to clarify a couple of things.
The Tri County Water Users Association, which also goes by the Central District Water Users?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Those are the same thing?
A. Yep.
Q. How long have you been a director of that organization?
A. Since mid '80s, 1985, about, I'd say.
Q. Okay.
A. In fact, I was president for a number of years. And then with relicensing, I got bogged down to the point I needed to cut back. So I removed myself as president. And I was president of the Nebraska Water Users, which is overriding.
Q. And you talked about the Water Users -- can I just use Water Users? Is that okay?
A. Sure, absolutely.
Q. We'll both understand what we're I'm technically a Gosper County director.
Q. Okay. And so -- so there were -- it
would appear there were three directors absent that day?
A. Correct.
Q. When you say the Water Users

Association has taken a position and met, are you referring to the board of directors? Or are you referring to the membership --
A. No.
Q. -- at large?
A. I'm referring to the board of directors.
Q. Okay. Did the board of directors poll its membership before taking that vote on what their positions might be on the merger?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Schwarz, I think you just indicated, I believe, you started your service as a director in 1985?
A. It was about --
Q. Roughly?
A. -- yeah, it was about that. I don't remember exactly, but it was in that area.
25 Q. And it's been continuous since then?

|  |  | e 1 |  | A. That would be my guess as well, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A Since then right |  |  |
|  |  | Q. Do you recall conversations in 2007 |  |  |
|  |  | for the board of |  | Q. Does that appear fair? <br> A. Yep, uh-huh. |
|  |  | Group about additional revenue streams for |  |  |
|  |  | Central or the need for additional revenu |  | Q. And it's addressed to the board of |
|  |  | st |  | directors, "Dear board of directors"? |
|  |  | A. No, I do |  | A. Uh-huh. |
|  |  | I'm |  | Q. And it -- at least it doesn't have a signature. But it's type signed, Central Water |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | do | 10 | Users? <br> A. Uh-huh. |
|  |  |  | 11 |  |
|  |  | talking about names of people; right? And so |  | Q. Would -- does this -- do you recall |
|  |  |  |  | perhaps this letter or this document? <br> A. I've got to be honest, I do not at |
|  |  |  | 14 |  |
|  |  | appr |  | all. This is the first time I've seen it. |
|  |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |  |  |
|  |  | B |  | I'd like you to look at the first paragraph. It states, "The Central Water Users |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 19 | would like to thank each of the directors and |
| 20 |  | transmission at the top? |  | their staff for their willingness to take on |
|  |  | a fax where it says H |  | the responsibility of ensuring the delivery of water to those of us who use the water system." |
|  |  |  | 22 |  |
|  |  |  | 23 | A. Uh-huh. <br> Q. Is that an accurate reading of that? <br> A. Yes. |
|  |  | block there, it would -- it would appear to |  |  |
| 25 |  | it says August 27th, 20 | 5 |  |
|  |  | Page 1096 |  | were -- that was the -- the issue at that time Page 1097 |
|  |  |  |  | were -- that was the -- the issue at that time |
|  |  |  |  | was fees for g |
|  |  | you have an impression of who that would be |  | Q. Okay. |
|  |  | referring to? Who does board of directors |  | A. That was in the late '70s. |
|  |  | ref |  | MR. BRASHEAR: At this time, I'd |
|  |  | A. I |  | MS. KREIFELS: We need it |
|  |  | directors based on what I -- the way I read it. |  |  |
|  |  | Q. The next paragraph refers to the |  | marked, first. |
|  |  | potential of implementing subsurface storage |  | MR. BRASHEAR: So mark, yes. (Exhibit 46 marked for |
| 10 |  | recharge fees? | 10 |  |
|  |  | A. Uh-huh | 11 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: |
| 12 |  | Q. Would you -- would that trigger some | 12 |  |
|  |  | recollection of whether that's been discussed | 13 | want a copy of it? |
|  |  | in the past with the Central Water Users board | 14 | MR. DEGAN: Yeah, I do. |
| 15 |  | whil | 15 | BOARD MEMBER GOTTSCHALK: Tim? <br> MR. DEGAN: Off record for a |
| 16 |  | A. | 16 |  |
| 17 |  | Q. So that is a topic that's bee | second. |  |
|  |  | A. Yes. T |  | (An off-the-record discussion was held.) |
| 19 |  |  | 19 | MR. DEGAN: No objection. Have |
| 20 |  | A. in fact, that topic actually goes | 20 | to go back on. |
|  |  | back -- in my history, I guess, that's when I |  | No objection. |
| 22 |  | started working on water resource issues. | 22 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL |
|  |  | I was actually a student at UNL, taking |  |  |
| 24 |  | lobbying classes with a gentleman that's | 2 | MR. BRASHEAR: So we would offer |
|  |  | actually seated behind me. And we -- we | 25 Exhibit 46 into evidence. |  |


| 1 | Page 1098 |  | Page 1099 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER PECK: So we don't |  | off the record for just a moment. |
| 3 | 3 have this; right? It's just there in the room? |  | (An off-the-record discussion was held.) |
| 4 | 4 HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Correct. |  | BY MR. BRASHEAR: |
|  | MS. KREIFELS: Sara should be |  | Q. So, Mr. Schwarz, I believe a momen |
| 6 | 6 emailing it to you. |  | ago we talked about the second paragraph, the |
|  | 7 MS. BIRKETT: I emailed it to |  | potential for implementing subsurface storage |
|  | 8 you, Bridget. |  | recharge fees. And you said that's an issue |
|  | 9 BOARD MEMBER PECK: Okay. |  | that the Water Users board has talked about in |
| 10 | MS. BIRKETT: I don't know | 10 | your tenure on the board? |
| 11 | you have your -- your office. | 11 | A. Yes, that is correct. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER PECK: Okay. Thank | 12 | Q. And you would understand that to be |
| 3 | 3 you. | 13 | Central potentially implementing subsurface |
| 14 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: If it | 14 | storage recharge fees, Central District? |
| 15 | helps any, I just got it for the first time |  | A. Correct. |
| 16 | too. So -- but you should be getting | 16 | Q. Potentially -- |
| 17 | shortly | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | d I'll accept Exhibit 46 into the | 18 | Q. -- imposing those? |
| 19 | record | 19 | A. Yes, correct. |
| 20 | 0 | 20 | Q. I would direct your attention, sir, |
| 21 | record and may be found | 21 | to the next paragraph. "We need to know that |
| 22 | separate.) | 22 | the district is researching every possible |
| 23 | MR. BRASHEAR: I want to make | 23 | avenue in developing additional revenues that |
| 24 | sure that the directors have it, I guess, before |  | will benefit both the district and its |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | but also well into the future." |  | fourth paragraph says, "We" -- in the relevant |
|  | 2 Do you see that? |  | part, "We are requesting research be made in |
| 3 | rrect, y |  | areas that would generate additional revenue |
| 4 | Q. Is that an accurate reading of that |  | from those who also use the water in perhaps a |
|  | paragraph? |  | more indirect way." |
|  | 6 A. Yes, it |  | Do you see that? |
|  | Q. The district there would be referring |  | A. No. Sorry. |
|  | to Central in that sentence; would that be |  | Q. Sorry. The fourth paragraph. |
|  | your -- |  | A. Okay. "To avoid continual taxation"? |
| 10 | A. I | 10 | Q. "Of the same group of people, we are |
| 11 | Q. -- assumptio | 11 | requesting research." |
| 12 | A. Yes, that would be my assumption, | 12 | A. Okay, okay. Yep. Okay. Sorry. |
| 13 | yes. | 13 | Q. No apologies. We're doing this |
| 14 | Q. Not -- not saying you remember this | 14 | together. |
| 15 | letter directly, but that conversation in -- | 15 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 16 | that idea in 2007, would that be consistent | 16 | Q. The "we" in that sentence, would you |
| 17 | with conversations you had as a director of |  | believe that would refer to the Central Water |
| 18 | Central District Water Users to find additional | 18 | Users or the Central Water Users' board at |
| 19 | revenue streams? |  | least? |
| 20 | A. Yes. I'm certain. In fact, the wind | 20 | A. I would assume that, yes. |
| 21 | power paragraph is the one that actually jogs | 21 | Q. And then the letter goes on to state |
| 22 | my memory a little bit. Because that was |  | suggestions of looking at wind power |
| 23 | unusual discussion at that time. And that |  | generation, working with NPPD, company charges, |
| 24 | does -- I kind of vaguely remember this. |  | boaters or turbines; is that fair? |
| 25 | Q. And -- and so going with that, the |  | A. Yes. |


|  | Page 1102 |  | Page 1103 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | warz, to say | 1 | Q Okay |
| 2 | that, you know, in 2007, at least, the Water | 2 | A. Absolutely, uh-huh. |
| 3 | Users Group was encouraging Central to consider | 3 | Q. Thank you. I appreciate that. |
|  | additional revenue streams beyond those it | 4 | You mentioned a moment ago, sir, |
| 5 | already had? |  | relicensing of Kingsley -- |
| 6 | Correc | 6 | A. Uh-h |
| 7 | Q. And would it be your understanding in |  | Q. -- and being involved in that. |
| 8 | 2007 that Central revenue streams included, |  | A. Uh-huh. |
|  | obviously, irrigation, surface water delivery |  | Q. It's -- is it fair to say that you |
| 10 | fees for delivering surface water? | 10 | were an advocate of relicensing of Kingsley |
| 11 | A. Correct. | 11 | when that came up? |
| 12 | Q. And it at least included hydropower | 12 | A. Oddly enough, I should |
| 13 | generation at that time? | 13 | should have given you a little background when |
| 14 | A. Yes, ye | 14 | I first sat down. |
| 1 | Q. And so this was encouraging | 15 | Q. You can do that now. |
|  | potentially revenue sources beyond those? | 16 | A. And I talked about the fact that I |
| 17 | A. Correct. | 17 | started this back in college with Maurice |
| 18 | Q. And you understand that those are the | 18 | Kremer, some of you might know Senator Kremer |
| 19 | two primary drivers of revenue for Central |  | or knew him. But, anyway, and then got |
| 20 | today? |  | involved with the Water Users, ultimately |
| 21 | A. Correct |  | formed the Nebraska Water Users to support |
| 22 | Q. And would it be your understanding | 22 | Central and NPPD in the relicensing process. |
|  | that those were the two primary drivers of | 23 | In that process, I was one of the eight |
| $24$ | revenue in 2007, if you -- | 24 | people that basically put together what was |
| 25 | A. Oh, yeah, primary, yes, yes. | 25 | called the Nebraska Plan, which ultimately |
|  | bage 1104 |  | areas of the State of Nebraska that have Page 1105 |
|  | became the Platte River Program, with some |  | areas of the State of Nebraska that have |
|  | changes. But that's -- that was the basis for |  | serious problems because they don't have th |
|  | that development. |  | water. And I don't want to see our area ever |
| 4 | And then beyond that, I served on the |  | to be in that position. |
|  | water policy task force and was part of the |  | The way relicensing was going in the late |
|  | core group that put together LB962, the courses |  | '80s was there was a decree by the Federal |
|  | most -- that governs most of our water today. |  | Energy Regulatory Commission basically telling |
|  | Q. And why were you advocating at that |  | Central you and NPPD have to release water, we |
|  | time for relicensure of Kingsley? |  | don't care about the irrigators, you're going |
| 10 | A. Well -- | 10 | to release it for the environmental purposes |
| 11 | Q. Why did it matter to you? | 11 | that we're requesting. |
| 12 | A. Yeah, I mean, in our area, I -- and | 12 | And that's where we got involved as Water |
| 13 | it was funny, at that time, we'd lost one piece | 13 | Users to try and fight this. |
| 14 | of ground. So I was down to actually 22 acres | 14 | We hired a young and upcoming attorney you |
| 15 | of Central ground. |  | might know by the name of Michael Johanns. And |
| 16 | And some NRD folks, because I was |  | he -- |
| 17 | advocating for surface water, were questioning |  | Q. Heard of him |
| 18 | why was I such an advocate when almost all my | 18 | A. He was who represented us in that |
| 19 | ground was groundwater. |  | process. |
| 20 | And my concern is to maintain that | 20 | And then ultimately after Mike moved on to |
| 21 | groundwater supply in our area is critical for |  | bigger and better things, we actually had to go |
| 22 | Phelps, Kearney and Gosper County to maintain |  | to Landmark Legal Foundation out of Kansas City |
| 23 | that underground supply of water. |  | to help us in relicensing. |
| 24 | And we have a stable supply of water today |  | And our attorney there was Mark Levin, who |
|  | because of Central. And we've watched other |  | some of you, if you ever listen to conservative |

talk radio and TV, he's pretty popular there.
Q. And you were advocating because you
were a resident of Central?
A. Correct.
Q. Because you were a surface water customer but also obviously had a groundwater interest?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And were you advocating on behalf of the Central District Water Users Association as well?
A. Yes. As -- yeah, I -- okay. I had a number of hats at that time. In fact, during the '90s, I was on the Central board, Central Public Power board as well so --
Q. Okay. Were there others who -obviously you had a lot of connection with Central at that time?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Were there others who were not residents of Central who were not members of the Central District Water Users Groups, were there others also advocating for the licensure -- relicensure of Kingsley?
A. Oh, yes.
of our water, who is the they that you mean?
A. In this case, it would be the Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission giving an order
based on the arguments of the environmental community.
Q. Do you believe it was beneficial for entities other than Central to be joining
Central and advocating for relicensure?
A. Yeah, we -- and this was -- and I
will credit Mike Johanns on this. This was
actually his idea to organize all the districts
in the state on the Platte River at a minimum
to work together for this.
Q. And by districts, do you mean
irrigation districts --
A. Irrigation districts --
Q. -- NRDs?
A. Correct.

No. NRDs were not -- I mean, NRDs were supportive. But they really weren't a part of our organization. They weren't a part of our organization. It was irrigation districts -surface water irrigation districts.
Q. But it was good to have other
irrigation districts joining Central and
Q. Why were they advocating for that?
A. Well, the NRDs were very concerned about the precedent that was being set or could
${ }^{4}$ be set if Central lost their water or a
significant part of it. And a lot of irrigation districts, of course.

When we formed the Nebraska Water Users, that was the basis for that was the irrigators all kind of sticking together and fighting the fight together because we knew when our license was -- was finished or accomplished, that they were going to move on to the Bureau of Reclamation projects in Scottsbluff and probably go after them for water as well.

And if we could come up with a global settlement, which was what we did with the Nebraska -- the Platte program, that relieved us of that risk because if they had taken -which they did take a percentage of our water, if they'd gone upstream and taken a percentage of everybody's water, then that then hurts our long-term supply in McConaughy.

So we were going to get hit for our 10 percent plus potentially a lot more.
Q. When you say they took a percentage advocating?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Thank you.

Sir, do you recall a meeting -- you were on the Central Water Users board in September of 2022; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall a meeting with Devin

Brundage in September of 2022 where he presented on plans for the --
A. Yes.
Q. -- merger?
A. That would have been the Water Users meeting. I thought it was the end of August. But it could have been the beginning of September. I'm not --
Q. In that timeframe?
A. Yeah, in that timeframe, yes.
Q. Do you recall him making a presentation at that meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. You were present at that meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall him saying that

Central -- that Platte River, by which I mean

time on water issues. We understand Page 1114
do it very well. I would challenge that
Central is probably the finest irrigation
district in the state today.
They're extremely well managed. They
understand water and how to use it and use it
conservatively, you know.
We want to keep water in McConaughy as
much as we can. You know, a full Lake
McConaughy is something we want. And it's also
something recreation people want. So we're kind of in line there.

But when the lake gets down to 200 acre-foot, hard decisions have to be made.
Q. Especially if the -- if the power savings are based on maximizing the efficiency of running the Jeffrey unit?
A. Yes.
Q. And --
A. Well, that -- that's a whole other -yeah. Okay. Sorry.
Q. Well, I mean, do you have a general understanding that the good folks in Dawson -Dawson's district, they're going to need power in the winter, too; right?
Q. It would have been during your period -- your tenure?
A. Yeah, oh, absolutely.
Q. Okay. Well, and I also want to
clarify, you're not against more revenue
sources? That's not your objection to the merger?
A. No, no. I -- I continue to be open to the concept of groundwater fees.

Now, there's some -- some issues that have to be dealt with in that area as well. But I -- yeah, I would be open to that.
Q. Groundwater's good?
A. Yeah.
Q. I mean, it benefits surface water irrigation?
A. Water's worth more than money to us. I mean, without water, we don't have anything. I mean, we're in deep trouble.

And, you know, we have a strange outlook maybe some people would say. But, you know, water is so valuable in our part of the state. And it's hard to even -- for someone in the eastern part of the state to understand the challenges, you know, in the western half of
A. Yes.
Q. And for the -- for the combined

3 entity to maximize the savings that are
${ }^{4}$ predicted in the report, that's assuming that
that Jeffrey unit's going to be running all 6 year?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Now, counsel asked you a series of questions on Exhibit 46 which was this Water Users letter.
A. Yes.
Q. I just, again, want to clarify today you're testifying in your individual capacity; correct?
A. Correct. I am representing myself.
Q. And counsel asked you a series of questions that were written on behalf of the Water Users Group?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. They've been approved by the entire group; right?
A. Yes, yes. Well, I -- yeah, I don't recall approving it. But I -- that wind power thing kind of jogged my memory that there was a discussion.
the state because, you know, precipitation
drops very quickly as you cross Nebraska. And so for us, it's a very key, key thing.
Q. But Central's doing a good job at groundwater recharge already?
A. Oh, absolutely, yeah.
Q. Central doesn't need to consolidate or merge with Dawson in order to do groundwater recharge, do they?
A. No, no.
Q. And Central doesn't need to merge with Dawson in order to realize the benefits of piping the power from Jeffrey into Dawson's district?
A. No.
Q. Could do it by a contract?
A. No. I would love to see a Power Purchase Agreement with -- with maybe more than one rural to do that. I think that would be a useful -- useful thing for everybody.
Q. A winning solution that would avoid all these inherent conflicts that this combined entity is going to have?
A. Yes. Because at some point, if you're sitting on that board, you're going to
have to decide, am I over here or am I over
here.
Q. There's going to be a winner or a
loser between two different ratepayers?
A. And it's just a sad fact that that's
going to be what's going to happen. You
can't -- you just can't get around it.
Q. Okay.

MR. DEGAN: Thank you. No
further questions.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Any
questions from the board?
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: I would
just have two.
Would you summarize your testimony to say
that this merger would be contrary to the best
interest of the district, that district being
Central?
THE WITNESS: Yes. I think it could be.

I -- I have a fair amount of knowledge of our area. And I think the risk of a problem is fairly -- is high, warrantly.

BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Let me
can put natural flow water on my ground. If ${ }^{\text {P }}$
it's not, we release water out of McConaughy,
and we use the storage use right. Okay?
Now, the board with its powers is a
trustee for us. We don't have the direct
ability to say I want my water or -- you know,
we -- we have to take what Central essentially
decides to give us. And we have agreements
with Central that lay some of that out.
But in the end, that board can decide
whether I get water or not, period.
Now, if they -- if a lake is clear full
and they say, yeah, we don't think we've got
enough water for you, I'm pretty sure we'd have
a decent argument. And I'd leave that to you
guys that are attorneys. But I'm pretty we'd have a good argument to say, no, I don't think so.

But when the lake is nearing the bottom third, I don't know then how it falls out.
It's a tougher decision, obviously and probably
a tougher case to make in court.
I mean, in theory, we have the right to
drain McConaughy as water users.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And
ask the 1119
ask the same question then as it relates to
whether or not this would -- you can
affirmatively determine whether or not this
would impair the rights of water users, this
merger would impair the rights of water users?
THE WITNESS: You know, I -- I
guess the answer is yes. But I-- can I explain why my answer is yes?

The way -- I actually wrote a book on water rights, Farmers Guide to Water Rights, a Guide to the Adjudication Process, which the DNR used in the adjudication process. They handed it out to farmers and whatnot to help them understand because it -- water rights is a -- as you guys probably know, is a -- it's a challenging arena.

When we formed Central, there were water rights granted on all of the ground in that area. Okay?

Now, there's different kinds of rights. There's a natural flow right, which all of us were to have.

There is a storage use right, which all of us need to have.

When natural flows are available, Central that right exists --

THE WITNESS: Now, would we do

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Does that right exist today?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: And
would it still exist after the merger?
THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: But the decision
has to be made at the board level as to how
much water is allocated in those tight years.
BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: All right.

MR. DEGAN: Yeah, can we let the witness go?

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Yeah.
MR. DEGAN: I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I didn't
know if you had any questions based on the
board's questions. I thought that's why --


|  | previous witness, Mr. Schwarz, obviously Page 1126 |  | that group? Page 1127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | testified extensively about his relationship |  | A. I've been actively engaged in that |
|  | with water and this Water Users Group. You're |  | group. I was secretary for many years. |
|  | also a member of that group? |  | President for a short period of time. But I |
|  | A. Yeah. I was a member of the Nebr |  | was -- that was one of many organizations I'm |
|  | Water Users. In fact, when he talked about his |  | involved with with water. |
|  | work with the unicameral in the '70s, I was the |  | Q. And in terms of let's jump now to the |
|  | one that -- the two of us went together. We've |  | Dawson board. How were you initially |
|  | been friends since '77. |  | approached to potentially fill a vacancy on the |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And in terms of -- well, your | 10 | Dawson Public Power District? |
|  | bachelor of science was in ag honors. How was | 11 | A. Joe Jeffrey, who is a current member |
|  | it you developed your own water interest or | 12 | of Dawson Public Power, ran into me at Husker |
| 13 | water expertise? | 13 | Harvest Days in September of '21 and asked if |
|  | . Mr. Schwarz contacted me after the | 14 | I'd be interested in filling a vacancy on the |
|  | FERC ruling on February 14th of 1990. I | 15 | Dawson Power board. |
|  | remember that date because my oldest daughter |  | Q. And what happened from there? How |
|  | was supposed to be born on the 1st of March |  | did you explore your interest or further |
| 18 | that year. So that date is pretty well | 18 | develop it? |
|  | ingraine |  | A. I talked -- at that point, I did talk |
|  | So the two of us got together. I called |  | to Pat Hecox, who was president of the board, |
|  | one of my cousins who happened to be president | 21 | and told him I would be interested if it came |
| 22 | of the Dawson County Irrigator Users. And the |  | up. |
| 23 | three of us then were kind of some of the |  | I then did not hear any more from |
| 25 | cohorts that founded Nebraska Water Users. Q And you've been actively engaged in |  | Mr. Hecox or anyone else until probably late April of '22 when Mr. Hecox called me and |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | offered me a position on the board of |  | you also actively involved in that? Page 1129 |
|  | directors |  | A. Yes. I was active in a lot of the |
|  | Q. I take it you went through some sort |  | work with a Memorandum of Agreement, |
|  | of approval process at Dawson Public Power |  | Cooperative Agreement, so forth. I attended -- |
|  | District and ultimately were sworn in? |  | I don't know. I wish Tom and I and Jim |
|  | A. I was sworn in May 4th of '22. |  | Lundgren had any idea of how many meetings we |
|  | Q. Okay. And before getting sworn in, |  | attended over those seven to ten years. But it |
|  | were you aware that there was this issue of |  | was in the hundreds. |
|  | this potential merger? |  | Q. And getting to your initial approach |
| 10 | A. Oh, yes. I -- I w | 10 | in terms of the Dawson board, do you know how |
|  | almost from the very get-go, as I'm interested |  | Joe Jeffrey ultimately voted in terms of this |
|  | in water. | 12 | potential merger? |
| 13 | I've did work with Central for -- since | 13 | A. Joe voted against the merger. |
| 14 | 1990. And I'm a Dawson customer. So been very | 14 | Q. Okay. But Joe was the person that |
| 15 | well aware of the situation. |  | approached you to recruit you to the Dawson |
|  | Q. And backing up to your water |  | board? |
|  | experience for a little bit, you talked abo |  | A. Correct. |
| 18 | the legislature. But have you actually | 18 | Q. And ultimately how did you vote with |
| 19 | testified on water issues before? |  | respect to this merger? |
| 0 | A. I testified on dozens of bills on my |  | A. I voted in favor of the merger -- |
| 21 | own behalf and also on behalf of many different |  | Q. When you -- |
| 22 | organizations, including Nebraska Farm Bureau, | 22 | A. -- or whatever you want to call it. |
| 23 | Nebraska Cattlemen and Nebraska Water Users. | 23 | Q. Before getting appointed to the |
| 24 | And, again, Mr. Schwarz testified a |  | board, what was your thought process as it |
|  | bit about the relicensing of McConaughy. Were |  | stood with this merger? |


|  | A. Unsure. In fact, I was actually preparing to testify at the unicameral a year ago in February of ' 22 on the Perkins County Canal. And I was visiting with Mike Drain, who is a staff member of Central, and asked him what I was missing because I did not see the benefit of merger. <br> Mike gave me a 30,000-foot view from his view of it. |  | Page 1131 though I was on the Dawson Power board. I was |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | looking to ensure that the water customers wer |
|  |  |  | protected. |
|  |  |  | o it |
|  |  |  | is there's a lot of synergistic benefits of |
|  |  |  | merged unit that -- than the two separately |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | plus two equal four, in my opinion, two plus |
|  |  |  | two equals six or seven or eight. It's a much |
|  | Shortly thereafter -- and I don't have the |  | greater than each individu |
|  | exact date, |  | So, asain Mr. |
| 12 | February, Mr. Schwarz and myself were in |  | particularly in direct response to a question |
|  | to visit with Central staff, including Devin |  | from Chairman Reida, that this would be |
|  | and other staff members to go over the Phase 2 |  | contrary to the best interests of Central. I |
|  | study that's been discussed in a great deal. | 15 | take it you don't share that view? |
|  | , |  | A. I don't -- I don't share that view |
|  | Brundage and others, what components of that -- |  | for several reasons. |
|  | ultimately obviously |  | First of all, the current makeup -- exc |
|  | this, but what components made you change |  | me. I better back up. The makeup of the |
| 20 | mind? | 20 | Dawson board while I was a board member |
| 21 | A. | 21 | was only a board member from May until the end |
|  | probably the first week of July of |  | of December when my permanent replacement was |
|  | I was reserving judgment based on I |  | elected in. |
|  | to make sure we had protection of water. I'm much more a water guy than a power guy, even |  | But in those terms -- times, virtually all of the Dawson board members are farmers or |
|  | much more a water guy than a power guy, even |  | of the Dawson board members are farmers or |
|  | retired farmers. And much like the board of |  | again, we're only rebutting the evidence of the ${ }^{\text {Page }} 1133$ |
|  | Central, we've met several times, had a few |  | suggestions that this is against the be |
|  | social activities with the Central board, and |  | interest of Central as it relates to water use |
|  | we all have the same beliefs about the |  | MR. DEGAN: But the evidence was |
|  | importance of irrigation wa |  | to Central, not to deliberations. And you're |
|  | And I feel that in my opinion, the curre |  | having him comment on Brundage |
|  | board -- I have no guarantee what the future |  | RECKE: Briefly |
|  | will look like, but the current board |  | a little latitude there because there we |
|  | understands the importance of irrigation water |  | questions from the board. |
|  | to the Central District. |  | BY MR. JARECKE: |
|  | Q. Were you present for the testimony |  | Q. The board's questions and I belie |
|  | Devin Brundage? |  | the synergies I want to get to were the |
|  | A. I was here for all of Mr. Brundage |  | explanation of timing of use. How -- there |
|  | testimony, yes. |  | were a number of questions to Devin Brundage of |
|  | MR. |  | how can we -- again, the rebuttal is the |
|  | certainly understand some -- some latitude |  | assumption that these two things cannot work in |
|  | here. But in light of the hour, I think we're |  | harmony, as was just said by counsel a minute |
|  | well beyond scope of rebuttal. |  | ago, they're in direct conflict. |
|  | We didn't put on any testimony or evidence |  | I believe it's your testimony that |
|  | regarding what Dawson did or didn't do. |  | is -- Mr. Batie, that that is not the case? |
| 21 | I understand it is rebuttal to the extent |  | A. I believe that the -- the combined |
|  | it relates to the Water Users Group. But you |  | units can work very much in harmony togethe |
|  | know, we're on a -- we're on a short clock |  | because they are very synergistic. They are |
|  | here. |  | not in opposition to each other. |
| 5 | MR. JARECKE: Absolutely. And, |  | Q. Okay. And why? |


| 1 | A. And I -- one of the things that |  | In a wet year, we would be using the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 Mr . Brundage and I had talked about as a | 2 | surface water because there was plenty of |
| 3 | 3 potential benefit of a merged unit that would |  | surface water to go around. Thereby, the -- |
| 4 | not be available under a PPA is some of the |  | would not be -- I'd still be using electricity. |
| 5 | conjunctive-use discussions. |  | But I'm using the available water that's |
| 6 | And I believe Commissioner Hutchison, you |  | flowing down the river. |
|  | had asked Mr. Brundage about this particular -- |  | The big thing is in the dry years. These |
| 8 | it might be Mr. Brundage gave an engineer |  | are the years that Central needs to keep more |
|  | answer and not a farmer answer. So I'll try to |  | water in the reservoir. Then you would switch |
| 10 | make it simple. | 10 | over your switch to pumping groundwater. |
| 11 | There -- I am a surface | 11 | This groundwater had been built up over |
| 12 | groundwater irrigat | 12 | the years from the depercolation of water, so |
| 13 | delivered by Nebraska Public Power District. | 13 | we have a great water supply on that. |
| 14 | And it, in the large part, is stored -- has | 14 | My water rights on the river are senior |
| 15 | storage rights in McConaugh | 15 | water rights. We're the third oldest water |
| 16 | I also have a lot of groundwate | 16 | rights in the State of Nebraska and as well as |
| 17 | irrigators. Many of my fields are -- have the |  | we have storage rights in McConaughy. |
|  | capability -- capability to be irrigated by |  | If I'm pumping groundwater, we don't have |
|  | both surface and groundwater and is served by |  | to make a call on that water out of the |
| 20 | Dawson Power for electric motors for pumps. |  | reservoir. It can stay in the reservoir. |
| 21 | The concept that Mr. Brundage and I have | 21 | Also, we don't have to make a call on |
| 22 | discussed and worked on is that potentially we |  | water flowing down the river. Any natural flow |
|  | could put in one set of transformers and one |  | that is flowing in the river could then be |
| 24 | meter for both the surface and groundwater |  | diverted into the Central region and not into |
| 25 | pumps on that property with a switch. | 25 | the -- my canal, which ironically enough is |
|  | called the Dawson County Canal, just to make it |  | A. Correct. Incentives could be a |
| 2 | really com |  |  |
| 3 | And |  |  |
| 4 | could be utilizing the water that I w |  | Yeah |
| 5 | normally be diverting but then they could |  | Q. Okay. And it's with this concept of |
| 6 | divert. |  | how we best allocate and utilize water to |
|  | The reason this could only happen under a |  | maximize the economic return? |
| 8 | merged entity is that there currently is no |  | A. Correct. And to go further, I mean, |
| 9 | reason for Dawson Power to allow one meter and |  | there are hundreds of irrigators -- |
| 10 | transformers for two different pumps. They | 10 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I'm |
|  | have no economic reason to do that. |  | going to interrupt for just a moment. |
| 12 | There's also no economic reason for me to | 12 | We're going to need to limit the |
| 13 | do the switching back and forth because I'm not | 13 | narratives here because we have -- |
| 14 | a customer of Central. | 14 | R. JARECKE: Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Mr. Batie, I'll in | 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- less |
| 16 | question. |  | than 15 minutes and cross yet, and we have some |
| 17 | A. Correct |  | administrative things to finish up before 5:45. |
| 18 | Q. So if I | 18 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 19 | your concept, you could be incentivized to do | 19 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So we're |
| 20 | so as a -- again, presently as a Dawson |  | on a time -- |
| 21 | customer but under a -- under a single unit | 21 | THE WITNESS: I will stop. |
| 22 | under Platte River, you could actually be | 22 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- very |
| 23 | incentivized for your rate whether to select or | 23 | short time. |
| 24 | use ground water and/or surface water to the |  | MR. DEGAN: And, again -- |
|  | benefit of the other surface water irrigators? | 25 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: What I |


| 1 | Page 1138 <br> need is a very short answer to Mr. Jarecke's |  | Page 1139 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | questions. | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |  | Q. -- Plan of Consolidation? |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I |  | MR. JARECKE: I have no further |
|  | appreciate the narrative | 5 | quest |
|  | THE WITNESS: I'm done now with | 6 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
|  | the narrative |  | BY MR. DEGAN: |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: But my |  | Q. Mr. Batie, this concept about the |
|  | job is to control the time h |  | meter switch, that's a conversation you had |
| 10 | MR. DEGAN: Again, I'm going to | 10 | with Mr. Brundage; correct? |
| 11 | object. This is from a -- | 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | MR. JARECKE: Ultimately | 12 | Q. Okay. There's no formal proposal or |
| 13 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: It is | 13 | anything that's been flushed out? It's just a |
| 14 | getting beyond rebuttal | 14 | concept; right? |
| 15 | MR. JARECKE: Okay | 15 | A. It's a concept. |
|  | BY MR. JARECKE | 16 | Q. It didn't form any part of the basis |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Batie, do you find this to be in | 17 | for why Dawson agreed to move forward with the |
| 18 | the best interest of Central's customers to | 18 | merger; would you agree with that? |
| 19 | move forward with this merger proposal? | 19 | A. I would agree with that. |
| 0 | A. I do. | 20 | Q. And I take it, it was not |
| 21 | Q. Do you also find it to be in the best |  | communicated to Central as a reason to go |
| 22 | interest of the Dawson customers to move | 22 | forward with the merger? |
| 23 | forward with this proposal? | 23 | A. Correct. |
|  | A. I do. |  | Q. And that, in fact, this may or may |
| 25 | Q. And that's why you voted in favor of | 25 | not happen? |
| 1 | A. Correct. |  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: -- we 1141 |
| 2 | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. No |  | need to take up those last three exhibits. |
| 3 | further questions. |  | MR. JARECKE: Yeah. We have no |
|  | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: I have |  | further witnesses. With that, Central has |
|  | some questions. |  | presented its case. And we can do the |
|  | You just described that those are |  | housekeeping. |
|  | speculative, you know, concepts that are not |  | MR. DEGAN: Okay. First, we |
|  | definitively going to happen? |  | want to clarify the discovery issue. So do you |
|  | THE WITNESS: Yes. It is a |  | want to state which of the documents we -- you |
| 10 | speculative concept that could happen, much | 10 | need to clarify? |
| 11 | like the speculation that the opposition had |  | MR. BRASHEAR: Yes. Just to |
| 12 | that the water could be harmed. They're all | 12 | clarify and I think also as we'd said we would |
| 13 | speculations, that the water users could be |  | indicate in response to Member Hutchison's -- |
| 14 | harmed. | 14 | Vice Chairman Hutchison's question, Central did |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Okay. |  | provide the 2022 and 2023 budgets as approved |
| 16 | Thank you. |  | by the board of directors to the Protestants -- |
| 17 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Any |  | to Protestants' counsel, I should say. |
| 18 | questions by Chairman Reida or Ms. Peck? | 18 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: As I |
| 19 | Okay. They both indicated no. |  | recall, that was a '23 budget and the '22 |
| 20 | Thank you very much. | 20 | unaudited financial statements? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |  | MR. BRASHEAR: Those were also |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | provided. But the ' 22 budget and the 2023 |
| 23 | With that -- |  | budget were both provided as well. |
| 24 | MR. BRASHEAR: No further | 24 | BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: Thank |
| 25 | witnesses. |  | you. |


| 1 | Page 1142 |  | Page 1143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | and in agreement. That's not an issue | 2 | MR. JARECKE: Not ye |
| 3 | Would propose a stipulation that if Greg | 3 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: We have |
| 4 | Heiden were called to testify, he would testify |  | them marked. But we haven't -- I haven't ruled |
| 5 | that he's a former farmer and current landowner |  | on them. And they haven't, I don't think been |
| 6 | in Central's chartered territory and is a |  | offered yet |
| 7 | current Central water service customer holding |  | GAN: All right. So I'm |
| 8 | a Water Service Agreement. |  | going to offer the original transcript of the |
| 9 | Can we stipulate that if he were called to |  | deposition of Roger Olson. |
| 10 | testify, he would so testify? | 10 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Is that |
| 11 | R. BRASHEAR: | 11 | 71 |
| 12 | MR. DEGAN: Similarly, if | 12 | MR. DEGAN: That's 71. |
| 13 | Richard Waller were called to testify, he would | 13 | And as Exhibit 72, would be the original |
| 14 | provide testimony that he owns and farms land | 14 | transcript of the deposition of Robert |
| 15 | in the chartered territory of Central and is a | 15 | Dahlgren. |
| 16 | current water service customer receiving water | 16 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Any |
| 17 | service per the agreement? | 17 | objections? |
| 18 | MR. BRASHEAR: Agreed. | 18 | MR. BRASHEAR: No objection. |
| 19 | MR. DEGAN: Thank you. With | 19 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So I' |
| 20 | those two stipulations and -- | 20 | accept Exhibit 71 and 72 into evidence. |
| 21 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So | 21 | (Exhibits 71 and 72 are made a |
| 22 | stipulated. | 22 | part of this record and may be |
| 23 | MR. DEGAN: And I think we | 23 | found separate.) |
|  | offered and received the depositions? | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | MR. DEGAN: And then do we have |
|  | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: We did |  | a -- that's the recording? |
| 1 | $\text { MS. HALLGREN: This is a flash } 1144$ | 1 | Page 1145 <br> MR. BRASHEAR: No objection. |
| 2 | drive. | 2 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So I'll |
| 3 | EGAN: Okay |  | accept Exhibit -- the acceptance of Exhibit 73, |
| 4 | MR. BRASHEAR: It's a blank |  | but I will leave the record open to accept that |
|  | flash drive. |  | actual flash drive or whatever form it can be |
| 6 | MR. DEGAN: Oh, it's a blank |  | delivered in until after the close of evidence |
|  | flash drive. |  | since I don't believe we have it yet. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I will |  | (Exhibit 73 is made a part of |
|  | leave the record open for acceptance of the | 9 | this record and may be found |
| 10 | audio of Exhibit 73 that is -- I don't know how | 10 | separate.) |
| 11 | to summarize what it's going to state. It's an | 11 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So with |
| 12 | audio recording is all I know at this point. | 12 | that, any other exhibits to deal with? |
| 13 | MR. DEGAN: I'll put on the | 13 | MR. DEGAN: None for |
| 14 | record that, pursuant to agreement with | 14 | Protestants. |
| 15 | counsel, 73 will be a flash drive containing a | 15 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: I |
| 16 | segment of the Tri-Basin NRD meeting conducted | 16 | believe that's -- okay. |
| 17 | on February 8th of 2023, consisting only of | 17 | MR. BRASHEAR: No. |
| 18 | a -- may even be just a portion of David | 18 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: All |
| 19 | Nelson's comments to the board. | 19 | right. |
| 20 | MR. BRASHEAR: During the public | 20 | So we had talked about closing arguments. |
| 21 | comments section -- session | 21 | I think given the hour and our hard stop, I |
| 22 | MR. DEGAN: During the public |  | believe the board would like to waive -- not |
| 23 | comment session. |  | have closing arguments even though we discussed |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: Okay. |  | those. |
| 25 | And I would leave -- no objection that exhibit? | 25 | We are going to have briefs. And I told |

the parties previously in the prehearing Page 1146
conferences, the board members and the counsels
would decide on the length and timing of the
briefs.
So unless the parties want me to decide
that at a later date, I would defer to the
board members to set that up at this point,
whether it be simultaneous or we have a
briefing schedule.
As I told the parties, a briefing schedule is very difficult because we only have 60 days.
And this is going to be a very voluminous
record for me to get the board's decision out -- written.

I would say simultaneous briefs unless the parties want to agree to extend the 60 days.

So with that, I would turn it over to the board members and counsels to decide on briefs.

BOARD MEMBER HUTCHISON: So before deciding on the length of the briefs, I do have one request for the briefs, especially on -- so a lot of the testimony today -- so the thought process that I'm going through is trying to understand the statutory criteria.

And I see basically three things, two of
criteria that is statutorily before us. And so ${ }^{\text {Page } 1148}$
if you could make -- that's especially, I
think, on you. If you could help make me
understand how the content of most of the
discussion today was relevant, that would be very helpful.

MR. DEGAN: Understood.
MR. BRASHEAR: Understood.
MR. DEGAN: Uh-huh.
HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: So as to
the length of the briefs and the due date, what
does the board want for that and in
consultation with counsels?
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: How long is it going to take to get transcripts?

THE REPORTER: Two weeks.
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: Two weeks?
THE REPORTER: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER REIDA: What would
be a reasonable time that you think after they
get the transcripts?
MR. JARECKE: If the board and
counsel were interested, maybe there's a 30
days -- assuming we're going to have the
transcript in two weeks, maybe approximately 30

Page 1147 them are very, very closely related. So if I
misunderstood this, please make sure this is clear in your briefs.

I see best interest.
I see jeopardizing or impair the rights of
the irrigated user -- the irrigation users, and then I think -- and those are under 664.

I think in 612, there's something about
being -- that the users of irrigation water
service will be prejudiced by this petition.
So I think those are -- at least as I've thought about it, those are the three primary criteria. So if there's more that I've missed, make sure those are clarified.

And then the other question that I had today that I would be asking if we were having closing comments is there was a lot of testimony today about the current financial condition of Central and perhaps differences of opinion or whether it was alleged or understood about whether or not that condition was changing over time and whether that made it necessary to do this merger.

It's not really clear to me how the scope of most of that conversation is relevant to the
Page 1149
days thereafter we could submit initial briefs simultaneously and then a response brief approximately two weeks thereafter, if either party so selected to respond. That would complete the entire process within the 60-day limit.

MR. DEGAN: My concern is I think that kind of extends out the whole process in getting the decision.

MR. JARECKE: Okay. I don't think it's necessary if we want --

MR. BRASHEAR: Let's do simultaneous.

MR. JARECKE: -- to just state initial briefs.

MR. DEGAN: Yeah, that's what -I think 30 days is reasonable. But I think, you know, with responses, I think we could probably go forever here.

MR. BRASHEAR: Simultaneous
briefs in 30 days, 30 days after delivery of transcript.

HEARING OFFICER TEXEL: After the transcripts?

MR. BRASHEAR: 30 days after


| 1 | C E R T I F I C A E |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | We, Lori J. McGowan and Jane Malone, |
| 3 | court reporters, do hereby certify that the |
| 4 | within and following complete transcript |
| 5 | contains all the evidence requested to be |
| 6 | transcribed by us, and the rulings of the court |
| 7 | thereon, from the proceedings had in or at the |
| 8 | trial of the foregoing cause in said court; and |
| 9 | that said complete transcript is a correct and |
| 10 | complete transcription of the evidence |
| 11 | requested to be transcribed from the record |
| 12 | made at the time of said proceedings or trial. |
| 13 | Dated this day of , |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Court Reporter |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Court Reporte |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |


| WORD INDEX | 958:11, 15, 16 | 17 768:15 | 938:18 940:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1107:23 | 1150:11 | 941:12 945:2, 4 |
| < \$ > | 10:07 770:1, 5 | 17th 770:6 | 20.3 826:16 |
| \$100 846:21 | 100 833:16 | 18 781:2 810:18, | 200 1049:8 |
| 878:20 | 843:12 847:3 | 22, 24 812:6, 20 | 1075:23 1076:13 |
| \$102 1027:22 | 849:8 874:15 | 829:15 836:23 | 1114:13 |
| \$11 853:9 865:21 | 877:9 891:3 | 837:3 851:18 | 2000 776:22 |
| \$11.3 850:6 | 965:11 | 898:24 899:15, 17, | 2004 1018:25 |
| \$115 849:9, 10 | 1023 769:4 | 23 | 2007 1094:2, 25 |
| \$150 974:23 | 10316 922:1 | 18th 937:19 | 1100:16 1102:2, 8, |
| \$2 817:1 | 108,000 938:11 | 19 837:6, 10 | 24 |
| \$20 816:11 | 10th 801:4 | 866:22 | 201 769:5 |
| 945:19, 23 | 818:18 952:22 | 1905 1011:15 | 2011 802:14 |
| 1085:13 | 979:12 1047:20 | 1909 1009:9 | 2017 866:22 |
| \$20,000 1035:21 | 10-year 803:15 | 1040:1 | 2020 809:5, 19 |
| \$250 969:7 | 859:5 1020:5 | 1911 1009:9 | 814:25 815:1 |
| \$30,000 1036:12 | 11 774:17 836:25 | 1040:1 | 816:1 817:3 |
| \$32 829:6 863:16, | 839:14 851:2, 5 | 1935 777:7 | 821:1 850:1 |
| 18 864:2 865:6,8 | 852:1 866:4 | 1950s 1029:25 | 2021 1060:20 |
| \$35 823:24 | 955:7 | 1970s 1030:5 | 2022 783:24 |
| \$38 1037:2 | 11.4 837:1 | 1985 1081:17 | 786:11 792:7, 16 |
| 1048:15, 16 | 110 895:25 | 1091:13 1093:20 | 798:24 800:10 |
| \$4.0 822:23 | 1128 769:11 | 1990 1126:15 | 925:5, 6 926:6, 10, |
| \$432,000 823:16 | 115 958:2, 3, 6 | 1128:14 | 16 931:2 941:4 |
| \$5 945:5 | 12 934:18 | 1995 776:7 | 965:21 981:3 |
| \$50,000 1036:9 | 1007:17 1044:10 | 1997 776:11 | 993:23 1047:20 |
| \$500 1078:14, 17 | 12:20 903:7, 20, 21 | 1999 776:22 | 1060:5 1062:1, 8, |
| 1083:21, 25 | 120 1077:19 | 1st 768:13 | 14,18 1092:12, 15 |
| \$55 960:6 | 1085:20, 22 | 793:11, 13 | 1109:6, 9 1141:15 |
| \$567 1036:19 | 125 1008:2 | 1126:17 | 2023 768:15 |
| \$77 945:1 | 12th 932:8 933:7 |  | 792:25 793:9 |
| \$8,100 1036:20 | 952:24 | <2> | 798:6 800:9, 12 |
| \$84 1039:20 | 137 780:25 781:4 | 2 795:14 797:2 | 809:8 811:15 |
| \$90 $\quad$ 945:3 1035:4 | $\begin{array}{lr} 14 & 934: 20 \\ 2 & 1044: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 803: 6 & 820: 5 \\ 833: 15 & 836: 8,11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 815: 9,12,16 \\ & 817: 20 \quad 819: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| < 1 > | $143.5849: 25$ | 838:21 850:5, 9 | 826:1, 14, 15 |
| 1 803:5 819:16 | 145.5 850:1 | 854:19 880:25 | 850:7 941:3 |
| 893:8 978:1 | 14th 1126:15 | 885:1 893:8 | 994:9 1062:24 |
| 1,800 923:22 | 15 1137:16 | 896:14, 19 904:13 | 1141:15, 22 |
| 1.3 819:16 | 1150:13 | 1017:4, 16, 23 | 1144:17 |
| 1.74 1036:23 | 150 923:25 | 1025:19 1038:17 | 2024 802:18 |
| 1:05 904:1 | 1077:20 1085:22 | 1130:14 | 809:9 811:15 |
| 10 781:23 830:16 | 160 780:23 | 2.4 1039:25 | 815:9, 17 817:20 |
| 850:1 932:25 | 941:11 942:7 | 2:52 1005:10 | 823:7, 14 826:1 |
| 936:16 952:8 | 1650 769:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 811: 15 \\ & 18,23 \quad 914: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 830:8 831:22 |


| 847:15 | 29 1060:19 | 40 811:12 929:3 | 866:9 1010:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2029 821:14 |  | 940:14 1075:23 | 52 829:4, 24 |
| 2030 794:24 | < 3 > | 1076:3 | 524 989:4 |
| 809:9 811:16 | 3 769:10 | 40,000 1036:8 | 55 960:1 1027:23 |
| 820:2, 3, 10 | 3.2 837:10 | 402.346.6000 | 56 805:1 806:9, |
| 822:22 823:7, 14 | 3:05 1006:1 | 769:18 | 11 807:6 813:25 |
| 830:8 849:10, 23 | 30 820:15 821:2, | 402.475.5100 | 814:22 819:8 |
| 850:7 877:10, 12 | 4 938:18 939:15 | 769:13 | 820:10, 11 821:25 |
| 2037 879:4, 13 | 959:6 960:23, 25 | 402.475.7080 | 848:8, 15 917:14 |
| 2050 877:8 | 961:3 978:13 | 769:7 | 918:23 |
| 208,000 1028:8 | 1004:10 1013:3 | 41 986:20, 24, 25 | 575 1028:7 |
| 20-megawatt | 1075:23 1148:23, | 987:2 | 58 1001:5 |
| 911:22 914:19 | 25 1149:17, 21, 25 | 41,000 1007:15 | 589,341 843:10 |
| 21 786:11 829:4, | 1153:10 | 43 810:18, 24 | 5-year 859:5 |
| 18, 20 863:12 | 30,000-foot 1130:8 | 812:6 898:25 |  |
| 1127:13 | 300 769:11 | 899:15 944:3 | < 6 > |
| 21.9 826:14 | 301 768:13 | 987:19 | 6 768:4 934:19 |
| 210,000 1036:2 | 30's 821:6 | 43590 1123:25 | 1038:1 1040:21 |
| 22 798:23 810:15 | 31 1013:3 1025:6 | 44 837:10 | 1044:10 1153:15 |
| 820:6, 25 833:15 | 1150:11 | 4457 771:7 | 6,800 1023:12 |
| 850:10 898:25 | 310,00 1028:9 | 45 850:1 1150:3, | 6.1 817:2 1037:2, |
| 899:11, 19, 21 | 311 1073:25 | 7, 13 |  |
| 1017:16 1104:14 | 31st 815:12 | 46 1097:6, 10, 25 | 6.7 837:1 850:22 |
| 1127:25 1128:6 | 1013:3 | 1098:18, 20 | 60 772:23, 24 |
| 1130:3, 22 | 32 843:17 863:13 | 1115:9 | 953:15 1146:11, |
| 1141:19, 22 | 32,589,341 830:9 | 47 818:16 819:5 | 16 1151:3 |
| 23 811:15 820:6, | 844:16 845:13 | 897:7 952:10, 14 | 60,000 938:15 |
| 12, 14, 18, 19 | 32,900 843:10 | 1017:12, 15 | 60-day 1149:5 |
| 821:1,2 941:5,6 | 350 1008:18 | 48 811:4 1058:20, | 60-plus 953:16 |
| 1141:19 | 36 782:19 | 23 1059:2 | 61 1009:12 |
| 230 1076:3 | 37 1006:25 | 49 811:11 | 612 1147:8 |
| 23029 1006:25 | 38 1038:2, 3 | 1060:14, 18 | 62 772:11, 20 |
| 24 820:14 821:2 | 1040:15, 21 | 4th 925:1, 5, 12 | 917:2,21 918:9, |
| 981:2 | 3rd 931:23 | 1128:6 | 23 919:2 920:2,3 |
| 24th 782:17 | 3-TESTIMONY |  | 63 771:23 772:5 |
| 784:7 933:10, 11 | 768:7 | < 5 > | 773:11, 22 |
| 980:24 1150:18, |  | 5 781:23 956:6 | 64 772:23, 24 |
| 22 1151:13, 17 | < 4 > | 5,000 939:3 | 1013:24 1036:15 |
| 1152:6 1153:9 | 4,000 1023:9 | 5:45 1137:17 | 65-mile 1011:3 |
| 25 774:19 | 4.7 837:3 850:25 | 5:48 1153:17 | 664 1147:7 |
| 1054:21 | 4:08 1066:2 | 50 811:8 820:6, | 68102 769:17 |
| 250 929:8 1010:3 | 4:22 1067:1 | 22, 23 974:24 | 68508 769:5, 12 |
| 25th 940:22 | 4:26 1071:3 | 1049:9 |  |
| 941:1 | 1072:1 | 500 815:21 | < 7 > |
| 27th 1094:25 |  | 859:20 860:17 | 7 978:1 1058:17, |


| 21 | 90-day 936:17, 20 | 925:13 926:21 | 1150:17, 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 877:14 945:1 | 90-plus 951:4 | 927:18 928:4 | 1151:2 |
| 70,000 1036:19 | 90s 1081:23 | 929:3 931:4, 6 | above 813:8 |
| 70s 1097:4 | 1106:14 | 932:5 935:10 | 1010:3 1035:25 |
| 1126:7 | 95 958:9 | 936:15, 18 938:11, | absence 804:3 |
| 71 1122:11 | 970 1054:21 | 18 939:3 941:12 | 1002:23 |
| 1143:11, 12, 20, 21 | 99 1021:24 | 944:18 947:10 | Absent 953:7 |
| 72 1122:11 |  | 948:4 951:2,21 | 1093:3 |
| 1143:13, 20, 21 | < ${ }^{\text {> }}$ > | 953:6, 14 954:16, | Absolutely 860:7 |
| 73 1144:10, 15 | a.m 770:1 | 19 962:23 964:17 | 870:4, 9 883:22 |
| 1145:3, 8 1153:1, | ability 912:9 | 966:21, 24 968:15 | 930:11 934:9 |
| 5 | 913:15 1015:1, 7 | 969:13 972:23 | 995:8 1009:6 |
| 738 922:1 | 1024:3, 19 | 977:9, 18, 24 | 1019:9 1032:11 |
| 74,590 1007:21 | 1046:12 1120:6 | 978:24 979:12 | 1037:22 1039:11, |
| 75 943:23 954:18, | able 791:5 | 981:2 990:2 | 22 1042:17 |
| 21, 22 955:1, 10 | 801:21 810:13 | 991:19 992:2, 15 | 1043:14 1044:17 |
| 758,000 1036:25 | 814:2, 12 831:14 | 994:20, 21 998:4, | 1083:7 1091:24 |
| 761 1124:1 | 832:4 844:2 | 21 1002:17 | 1092:2 1103:2 |
| 77 1126:9 | 884:1 912:4 | 1007:15, 17 | 1116:3 1117:6 |
|  | 973:8, 13, 15 | 1009:12, 21, 23 | 1132:25 |
| < 8 > | 1001:13 1031:1 | 1010:3, 25 1014:7, | abstaining |
| 8.2 817:2 837:9 | 1046:16, 23 | 10 1015:13 | 1087:21 |
| 80 877:14, 15 | 1047:4 | 1018:10 1028:24 | abstention 1092:9 |
| 929:5 1050:12 | about 777:17 | 1039:5 1044:14 | accept 890:24 |
| 1060:1 1074:23 | 779:5 781:1 | 1046:21 1049:8, 9, | 920:2 986:6 |
| 80123 771:8 | 782:7 785:4 | 21 1051:20 | 1098:18 1143:20 |
| 80751 1007:1 | 787:21 790:21 | 1052:13, 14 | 1145:3, 4 |
| 80s 1091:13 | 795:11 797:13 | 1056:17, 22 | acceptable 852:20 |
| 1105:6 | 802:2 804:13 | 1061:23 1062:2, 3, | 968:12 985:12 |
| 80-some 928:20, | 811:3, 4 814:21 | 12,13, $20 \quad 1069: 20$ | acceptance |
| 21 | 816:5 823:8 | 1075:12, 23 | 1144:9 1145:3 |
| 84 1021:11 | 824:2, 3, 10 825:2 | 1076:13 1078:4 | accepted 843:9, |
| 1039:20 1040:20 | 826:21, 24 828:3 | 1081:3, 5, 17, 25 | 13 844:6 846:19 |
| 84,277,664 | 829:5 830:15 | 1082:3 1083:21 | 1153:5 |
| 1039:20 | 838:13 850:19 | 1090:1 1091:13, | access 1042:13 |
| 8th 946:7 993:23 | 851:2 853:14, 24 | 21 1092:1, 3 | 1076:15 |
| 994:9 1062:24 | 854:14 857:15 | 1093:21, 23 | accomplish 939:22 |
| 1144:17 | 861:4, 19 863:8,9 | 1094:4, 12 1099:6, | accomplished |
|  | 865:13, 21 874:9 | 9 1103:16 1105:9 | 852:16 914:8 |
| <9> | 876:14 878:25 | 1107:3 1111:7 | 1107:11 |
| 9 934:18 1044:10 | 879:2, 12 884:8 | 1124:15 1125:5 | according 1032:21 |
| 90 847:3 939:1 | 893:2, 25 894:6 | 1126:2, 6 1128:17, | account 945:17 |
| 942:24 955:1, 10 | 897:25 899:3, 8 | 25 1132:4 1134:2, | 975:3 |
| 958:8 981:17 | 900:3 901:8,17 | 7 1139:8 1145:20 | accountability |
| $\mathbf{9 0 , 0 0 0}$ 1035:23 | $\begin{array}{ll} 915: 18 & 916: 15 \\ 918: 18 & 923: 22 \end{array}$ | 1147:8, 12, 18, 21 | 860:10 |


| accountable | 938:7, 11, 16 | 1024:20 1094:4, 5 | 1119:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 869:19 | 939:3 942:22 | 1099:23 1100:18 | afraid 1085:3 |
| accountant 775:8, | 1007:15 1028:8, 9 | 1101:3 1102:4 | After 774:7, 17 |
| 10 883:4 | 1075:12, 18, 23 | Additionally | 780:2 782:22 |
| accounted 889:14 | 1076:11, 14 | 778:13 | 784:6, 14 785:19 |
| accountholder | 1082:1 1104:14 | address 771:4 | 787:7 792:14 |
| 779:23 | acronym 815:6 | 838:10 921:20, 25 | 833:6, 21 834:5 |
| accounting 775:6 | Act 777:7 | 946:15 966:10 | 851:3 916:25 |
| 790:10 839:13 | 1082:23 | 974:4, 19, 24 | 950:3 966:25 |
| 841:1 842:11 | acted 1082:23 | 988:24 989:3 | 978:2 997:21 |
| 864:2 876:15 | acting 993:17 | 1006:22, 25 | 1017:18, 22 |
| 890:18 891:22 | action 784:23, 24 | 1054:19 1073:24 | 1025:17 1027:6 |
| 892:2 896:11 | 1082:8, 10 | 1123:22, 25 | 1058:14 1067:21 |
| accounts 848:11 | 1087:15 | addressed 907:25 | 1068:16 1069:22 |
| 991:22 | active 776:16 | 968:15 984:22 | 1087:18 1105:20 |
| accuracy 858:12 | 1129:2 | 1095:5 1096:19 | 1107:14 1110:19 |
| accurate 858:24 | actively 993:10 | adequate 778:9 | 1111:10 1121:8 |
| 859:2 860:4, 5 | 1126:25 1127:2 | 1001:11 | 1126:14 1145:6 |
| 865:7 898:18, 22 | 1129:1 | adjourned | 1148:20 1149:21, |
| 900:7 952:6 | activities 993:13 | 1153:14 | 23, 25 1150:24 |
| 963:12 970:6 | 1132:3 | Adjudication | afternoon 906:16 |
| 971:20 1001:7 | actual 809:6 | 1119:11, 12 | 922:7 989:10 |
| 1095:24 1100:4 | 813:19 844:3 | adjust 909:5 | 1050:23, 24 |
| accurately 836:4 | 867:7 1039:23 | adjustments | 1055:3, 4 1074:11 |
| 962:12 | 1145:5 | 851:24 | 1090:25 1091:1 |
| achieve 861:23 | actuals 815:23 | administrative | afterwards 915:1 |
| 873:6 880:23 | add 822:16, 20 | 812:9 854:4 | ag $1125: 15,16,18$, |
| 915:9, 11 | 904:10 1008:8 | 1137:17 | 19, 20 1126:11 |
| achieved 832:15 | 1110:10 | advantage 942:3, | again 770:10 |
| achieving 901:2 | added 797:20 | 4 1055:23 | 814:7 818:1 |
| 912:4 | 822:12 823:13 | advertised 940: | 826:6 838:10, 25 |
| acquired 881:16 | 938:8 | 18, 20 | 858:9 861:8 |
| acquisition 776:18 | adding 797:14 | advice 1085: | 863:2, 8, 15 |
| acquisitions 775:4 | 807:16 822:1 | advise 778:6 | 864:23 865:4 |
| acre 929:6, 10 | 845:4 892:5 | advocate 948:23 | 867:22 868:7 |
| 1035:13 | addition 924:15 | 1103:10 1104:18 | 869:16 870:9, 10, |
| acreage 1075:7 | 946:22 1061:24 | advocating | 20 871:6 872:5 |
| acre-feet 1007:21 | 1081:12 1110:7 | 1104:8, 17 1106:2, | 17 874:9 876:4,5 |
| 1023:10, 12 | additional 791:12 | 9, 23 1107:1 | 877:2 880:19 |
| 1036:2, 8, 12, 20 | 796:6 811:20 | 1108:8 1109:1 | 881:6, 10 883: |
| acre-foot 1035:16, | 813:11 840:8 | afar 927:15 | 10,14, 23 885:11, |
| 21, 23 1036:9, 20, | 862:10 873:23 | affect 935:11 | 25 888:4, 5 889:6 |
| 24 1114:14 | 883:19 892:8, 9 | 1032:10 1038:25 | 890:12, 14, 21 |
| acres 780:20, 23, | 896:16 901:13 | affirmatively | 891:21, 24 893:19 |
| 24, 25 781:3,4 | 975:24, 25 | 973:8, 10, 16 | 895:7, 14, 16 |
| 923:18, 22 924:1 | 1001:16 1015:3 |  | 896:4 932:6 |


| 950:7 968:22 | 1146:16 1151:5, | allocated 781:3 | alternative 809:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 974:2 978:13 | 19 | 831:10 893:6 | 4 |
| 994:22 1023:15 | agreeable 1151:18 | 1121:14 | Although 824:5 |
| 1024:4 1025:12 | agreed 789:8 | allocation 813:7 | 841:18 855:18 |
| 1060:20 1076:2 | 821:22 918:20 | 837:7 892:16 | 1042:2 1060:22 |
| 1085:19 1087:17 | 1122:20 1139:17 | 965:2 1022:9 | always 775:19 |
| 1088:8 1090:4 | 1142:11, 18 | allotment 933:1 | 876:23 911:14 |
| 1113:21 1115:12 | Agreement | 944:12 1019:8, 10, | 978:7 1024:21 |
| 1128:24 1131:11 | 781:18 785:2 | 11 1021:19, 20 | 1032:11, 12 |
| 1133:1, 15 | 799:18 801:5 | allow 835:17, 25 | 1045:7 |
| 1136:20 1137:24 | 852:17, 20 854:2 | 1136:9 | Amarillo 776:9 |
| 1138:10 | 877:1 884:6 | allowed 933:1 | amazing 1018:5 |
| Against 786:21 | 915:17 939:6 | 941:17 947:24 | 1027:14 1028:24 |
| 788:17 789:10 | 944:6, 8, 9 956:21 | 1000:7, 11 | 1029:11 |
| 924:17 948:23 | 960:1 961:15 | 1030:18 1082:12 | AMEND 768:6 |
| 995:3 1057:4 | 965:19 966:22 | allowing 835:14 | 1027:9 |
| 1078:7 1116:5 | 981:5 1011:25 | 837:24 | amended 1110:1 |
| 1129:13 1133:2 | 1020:17 1021:9, | alls 914:18 | AMENDMENT |
| agenda 948:5, 9 | 11, 13, 22 1022:10 | 936:16 | 768:4 972:25 |
| agendas 793:20 | 1117:18 1123:7 | alluded 928:4 | 973:1, 6, 7, 10 |
| aggregate 823:5 | 1129:3, 4 1142:2, | 939:3 952:16 | 1017:4 1027:9 |
| 849:3 865:14 | 8, 17 1144:14 | 971:18 1024:9 | 1153:15 |
| aggregated 849:9 | Agreements | alluding 916:19 | amendments |
| aging 878:17 | 796:14, 15 992:10 | 965:5 | 960:9 |
| ago 869:8 877:24 | 1019:23, 25 | almost 779:24 | amongst 859:10 |
| 925:15 954:16, 19 | 1021:4 1026:17 | 786:10 816:11 | 894:8 1092:23 |
| 991:19 1080:3 | 1027:17 1042:25 | 912:25 923:25 | amount 780:24 |
| 1099:6 1103:4 | 1120:8 | 928:25 940:14 | 828:19 835:15 |
| 1130:3 1133:18 | agrees 816:3 | 1013:3 1104:18 | 864:5 901:9 |
| agree 779:7 | 817:7 | 1128:11 | 944:12 957:3 |
| 811:16 817:6 | agricultural | along 778:24 | 983:4 1024:20 |
| 820:9, 10, 14 | 1013:11 | 786:16 865:12 | 1118:21 |
| 821:8 832:9 | agriculture 943:5 | 892:8 893:16 | amounts 1019:4 |
| 863:1 871:9,11 | 1033:17 | 909:9 914:4 | analysis 777:11 |
| 874:11 876:22 | ahead 865:20 | 1009:24 1010:10 | 819:9 825:20 |
| 879:11 880:9 | 866:16 906:12 | 1032:6 1033:9 | 829:2, 10 830:21 |
| 890:23 891:9 | 926:3 1000:21 | 1054:9 | 845:24 866:14 |
| 892:11, 25 913:6 | 1012:4 1016:16 | already 834:9, 11 | 876:1,9 896:14 |
| 939:11 963:3 | alfalfa 1023:2, 22 | 879:4 903:7 | 904:25 918:16 |
| 994:18 1038:19, | align 978:8 | 969:23 970:10, 12 | analyze 813:18 |
| 20 1052:16 | aligned 950:16 | 996:20 1013:19 | and/or 1136:24 |
| 1056:9 1061:24 | 1052:17 1053:5 | 1023:23 1024:9 | Andersen 774:8, |
| 1065:1 1067:13, | alignment 967:20 | 1027:15 1028:16 | 17 839:14 |
| 20 1069:7 | alleged 1147:20 | 1046:16 1064:20 | Anderson 1059:3 |
| 1139:18, 19 | allocate 853:13, <br> $18 \quad 907 \cdot 3 \quad 1137 \cdot 6$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1102: 5 & 1117: 5 \\ 1122: 2 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { angst } 956: 20 \\ & 974 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ |


| anniversary | 860:8 866:12 | anybody 786:18 | apples 1049:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1013:4 | 868:14 871:23 | 993:16 1003:16 | 1050:9 1113:23 |
| announced 776:8, | 873:14 876:18 | 1027:19 | applicant 1151:4, |
| 22 842:4 857:21 | 884:23, 24 886:8 | anymore 1034:8 | 5,12 |
| 931:6 | 887:11 888:12 | 1082:21 | Application |
| annual 1079:14 | 890:11 898:21 | anyone 792:19 | 1078:19 |
| annually 894:18 | 904:15 907:15 | 1127:24 | apply $834: 19,23$, |
| 909:3 944:10 | 908:24 910:2, 5 | anyone's 916:5 | 24 872:9 |
| another 781:23 | 911:12 913:8, 11, | anything 772:23 | appointed |
| 782:15 797:17 | 15,20 920:20, 22 | 786:15 814:13 | 1032:23 1033:2 |
| 854:8 884:5 | 933:12 934:3 | 825:21 848:15 | 1111:9 1129:23 |
| 892:9 916:14 | 935:10 938:6 | 856:13 874:6 | appointments |
| 993:4, 5 1003:7 | 945:7 947:11, 20 | 885:1, 2 886:10 | 983:17 |
| 1033:12 1047:24 | 948:19 949:8 | 887:1, 11, 15, 23 | apportion 891:25 |
| 1056:12 1060:21 | 960:14 966:25 | 901:20 906:18, 20 | appraisal 1037:15 |
| 1078:16 1110:10 | 967:19 976:16 | 956:25 959:9 | appraiser 1037:3, |
| 1124:10 | 990:5 993:6 | 967:12 968:10 | 15 |
| answer 800:21 | 995:21 997:7 | 986:5 990:21 | appreciate 962:24 |
| 832:21, 23 835:21 | 998:2, 3, 6, 7 | 1002:13 1026:21 | 963:23 968:9 |
| 836:2, 3 839:4 | 1001:22 1004:21 | 1027:11 1048:15, | 1051:3 1103:3 |
| 886:16, 17 889:1 | 1013:7 1014:21 | 17 1057:16 | 1138:5 |
| 910:9 916:16 | 1015:14, 15, 18 | 1079:12 1087:6 | approach 771:15 |
| 941:23 1119:7, 8 | 1016:2 1021:8, 17 | 1116:18 1139:13 | 792:11 793:4 |
| 1134:9 1138:1 | 1023:12, 19 | 1153:12 | 1013:17 1042:8 |
| answered 895:13 | 1024:5 1025:8, 10 , | anyway 880:14 | 1094:15 1129:9 |
| answering 887:7 | 20 1026:7 | 1103:19 | approached |
| answers 942:6 | 1037:13 1038:18, | anywhere 854:19 | 1041:6 1127:9 |
| anticipate 877:22 | 19 1042:5 | 965:2 | 1129:15 |
| 878:1 | 1043:13 1047:2, | apart 1065:16 | appropriate |
| anticipated 777:14 | 16 1050:19 | apologies 1101:13 | 784:24 871:2 |
| anxiety 962:8 | 1051:18 1054:3 | apologize 825:18 | appropriately |
| any 782:23 | 1056:21 1058:9 | 850:10 924:19 | 891:24 956:1 |
| 783:16 785:13 | 1059:23, 24 | 1056:19 | approval 778:22, |
| 786:18 789:17 | 1061:22 1062:7, | Apparently | $25 \quad 870: 18 \quad 1128: 4$ |
| 794:24 795:19 | 11 1064:10 | 1005:6 1047:19 | approvals 776:13, |
| 801:19 803:21, 24 | 1072:12, 16 | appear 965:1 | 17 778:24 |
| 809:13, 14 819:8, | 1075:25 1076:11, | 1093:3 1094:24 | approve 778:8 |
| 9 824:13, 23 | 18 1077:4 1078:5 | 1095:3 | 783:9 867:14 |
| 826:18 832:8 | 1098:15 1118:11 | appearance | 868:6 907:2 |
| 833:22 834:16 | 1121:24 1127:23 | 1006:9 | approved 782:17 |
| 835:5 838:18 | 1129:6 1132:19 | appeared 1062:1 | 784:7 793:16, 25 |
| 840:13 842:23 | 1135:22 1139:16 | APPEARING | 867:17 936:11, 13 |
| 843:1 847:12, 13 | 1140:17 1143:16 | 769:1, 8, 14 | 937:2 960:9 |
| 848:9, 10, 18 | 1145:12 | appears 961:6 | 967:25 973:1 |
| 851:24 853:15 |  | 1058:24 1094:19 | 1063:22 1115:20 |
| 854:19 855:5 |  | apple 1049:22 | 1141:15 |


| approving | 888:13 890:15 | 1089:6 1091:9 | 920:19 958:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1115:23 | 891:16 894:2, 6 | 1092:11, 14, 22 | 1039:3 1045:5 |
| approximately | 896:7, 17 897:19 | 1093:7, 9 1101:2, | argument |
| 783:12 865:8 | 899:10 900:7 | 10 1102:18 | 1120:15, 17 |
| 941:9 958:3, 5 | 904:6, 21 907:13 | 1111:9, 12, 22, 25 | arguments 1108:4 |
| 1041:3 1076:9 | 908:6, 20 913:1 | 1114:16 1115:3 | 1145:20, 23 |
| 1077:18 1148:25 | 914:6 916:2, 10, | 1119:25 1120:16 | arrangement |
| 1149:3 | 16, 18 919:13, 19 | 1122:6 1131:25 | 852:14 |
| approximation | 923:6, 18 924:3, 6, | 1133:23 1134:17 | Arthur 774:8 |
| 822:18, 19 | 15 927:3 929:25 | 1135:8, 14 1137:9 | 839:14 |
| 1035:13 1039:23 | 930:13 933:25 | 1140:6, 7 1143:21 | article 978:12, 23 |
| April 1127:25 | 934:21, 22, 25 | 1145:25 1147:1, 7, | ascribe 929:12 |
| aquifer 930:2 | 935:24 938:4, 20, | 11, 12, 14 1150:13 | aside 1039:2 |
| 1045:4 | 22 940:15 942:21, | 1152:18, 19 | 1061:14, 23 |
| are 770:5, $6,9,10$, | 25 943:17 948:15 | 1153:14 | 1082:4 |
| 13 775:7 777:16 | 950:10, 16 951:1, | area 783:4 839:7 | asked 784:18 |
| 780:8, 12 781:6, 7, | 3 958:6, 10, 13 | 889:9 901:9 | 787:9 792:6 |
| 8,21 783:22 | 959:15 960:9 | 923:7 928:14 | 799:24 843:24 |
| 784:4 791:4 | 964:14 965:15 | 933:17, 19, 20 | 844:3 846:14 |
| 792:3 793:3 | 968:20 970:9 | 934:19, 20, 21 | 855:14 856:3, 5, 7, |
| 794:15 796:9, 10 | 972:1 973:16, 19, | 949:24 950:11 | 14 857:18 906:17 |
| 797:7 801:17 | 20,21 976:16 | 951:3, 5 964:9 | 914:3, 12 916:12 |
| 804:5 805:24 | 978:16, 19 989:22 | 969:23 971:14, 19 | 931:17 932:6 |
| 807:10, 17, 25 | 990:11, 16, 19, 21 | 979:8, 10 1014:22, | 936:17, 20 937:13 |
| 809:11 810:1, 19 | 996:2,5 997:10 | 23 1015:16 | 948:2, 5, 17 964:2 |
| 813:6, 23, 25 | 998:8, 15 1006:8 | 1029:25 1030:11 | 967:17 977:8 |
| 814:18 818:16 | 1007:24 1008:20, | 1035:3 1036:15 | 980:5, 9 1049:11 |
| 822:17 826:14 | 25 1009:1 | 1041:15 1044:15, | 1065:10 1067:6 |
| 827:19 828:21 | 1012:12 1014:8, | 22 1045:9, 11, 12, | 1084:1 1111:6 |
| 832:8, 11 836:10, | 13 1015:18, 20 | 13, 17 1047:1 | 1115:8, 16 |
| 12 839:3 845:10 | 1016:1, 7 1023:25 | 1050:16 1074:22 | 1127:13 1130:5 |
| 850:15, 16 851:11 | 1031:5 1032:6 | 1093:24 1104:12, | 1134:7 |
| 852:6 853:16 | 1033:7, 11 1037:6 | 21 1105:3 | asking 840:10 |
| 854:9, 21 855:19 | 1039:17 1042:22 | 1112:10 1116:11 | 853:22 874:22 |
| 856:20 858:24 | 1043:3, 5 1044:8, | 1118:22 1119:19 | 886:8 888:23 |
| 859:2, 4, 20, 25 | 24, 25 1047:4, 15 | areas 830:5 | 891:8 905:18 |
| 860:4 861:16 | 1048:4 1049:11 | 930:13 939:4 | 923:19 932:18 |
| 862:1, 23 869:18 | 1051:16 1052:3, 7, | 959:5 969:22 | 981:9 994:22 |
| 870:1, 3, 10 | 11,17 1053:16 | 971:3 972:7 | 1034:23 1147:16 |
| 872:10 873:2, 5 | 1054:4 1063:24 | 977:10, 17 | asleep 943:12 |
| 874:14, 25 875:18 | 1064:18 1067:11 | 1048:12 1101:3 | aspect 883:12 |
| 876:10, 16, 19 | 1070:4, 5, 16 | 1105:1 | 970:1 |
| 877:1 878:5 | 1072:8 1074:12 | arena 1119:16 | assertion 1003:21 |
| 879:24 881:12 | 1075:16 1076:23 | aren't 838:1 | 1004:2 |
| 882:23, 25 883:4 | 1078:5, 6, 8, 9, 24 | 884:15 888:10 | assess 900:19 |
| 884:4 885:19 | 1079:2, 13 1083:8 |  |  |



| 1103:13 1125:6 | 912:2 927:19 | 1083:9, 13 1106:9 | benefit 792:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| backing 1128:16 | 950:22 991:1, 8 | 1115:17 1123:17 | 833:23 836:14 |
| back-of-the- | 997:17 1000:17 | 1128:21 | 851:9, 11, 12, 14 |
| napkin 1037:18 | 1013:14 1070:8 | beholding | 901:22 902:12 |
| backside 955:14 | 1103:24 1105:7 | 1026:21 1042:22 | 934:7 955:14 |
| bad 828:5 | 1146:25 | belief $841: 15$ | 970:4 976:3 |
| 840:12 907:19 | basin 950:16, 20, | beliefs 1132:4 | 997:24 998:2 |
| 943:11 946:4 | 21 991:4 | believe 772:7 | 1009:2 1021:24 |
| 1015:25 1088:23 | Basins 1033:6, 8 | 784:17 786:23 | 1099:24 1130:7 |
| 1089:8 | basis 797:1, 2 | 788:19 790:19 | 1134:3 1136:25 |
| balance 813:24 | 802:15 817:10 | 793:15 800:4, 20 | benefits 788:2 |
| 819:20 824:7, 18, | 826:2, 7 830:24 | 801:7, 24 802:14 | 791:3 797:18 |
| 19, 22 847:8 | 831:8 832:10 | 806:12 812:7 | 836:10 837:4 |
| 848:7, 13, 21 | 837:5 842:5 | 813:25 818:22 | 850:25 851:7, 16, |
| 873:25 874:9, 12, | 844:12 845:19 | 821:9 829:3 | 17 852:12 853:1, |
| 16 875:3, 7 | 856:1 858:20 | 835:5 837:12 | 5 854:3,15 |
| 881:11 883:5, 7 | 861:17 864:19 | 839:10, 13 847:18 | 855:15 856:10 |
| 944:22 956:13 | 874:23 892:12 | 851:1, 10 852:3, | 913:13 936:2 |
| 960:21 1039:17 | 914:14 1056:15 | $18 \quad 862: 25 \quad 865: 20$ | 958:23 959:3, 4 |
| 1056:5 1112:1 | 1104:2 1107:8 | 868:6 872:1 | 1043:9 1056:4 |
| bank 945:22 | 1139:16 | 885:19 886:4 | 1116:15 1117:12 |
| 957:11 1027:22 | Batie 1123:14, 16, | 894:15 895:1 | 1131:5 |
| banker 953:17 | 25 1124:6 1125:1, | 904:14 905:7 | Bertrand 922:2, 9, |
| bankers 778:5 | 25 1133:20 | 913:10 915:7 | 10 931:13 1074:1, |
| banking 953:16 | 1136:15 1138:17 | 919:4, 8 920:19 | 15, 16 |
| banks 943:1 | 1139:8 | 925:1 930:13 | best 791:6 |
| 1010:19 | B-A-T-I-E | 947:22 954:12 | 841:11 881:25 |
| base 851:15 | 1123:25 | 961:4 978:14 | 882:7, 8, 22 |
| 852:6 | beak 902:22 | 982:7 986:19 | 885:16, 20 950:6 |
| based 784:24 | Bean 979:12, 13 | 995:11 1002:4 | 954:13 958:6 |
| 788:2, 4 791:19 | bee 857:19 | 1020:13 1034:11 | 997:11, 14 |
| 795:6 810:1 | began 825:15 | 1047:23 1055:11 | 1002:16 1067:8 |
| 825:23 859:20, 21 | 931:3 939:19 | 1056:17, 23 | 1078:12 1112:21 |
| 864:17 884:21 | 1058:24 | 1057:2 1063:23, | 1113:7 1118:16 |
| 886:4 887:13 | beginning 787:19 | 24 1065:13 | 1131:14 1133:2 |
| 909:6 913:15 | 802:18 811:4, 7 | 1081:17 1092:4 | 1137:6 1138:18, |
| 917:4 919:19 | 940:9 962:16 | 1093:19 1099:5 | 21 1147:4 |
| 1037:18 1039:6 | 1109:15 | 1101:17 1108:6 | better 859:15 |
| 1050:5 1096:7 | begins 1024:19 | 1121:9 1133:11, | 860:11 872:24 |
| 1108:4 1114:16 | behalf 770:23 | 19, 21 1134:6 | 882:18, 19 910:21 |
| 1121:24 1130:23 | 789:9 792:15 | 1145:7, 16, 22 | 931:20 939:13 |
| bases 796:7 | 882:24 905:20 | believed 784:23 | 972:13 1050:11 |
| basic 794:9 | 921:15 988:19 | 788:4 1064:21 | 1105:21 1131:19 |
| basically 787:14 | 990:16 1006:16 | 1065:18 | beyond $856: 12$ |
| 842:14 901:18 | 1008:17 1020:6 | beneficial 1108:6 | 879:15 1001:17 |
| 910:23 911:2, 4 | 1054:14 1073:6 |  |  |


| 1102:4, 16 1104:4 | 792:18, 23 793:8, | 970:2, 7 971:2, 7, | 1093:8, 12, 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1132:18 1138:14 | 14 794:16 800:8, | 11 972:3, 5, 9, 11, | 1094:3 1095:5, 6 |
| bids 796:15 | 17,25 801:1 | 15,20 973:2,25 | 1096:4, 6, 14 |
| big 785:8 854:8 | 806:13, 15, 20 | 974:3 975:5, 15, | 1097:15 1098:2, 9, |
| 865:23 868:25 | 808:15, 20, 23 | 17 976:6,10,19 | 12 1099:9, 10 |
| 910:16 912:21 | 809:15, 20 810:10, | 978:3 980:23 | 1101:18 1106:14, |
| 938:9 940:17, 19 | 17,23 811:18, 24 | 981:3 983:16, 20, | 15 1109:5 |
| 945:4 974:21 | 812:3, 12 828:25 | 22 984:9, 14, 20 | 1110:17 1117:25 |
| 998:17, 18 1025:2 | 829:17, 21, 25 | 985:11, 16 986:4, | 1118:12, 13, 24, 25 |
| 1028:2, 4 1052:25 | 830:3, 10, 19 | 11 988:9 990:8, | 1120:4, 10, 25 |
| 1135:7 | 834:21 835:9 | 20,23 991:6, 13 | 1121:4, 7, 10, 13, |
| bigger 817:5 | 843:6, 23 844:13 | 994:17, 25 995:11, | 15 1125:12 |
| 875:9, 10 883:7 | 845:25 846:9, 13 | 13,21 996:19 | 1127:8, 15, 20 |
| 1034:24 1065:20 | 847:1 848:3 | 997:5, 19 998:5, 7, | 1128:1 1129:10, |
| 1105:21 | 856:11 859:17 | 11,20, 23 999:4, | 16, 24 1131:1, 20, |
| bill 1031:19 | 868:5 870:14,17 | 10,21 1000:3 | 21, 25 1132:1, 3, 7, |
| billing 910:3 | 881:5 892:21 | 1002:12 1003:23 | 8 1133:9 1137:2 |
| billings 909:5 | 894:18 896:24 | 1004:4, 10, 21, 22 | 1140:4, 15 |
| billion 1037:2 | 897:6, 10, 16 | 1005:4 1006:8 | 1141:16, 18, 24 |
| 1038:1, 2, 3, 4 | 898:6, 11, 14, 16, | 1009:3 1014:10 | 1144:19 1145:22 |
| 1040:15, 21 | 23 899:2, 12, 14, | 1020:8, 14, 19 | 1146:2, 7, 18, 19 |
| 1048:16 | 20, 24 900:22 | 1027:12 1032:24 | 1148:12, 14, 17, 19, |
| bills 968:20 | 902:15 903:9 | 1033:4, 18, 20 | 22 1150:14 |
| 997:23 1128:20 | 904:6, 16, 18, 23 | 1034:4, 7, 8, 10, 12, | 1152:1, 3, 17, 21 |
| BIRKETT 1098:7, | 905:3, 22 907:2, | 19, 20, 21, 22 | boards 936:12, 25 |
| 10 | 23 908:2, 6, 15, 19 | 1035:2, 8, 11 | 981:9, 14 983:19 |
| bit 775:25 829:9 | 909:11 910:7 | 1042:22 1043:17 | 990:6 1019:24 |
| 835:10, 11 842:16 | 911:18 912:1, 16 | 1044:3, 4, 15, 18 | 1052:11 1077:6 |
| 844:23 854:23 | 913:3 920:11, 19, | 1045:23 1046:10, | 1086:13 1087:11 |
| 903:16 1022:2 | 20, 24 921:1 | 14, 25 1047:10, 14, | 1112:5 |
| 1031:25 1094:23 | 924:12 931:7, 9 | 17 1048:14, 23 | board's 1111:15 |
| 1100:22 1125:4, 5 | 932:1 933:13, 18 , | 1049:3 1050:3, 7, | 1121:25 1133:11 |
| 1128:17, 25 | 25 934:1 935:9, | 17, 19 1051:22, 25 | 1146:13 1151:10 |
| blank 1144:4, 6 | 15, 18, 25 936:8, | 1052:3 1054:3 | 1152:17 |
| BLANKENAU | 11,12 937:23 | 1055:8 1056:9, 12, | boaters 1101:24 |
| 769:4 | 941:21 943:14, 16, | 25 1057:4, 7, 19 | Bob 931:9, 12, 17 |
| bleak 1064:19 | 24 944:5, 17 | 1058:4 1061:19, | 932:6 953:14, 18 |
| block 1094:24 | 946:22, 23, 24, 25 | 25 1062:2, 17 | 1056:11 1059:11 |
| blue 819:14 | 948:15 950:24 | 1067:7, 11, 15 | 1060:22 |
| 950:20 | 951:6, 10 952:18 | 1069:13, 19 | Bob's 953:15 |
| BOARD 768:2, 17 | 953:18 961:22 | 1070:4, 13 1072:6, | bogged 1091:17 |
| 770:9 772:15, 22 | 962:6 963:8 | 19 1074:4 1077:4 | boil 961:20 |
| 773:1, 7, 11, 16, 18 | 964:8, 11, 13 | 1078:2 1085:8, 14, | bond 937:13 |
| 783:21, 25 784:3 | 966:1 967:18 | 16,24 1086:19, 20 | Bonded 959:22 |
| 788:25 789:22 | 968:4, 6, 21, 22 | 1087:9, 11 1088:4, | bonding 1034:25 |
| 790:3 791:21,24 | 969:11, 20, 25 | 5 1090:9 1092:15 | bonds 916:18, 20 |


| book 876:13 | 21 1151:12, 16, 21, | 1003:5 1059:3 | buy 927:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1039:21, 24 | 24 1152:7, 13 | 1063:6 1109:9 | 945:12 965:9 |
| 1049:7 1050:5, 8 | break 823:21 | 1130:17 1132:12 | 1050:1 |
| 1119:9 | 849:6 896:22 | 1133:6, 14 1134:2, | buyer 1026:4 |
| booked 1039:19 | 903:1, 3, 8, 9 | 7, 8, 21 1139:10 | buying 893:13, 14 |
| 1049:12 | 906:3 942:9 | Brundage's | 900:15 913:24 |
| books 865:9 | 1005:2, 5, 7, 9 | 1132:13 | 965:13 1041:7, 8 |
| 879:10 1040:2, 20 | Brent 1088:12, 13, | Brush 1020:1 | buyout 969:2 |
| born 1126:17 | 22,23, 25 1089:18 | budget 793:1, 16, | bylaws 957:4 |
| borrow 945:23 | 1090:5 | 24 794:3 798:6 | 966:10, 20, 24 |
| Boston 774:9 | Bridget 768:21 | 800:12 1141:19, | 975:6 |
| bothers 893:3 | 812:9 1098:8 | 22, 23 |  |
| bottom 807:20 | brief 904:12 | budgets 1141:15 | < C > |
| 811:11 813:2 | 1149:2 | build 880:3 | calculate 895:4 |
| 819:13, 25 956:15 | briefing 1146:9, | 883:5 945:19 | 896:3 |
| 1059:1, 6 1120:19 | 10 1153:8 | 954:15 1015:1 | calculated 831:19 |
| boundaries 999:19 | briefly 771:23 | 1030:2, 7 1031:2, | calculating 896:7 |
| boundary 945:8 | 774:5 928:22 | 6 1035:14 | calendar 786:11 |
| box 801:24 | 1133:7 | 1039:25 | 792:6 798:24 |
| Brad 988:13 | briefs 1145:25 | Building 769:16 | calendars 823:14 |
| BRADLEY | 1146:4, 15, 18, 20, | 770:8 945:17 | call 770:4, 19 |
| 988:18, 25 | 21 1147:3 | 946:5 956:18 | 782:15 783:8 |
| B-R-A-D-L-E-Y | 1148:11 1149:1, | 975:9 | 789:4 858:1 |
| 989:1 | 15, 21 1150:13 | builds 1010:16 | 892:3 905:4 |
| brain 931:13 | 1151:17, 20 | built 929:22 | 909:14 921:7 |
| 953:13 954:1 | 1152:8 | 945:3, 25 954:25 | 943:22 945:23 |
| Brashear 769:8 | bring 859:15 | 955:8 1009:8 | 1006:11 1007:24 |
| 800:22 801:2, 9 | 860:11, 13 861:14 | 1031:4 1035:5 | 1011:6 1054:12 |
| 802:6 903:11 | 865:1 875:5,6 | 1135:11 | 1072:24 1073:2 |
| 905:12, 15 957:21 | 993:21 1055:25 | bulk 1075:1, 3 | 1123:14 1129:22 |
| 962:1, 3, 4, 20, 24 | 1077:9 1081:10 | bunch 915:8 | 1135:19, 21 |
| 963:1,20 968:8 | bringing 872:18 | Bureau 1107:12 | Called 770:23 |
| 972:18 1050:20, | brings 975:24 | 1128:22 | 782:6 786:23 |
| 22 1053:20 | broad 835:23 | bus 1113:13 | 787:6 921:15 |
| 1090:24 1094:14, | broad-based 860:2 | bushel 929:6 | 927:16 933:20 |
| 17 1097:5, 9, 24 | broader 860:22 | business 831:16 | 941:24 946:10, 14 |
| 1098:23 1099:4 | broke 807:14 | 841:8 871:24 | 948:5 956:16 |
| 1111:2 1122:1 | brother 783:3 | 872:3 893:15, 18 | 988:19 993:22 |
| 1123:9 1140:24 | 868:16, 17 894:13 | 942:20 945:9, 10 | 994:9, 15, 25 |
| 1141:11, 21 | brothers 780:14 | 946:12 1012:24 | 996:18 1006:16 |
| 1142:11, 18 | brother's 780:18 | 1050:12 | 1019:10 1045:2 |
| 1143:18 1144:4, | 783:6 | businesses 775:23 | 1054:14 1063:13 |
| 20 1145:1, 17 | brought 896:17 | 872:1 | 1073:6 1103:25 |
| 1148:8 1149:12, | 1034:20 | busy 979:2 | 1123:17 1126:20 |
| 20, 25 1150:9, 17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brundage } 949: 4 \\ & 966: 4.7 .14 \end{aligned}$ | butting 1045:15 | 1127:25 1136:1 |


| 1142:4, 9, 13 | 11,21 1024:24 | capacity 774:22 | catch 797:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| camp 881:5 | 1025:13 1032:9 | 777:12 883:18 | 978:13 |
| Can 770:21 | 1036:7 1040:13 | 967:6 981:8 | cattle 957:7 |
| 771:17 772:19 | 1043:20 1046:3 | 990:12 1115:13 | 965:9, 12, 18 |
| 773:24 787:20 | 1048:2, 17 | 1125:3 | Cattlemen |
| 791:21, 24 793:6 | 1049:25 1050:1 | capital 794:5, 7 | 1128:23 |
| 796:1 797:23 | 1060:15 1061:15 | 1015:1 1039:18 | caught 966:5 |
| 798:10, 18 799:7, | 1070:22 1073:4 | caption 819:14 | cause 960:14 |
| 12 801:12 805:22 | 1077:4 1088:1 | capturing 894:23 | 1004:3 1154:8 |
| 806:5 807:23 | 1091:22 1103:15 | care 816:5 823:8 | caused 906:21 |
| 812:6, 8, 9 816:3, | 1114:9 1119:2, 7 | 1105:9 | 934:11 |
| 8, 25 817:6 | 1120:1, 10 | careful 847:11 | cell 783:18 |
| 819:10, 15 820:2 | 1121:19 1133:15, | 957:16 | Centennial 768:14 |
| 824:5 825:1, 25 | 22 1135:20 | carefully 1032:12 | center 868:17 |
| 826:1 827:7, 25 | 1141:5 1142:9 | carried 946:3 | CENTRAL 768:4 |
| 828:1, 9, 25 829:1 | 1145:5 1151:5, 20 | 1039:17 | 769:1,8 770:14 |
| 835:22 838:16 | 1152:24 | carry 945:9 | 779:20 780:13, 23 |
| 839:4 841:9 | canal 862:14 | 1040:1 | 781:13, 18 782:3 |
| 842:2 846:24 | 895:21 1010:10, | Carter's 1030:5 | 783:5 785:11, 16 |
| 849:7, 23 859:14 | 13, 25 1011:2, 4 | carved 971:4 | 786:4 787:4 |
| 861:22 865:13 | 1028:7 1036:14 | 986:19 | 791:2, 7 792:9, 10, |
| 866:25 869:8 | 1037:5 1130:4 | carveout 830:16, | 13 794:1, 22 |
| 871:13 872:22 | 1135:25 1136:1 | 17 | 795:22 796:16 |
| 879:24 880:1, 7, | canals 862:17 | carve-outs 970:10, | 797:7 799:22 |
| 10 886:16 887:5, | 891:10 972:1 | 12, 15 986:22 | 800:3, 15, 18, 19 |
| 21 891:24 896:21 | 1029:7 1038:7 | case 770:17 | 802:22 807:13 |
| 897:18, 22 898:24 | 1039:19 | 788:12 842:6 | 810:6 811:7, 9 |
| 900:14 901:15,21, | canceled 1030:6 | 866:20,21 871:14 | 812:21 814:15 |
| 22 902:7,8 903:7 | cannot 900:20 | 879:3 916:12 | 817:1, 12 818:7, |
| 910:9 914:18 | 1133:16 | 949:5 973:12 | 19 819:2, 13 |
| 915:11 916:22 | can't 822:24 | 982:23 1000:8 | 820:1 822:21 |
| 917:24 921:24 | 847:13 849:20 | 1046:15 1092:20 | 826:6 827:4 |
| 926:5 928:22 | 871:7, 19 886:15 | 1108:2 1120:22 | 828:7, 13, 16 |
| 931:14, 24 932:13 | 890:10 896:3 | 1133:20 1141:5 | 829:4 830:24, 25 |
| 935:15 944:11 | 911:25 942:4 | cases 778:14 | 832:9 833:4, 10, |
| 945:3, 9 948:9 | 955:17 961:23, 25 | 868:4 | 23 834:12 837:13 |
| 950:24 956:25 | 962:5 963:7 | cash 821:21 | 838:12 843:9, 25 |
| 961:21 963:13 | 971:22 973:14 | 822:3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, | 844:7, 11 846:5 |
| 964:23 967:19, 25 | 1019:13 1025:12 | 18, 20 824:15 | 848:2 849:23 |
| 970:19, 20 973:5, | 1031:1, 19 | 825:22 830:22 | 852:14 853:4 |
| 18 977:1 978:15 | 1047:22, 24 | 843:11 846:22 | 854:24 862:6, 9, |
| 990:23 998:20 | 1061:13 1076:4 | 848:10, 20, 24, 25 | 23, 25 866:10 |
| 999:10, 11 1004:6 | 1113:12 1118:7 | 849:5, 9, 13, 18, 19 | 869:17 870:11 |
| 1009:2, 15 1010:8, | capability 895:3 | 874:15 | 871:21 874:13 |
| $18 \quad 1016: 16$ | 896:16 1134:18 | cashout 1039:3 | $\text { 882:9, } 24 \text { 883:20, }$ |


| 891:15, 21 892:3, | 1064:6, 16, 22 | 950:12, 21 951:1 | challenge 879:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 894:11 895:1 | 1065:4 1067:22 | 953:7 971:24 | 1114:2 |
| 896:9 897:18, 21, | 1075:24 1076:20, | 977:10 982:22 | challenges 880:4 |
| 22 898:9 905:11, | 25 1077:13 | 997:18 998:5 | 1116:25 |
| 21 907:15 910:13 | 1080:11, 14 | 1002:12 1003:2, | challenging |
| 914:25 919:14, 20 | 1081:9, 20, 23 | 22 1012:17 | 1119:16 |
| 924:6, 8 925:14 | 1082:10, 25 | 1028:3 1039:17 | chance 1151:9, 11 |
| 927:23 928:5, 11, | 1083:5 1084:5, 17 | 1053:16 1058:4 | change 799:20 |
| 13 930:4 931:9, | 1086:14 1087:3, 7 | 1088:5 1117:4 | 801:22 807:20 |
| 22 933:18 935:16 | 1090:10 1091:6 | 1138:18 1142:6 | 816:19, 21, 25 |
| 938:23 939:7, 9 , | 1094:5 1095:9, 18 | 1153:14 | 817:23 819:10, 20, |
| 11,16,20 941:17 | 1096:1, 6, 14 | Centre 769:10 | 23 820:9, 10 |
| 942:2, 11, 16, 17, | 1099:13, 14 | Century 776:10, | 821:7, 15 822:14 |
| 18, 22 944:7, 10 | 1100:8, 18 | 20 | 823:1, 15, 22 |
| 945:2, 15 947:7, 8, | 1101:17, 18 | certain 858:23 | 826:18 827:13 |
| 14,17, 19 949:23 | 1102:3, 8, 19 | 888:8,9 904:16 | 842:15 866:21 |
| 950:17 951:7, 15, | 1103:22 1104:15, | 957:2 983:4 | 867:16 869:11 |
| 25 952:5, 20 | 25 1105:8 1106:3, | 1019:5 1035:3 | 878:18 888:17 |
| 954:13, 17 955:19 | 10, 14, 18, 21, 22 | 1042:3 1048:12 | 894:19 911:8 |
| 956:18 957:5 | 1107:4 1108:7, 8 , | 1078:8 1096:6 | 934:10, 17 936:5 |
| 958:7, 11, 23 | 25 1109:5, 25 | 1100:20 | 967:19, 23 968:1 |
| 959:4, 9, 12 960:9 | 1110:1 1112:3, 5 | certainly 784:12 | 976:11 987:9 |
| 963:3 967:14 | 1114:3 1117:7, 11 | 796:10, 14 835:3, | 1054:11 1111:7 |
| 968:23 969:15, 23 | 1118:18 1119:17, | 20 869:24 870:21 | 1130:19 |
| 971:3, 14, 18, 23 | 25 1120:7, 9 | 1014:19 1060:3 | changed 777:4 |
| 972:8 975:13 | 1123:14 1128:13 | 1062:17 1068:15 | 968:11 969:14 |
| 977:16 980:18, 19 | 1130:5, 13 | 1132:16 | 1027:12 |
| 981:3, 9, 18 984:1, | 1131:14 1132:2, 3, | certifications | changes 805:20, |
| 5 987:8 991:17, | 10 1133:3, 5 | 1013:7 | 21 806:5 807:10 |
| 24 992:10, 13, 15, | 1135:8, 24 1136:3, | certified 775:8, 10 | 851:19 866:22 |
| 19 993:7, 15, 18 | 14 1139:21 | certify 1154:3 | 868:6 878:12, 13 |
| 995:13, 19 996:7 | 1141:4, 14 1142:7, | cetera 778:25 | 894:7 1044:4 |
| 998:14 999:17, 22 | 15 1147:19 | 919:12 | 1059:25 1104:2 |
| 1000:14, 23 | Central/Dawson | Chair 768:18, 19 | changing 794:15 |
| 1002:18, 24 | 805:14 807:12 | 770:12 799:24 | 877:20 914:25 |
| 1003:8, 16, 17 | Central's 784:21 | Chairman 770:10 | 1147:22 |
| 1004:7 1012:19 | 785:14 804:8 | 845:20 847:19 | characterization |
| 1016:1 1025:20, | 809:22 814:5, 9 | 863:9, 11 881:5 | 800:5 |
| 24 1026:3, 6, 14, | 817:12, 23 822:2 | 904:21 905:18 | characterize |
| 16 1027:22 | 833:24 835:23 | 913:17 920:21 | 1081:19 |
| 1040:19, 20 | 837:13 851:11 | 976:17, 18 996:13 | charge 821:19 |
| 1046:6, 21 | 852:3 879:3, 10 | 1072:16 1124:22 | 893:22 894:14 |
| 1051:12 1052:14 | 893:14 916:17 | 1131:13 1140:18 | 910:18, 24 |
| 1055:6 1057:4, 19, | 932:1 933:13 | 1141:14 | charges 821:17 |
| 23 1059:4, 18, 24 | 934:6 935:23 | chairs 941:11 | 846:5 896:4, 6 |
| 1063:17, 22 | 936:12 943:25 |  |  |


| 908:22, 25 912:7 | 1077:23 1078:2, 7, | 958:14 973:24 | 1034:18 1035:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1101:23 | 10 | 990:11, 15 | 1051:4 |
| charging 871:23 | City 803:18 | 1063:16 1065:11 | Columbia 879:21 |
| chart 812:23 | 884:9 885:24 | 1120:12 1147:3, | column 809:7, 9, |
| 813:19 | 895:9 901:5 | 24 | 18 811:15 814:25 |
| CHARTER 768:4, | 1033:9 1105:22 | clearly 778:1 | 823:6 844:17 |
| 6 957:4, 5 966:22 | civil 942:10 | 881:2 890:2 | columns 810:1 |
| 973:1, 6 984:24 | 1013:9 | clients 774:13 | column's 809:4 |
| 985:8, 10, 18 | Clarification | cliffhanger | combination |
| 987:11 1017:5 | 799:5 808:24 | 795:19 800:3 | 910:11 918:22 |
| 1080:6, 11 | 837:21 845:21 | clock 1132:23 | combine 1045:13, |
| 1153:15 | 919:7, 8 | close 783:5 | 16 |
| chartered 977:11 | clarifications | 877:12 897:11 | combined 797:13, |
| 1142:6, 15 | 802:7 | 960:4 1145:6 | 20 807:12 810:8 |
| charters 973:8 | clarified 798:1 | closely 927:14 | 811:1, 5 812:20, |
| Chatfield 1032:15 | 1147:14 | 1004:11 1044:8 | 22 813:1, 15 |
| chatter 1088:21 | clarify 801:14 | 1147:1 | 814:12 830:3, 4 |
| cheaper 869:6 | 803:9 810:13 | closing 877:22 | 837:5 844:18, 24 |
| chief 770:17 | 818:23 838:1, 6 , | 1145:20, 23 | 845:15 856:1 |
| 774:23 | 15 844:15 919:12 | 1147:17 | 861:21 864:6 |
| children 780:19 | 924:11 930:12 | CNPPID 963:3 | 865:1 870:12 |
| Chimney 1035:6 | 935:15 980:22 | 1123:18 | 871:16 873:8, 19, |
| choices 1112:21 | 981:2 986:16 | CNPPID's 961:15, | 21 874:18, 21, 23 |
| chopping 957:9 | 988:4 999:15 | 18 | 1045:2 1047:6 |
| 979:11 | 1000:11 1020:9 | coal 877:4, 5, 11, | 1055:25 1111:11 |
| chose 815:10 | 1022:5 1043:21 | 16, 17, 22 878:5, | 1112:22 1113:9 |
| Christensen 771:7 | 1046:4 1067:4 | 22 879:1,12 | 1115:2 1117:22 |
| Chuck 768:19 | 1077:21 1078:22 | coffers 1085:6 | 1133:21 |
| chunk 938:9 | 1083:8 1088:18 | cohorts 1126:24 | combining 873:3, |
| 945:4 | 1091:4 1115:12 | cold 1010:17 | 10 |
| Circle 771:7 | 1116:5 1141:8, 10, | collects 1011:17 | come 794:21 |
| cities 970:23 | 12 | college 774:7 | 833:8, 22 834:4 |
| 1077:8 | clarifying 1059:16 | 779:14, 15 | 842:18 852:20 |
| Citizens 786:20, | 1083:19 | 1103:17 | 868:2 874:18 |
| 25 787:2, 3, 9 | clarity 797:24 | Collins 1035:25 | 875:1 882:1 |
| 788:17 789:10 | 800:9 986:20 | Colorado 771:8 | 903:1 933:11 |
| 790:1 924:16,18, | classes 870:2 | 774:18, 19 775:11, | 941:22 948:2 |
| 25 925:9 935:18 | 1096:24 | 15,16 776:8 | 949:16 967:20 |
| 937:7 938:4 | clause 886:1 | 777:3 779:10 | 968:3, 19 974:16 |
| 939:21 940:2 | clean 879:5 | 787:1 790:9 | 991:20, 21 1035:1 |
| 943:20 947:2, 3, | 918:14 | 866:19, 23 877:12 | 1042:10 1085:2 |
| 23 948:22 949:18 | clear 777:16 | 878:23 1007:1, 16 | 1107:15 |
| 957:23 967:9, 12, | 786:17 789:1 | 1009:11 1011:13, | comes 809:16 |
| 14 968:13 973:19, | 808:16 819:19 | 21 1013:9, 10 | 866:14 957:12, 14 |
| 20 986:2 1000:7 | 824:1 864:11 | 1033:3, 8, 22, 24 | 971:23 974:17 |
| 1016:19, 20, 23 | 873:9 907:21 |  |  |


| 1012:16 1018:14 | compact 1036:16 | completed 776:22 | 934:11 935:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1046:7 | 1045:6 | 814:23 856:24 | 936:2 955:20 |
| comfort 977:11 | companies 774:16 | 876:2 877:21 | 960:14 966:11 |
| comfortable | 776:6 785:22 | 918:10 | 996:12, 20, 22 |
| 904:17 1042:16 | 816:18 828:14 | completely | 997:1, 3, 7 |
| coming 810:19 | 838:1 858:7 | 1021:10 1085:6, | 1001:17, 23 |
| 811:25 850:17 | 861:9 866:6, 8 | 19 1086:20 | 1002:17 1030:19 |
| 881:12 933:7 | 875:19 908:13 | complexities 778:1 | 1043:23 1044:12 |
| 946:2 957:5 | 937:12 943:2, 3 | complicated | 1056:25 1062:3, |
| 970:2 1046:11 | 956:19 970:14 | 1136:2 | 19 1111:1 |
| 1047:15, 18 | 1028:15 | complicating | 1112:11 |
| 1049:18 1081:5 | Company 774:19 | 854:20 | concluded 852:23 |
| comment 912:17 | 775:1 776:7, 9, 25 | complications | 853:1 1153:17 |
| 999:14 1062:2 | 777:3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10 | 779:4 855:16 | concludes 1122:16 |
| 1089:17 1113:22 | 786:15 803:17 | component | conclusion 787:25 |
| 1133:6 1144:23 | 815:21 837:14, 22, | 798:15 814:4 | 794:22 795:22 |
| commentary | 24 838:6, 15 | 850:23 864:4 | 799:21 833:6, 8, |
| 1062:7, 13 | 859:7, 20 860:1 | 874:15 1077:9 | 22 841:10 882:10, |
| comments 787:21 | 17 862:1, 3, 7 | components | 12, 16, 20 919:12 |
| 789:3 901:16 | 866:3 869:24 | 1130:17, 19 | 1001:1 1122:22 |
| 1002:14, 15 | 872:19 873:3 | comport 1067:18 | conclusions 795:6 |
| 1068:12 1144:19, | 881:15 907:6 | comprehensive | 834:4 836:16, 17 |
| 21 1147:17 | 932:25 933:14 | 860:2 861:16 | 917:7 919:13, 18 |
| commission | 957:6, 8, 9 964:12, | comprise 923:3 | concrete 965:2 |
| 866:24 867:13, 14, | 18,25 968:25 | COM's 943:20 | condition 801:6 |
| 18 868:2 1105:7 | 969:6, 7 970:11, | concentration | 819:3 1147:19, 21 |
| 1108:3 1124:11, | 21 974:9 1008:16 | 934:18 964:8 | conditions |
| 20, 21, 22 | 1038:23 1065:20 | concept 922:24 | 1010:12 |
| commissioner | 1101:23 | 1111:21 1116:9 | conduct 978:25 |
| 1033:16 1134:6 | compare 1049:20 | 1134:21 1136:19 | conducted 790:16 |
| common 890:13 | compared 987:23 | 1137:5 1139:8, 14, | 1144:16 |
| 909:19 1004:13 | comparing 808:24 | 15 1140:10 | conferences |
| communicated | 815:2 | concepts 1140:7 | 1146:2 |
| 1139:21 | compensation | concern 887:7 | confidence 860:3 |
| communication | 797:18 850:24 | 906:21 925:20 | confident 879:14 |
| 782:8 1060:21 | 851:4, 21, 24 | 927:12 963:25 | 928:10 |
| 1087:2 | 854:15 | 979:22 994:16 | confidential |
| communications | competing 891:25 | 997:20 998:5,10 | 796:23 798:15 |
| 786:18 818:10 | 895:8 1044:21 | 1000:2 1004:3 | 801:6 802:12, 15 |
| 952:19 | 1046:16, 17 | 1104:20 1149:7 | 804:15 810:4 |
| Communicator | complementary | concerned 951:20 | 826:2 842:5 |
| 782:7 955:2 | 1053:12 | 966:21 977:24 | 847:13 859:9 |
| communities | complete 778:15 | 999:12, 23 1107:2 | 886:9 |
| 971:4 | 1149:5 1154:4, 9, | concerning 801:18 | confidentiality |
| community 780:7 | 10 | concerns 782:24 | 796:7, 13 |
| 1077:5 1108:5 |  | 796:13 931:4 |  |


| confidentially | consistent 794:13 | consumer | 884:3, 4, 9, 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 842:1 | 816:22 837:16 | 1043:10 1112:24 | 885:23, 25 886:3 |
| configuration | 849:15 898:2 | consumers | 888:5, 7 889:18, |
| 1014:7 | 1060:7 1100:16 | 1111:18 1112:13, | 25 890:6 891:7 |
| confirm 798:9, 10, | consisting 1144:17 | 14 | 893:7 898:5 |
| 18 800:24 | consolidate | consumption | 900:11, 14 901:18, |
| conflict 998 | 861:22 937:1 | 832:5 900:15 | 19 902:3 909:4 |
| 1021:3 1043:7 | 1042:11 1117:7 | contact 1016:25 | 914:19 915:23 |
| 1112:17 1133:18 | consolidated | contacted 1016:13, | 916:1, 6 1022:13 |
| conflicted 1020:25 | 797:21 810:8 | 18, 24 1126:14 | 1117:16 |
| conflicts 1047:8, | 811:1 813:4, 14, | contain 798:14 | contracts 908:24 |
| 12 1113:19 | 15 830:4 831:6, 8 | contained 804:6 | 909:6 910:2, 4 |
| 1117:22 | 845:8, 19 864:18 | containing | 942:23 993:1 |
| confused 825:2 | 873:5 900:25 | 1144:15 | 1020:21 1026:18 |
| confusing 844:23 | consolidating | contains 1154 | contractual 1022:6 |
| conjunctive-use | 850:8 853:5 | contemplated | contrary 901:20 |
| 1134:5 | consolidation | 881:24 967:1 | 1118:16 1131:14 |
| connected 1012:12 | 782:3 852:25 | contemplates | contributed 814:4, |
| connection 789:14 | 853:7 854:2, 6 | 914:16 |  |
| 944:6 1087:15 | 855:16 890:9 | contending 801:17 | control 943:23 |
| 1106:17 | 892:4 916:8, 10 | content 802:25 | 944:19 948:16 |
| cons 994:21 | 936:6 937:3, 4 | 1148:4 | 950:8 969:8 |
| consequences | 956:22 959:16 | context 963:4 | 997:17 998:6 |
| 882:23 | 965:4 967:24 | 1002:25 | 1018:4 1021:5 |
| Conservancy | 978:2 979:19 | continual 1101:9 | 1027:13 1028:20 |
| 1030:1, 14 | 980:16 981:5 | continuance | 1044:7, 16 |
| 1031:10 1032:14 | 984:12, 23 1017:5 | 980:6, 10, 14, 23 | 1047:13 1048:21 |
| Conservation | 1027:10 1139:3 | continue 789:17 | 1051:20 1056:18 |
| 1033:4 1034:19 | constant 880:8 | 844:25 862:2 | 1057:1 1075:10 |
| 1035:1, 2 | 1018:20 1042:4 | 878:6, 10 886:11 | 1138:9 |
| conservative | consternation | 893:15, 17 896:15 | controlled |
| 1086:3 1105:25 | 1045:15 | 981:13 982:5 | 1026:18 1111:12 |
| conservatively | constituents | 1116:8 | controller 774:23 |
| 828:12 1114:7 | 1001:11 | continued 780:5 | controls 944:5 |
| consider 829:9 | constitute 918:23 | continues 871:18 | 1026:14 |
| 830:20 861:9, 11 | constructed | 879:15 | convenience |
| 866:5 1064:5 | 1016:11 | continuing 771:18 | 931:14 954:3 |
| 1102:3 | construction | 775:19 811:11 | conversation |
| consideration | 1030:11 | 1013:19, 21 | 783:13 784:13 |
| 825:12 854:9 | consultant 778:14 | continuous | 789:5 966:4 |
| 936:1 1039:8, 12 | 817:11 857:9 | 1093:25 | 1089:17 1100:15 |
| 1057:13 | 1038:24 1041:18 | Contract 802:13 | 1139:9 1147:25 |
| considerations | consultation | 803:15 804:19 | conversations |
| 878:19 | 1148:13 | 815:11 823:10, 12 | 782:23 996:23 |
| considered 795:13 | consulting 857:11 | 826:5, 18 830:17 | 1056:21 1094:2 |
| 797:19 878:17, 23 | 1013:12 | 831:24 847:24 | 1100:17 |


| cooling 1020:11, | 990:18, 22 994:3 | 999:15, 23 1046:2 | 883:18 911:10, 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 995:4 1001:15 | 1063:24 | 912:11 914:8 |
| cooperate 800:3 | 1003:17 1011:8, | correlation 976:2 | 921:19 925:16 |
| Cooperative | 11,23 1012:1, 18 | cost 797:16 | 926:8, 9 935:1, 5 |
| 1129:4 | 1015:4 1018:22, | 831:12, 18 836:13 | 937:12, 16 941:13, |
| copy 773:4, 6 | 23 1019:15, 19 | 851:12 853:5 | 20 948:5, 18 |
| 794:5 805:1 | 1020:22, 23 | 854:3 863:14, 16 | 952:8 955:23 |
| 897:11, 14 917:11, | 1024:11, 12 | 865:6 867:2, 6 | 961:7, 12, 20 |
| 21 1001:4 | 1026:10, 18, 19 | 869:2 870:16, 23 | 962:17 963:2 |
| 1059:17 1097:13 | 1037:21 1038:8 | 871:3, 22 873:20, | 966:9 967:13 |
| core 1104:6 | 1041:4 1043:10, | 22 876:15, 20 | 968:11 970:25 |
| corn 945:12, 13 | 11,21 1044:2 | 879:10 880:24 | 972:7 974:6 |
| 979:13 1023:22 | 1046:13, 24 | 882:2 889:25 | 975:8, 20, 25 |
| corner 956:16 | 1052:10, 12 | 890:18, 24, 25 | 976:4, 13 978:11 |
| corporate 1075:11 | 1057:5, 9, 23, 24 | 891:3, 12, 14 | 986:7 988:23 |
| corporate-wide | 1058:1, 14 | 899:5, 25 913:21, | 994:25 997:2 |
| 774:25 858:20 | 1059:19 1067:22 | 23, 25 945:14 | 1000:17 1006:21 |
| corporation | 1068:15, 16, 19 | 954:18, 21 974:24 | 1010:5 1021:3 |
| 924:23, 24 | 1069:4, 9 1073:17 | 1016:9 1035:13, | 1042:6 1047:21 |
| 1078:25 1079:2, 6 , | 1075:1, 20 | 16 1039:25 | 1048:3 1049:13, |
| 16, 17 1080:2 | 1077:14, 24 | 1042:6 | 15 1053:8 |
| correct 773:2 | 1079:7, 18 | costs 831:9, 20 | 1054:18 1061:15 |
| 779:3, 10 781:9, | 1082:24 1084:14 | 832:1,3 851:14 | 1063:25 1064:2, 4, |
| 19 784:16 791:10 | 1087:12, 13 | 854:9 865:16 | 11 1069:25 |
| 795:24 796:18, 20 | 1090:14, 18, 20 | 871:1, 22 873:23 | 1073:10 1075:8 |
| 800:4 803:19, 23 | 1091:8 1092:8, 10 | 890:15 891:6,16, | 1107:3, 15 |
| 804:2 808:22 | 1093:5 1095:2 | 25 892:16 893:6, | 1109:15 1110:8 |
| 810:16 812:23 | 1098:4 1099:11, | 20 894:7, 23 | 1117:16 1118:20 |
| 813:21 814:6 | 15, 19 1100:3 | 900:13 902:9 | 1123:21 1134:23 |
| 815:4 817:25 | 1102:6, 11, 17, 21 | 907:4 910:4 | 1135:23 1136:4, 5, |
| 821:23 823:2, 3 | 1106:4 1108:18 | 912:7 1016:12 | 7,19, 22 1137:1, 3 |
| 824:5 840:4 | 1109:2, 6 1111:14 | 1034:15 1037:20 | 1140:10, 12, 13 |
| 844:18 845:1, 2, | 1113:16 1115:14, | could 771:3, 23 | 1148:2, 3 1149:1, |
| 22 846:10 848:13, | 15 1124:17, 18 | 774:5 775:18, 25 | 18 |
| 14 850:13, 14 | 1129:17 1136:17 | 785:3 789:24 | couldn't 787:1,16 |
| 856:9 864:14, 21 | 1137:1, 8 1139:10, | 793:24 795:8 | 832:9 848:21 |
| 865:3 866:15 | 11,23 1140:1 | 798:9 807:9 | 920:12 953:23 |
| 867:16 868:4 | 1154:9 | 822:8 830:21, 22 | 967:22, 23 |
| 871:1 872:14 | corrected 962:9 | 831:1 832:21, 24 | 1027:15 1028:1 |
| 882:1 884:2 | 970:25 1068:1, 3, | 833:3,7 840:6 | 1031:6 1037:24 |
| 889:16 890:12 | 8 | 847:16 848:6 | 1082:20 |
| 895:6 909:9 | correction 962:16 | 850:6 852:13, 16, | Counsel 772:5 |
| 912:24 914:8 | correctly 808:25 | 18,20 853:1,25 | 799:11, 17 800:6, |
| 915:24 937:1 | 836:2, 4 864:13 | 854:1 856:18 | 14 801:3 802:4 |
| 939:8 959:5 | 893:22 962:10 | 873:20, 22 876:24 | 805:14 834:17 |
| 962:23 963:16 |  | 878:23 881:6,17 | 906:17 914:3, 12 |


| 918:12 919:7, 15 | 943:2 948:7 | cross 971:22 | 9 992:14 1106:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 937:9, 19 966:15 | 977:5 978:24 | 1117:2 1137:16 | 1128:14 1136:14, |
| 1111:6 1115:8, 16 | 1019:23 1023:8 | CROSS | 21 1142:7, 16 |
| 1132:15 1133:17 | 1053:10 1081:22 | EXAMINATION | customers 791:7 |
| 1141:17 1144:15 | 1091:4 | 857:3 957:20 | 828:18 832:6 |
| 1148:23 1151:17 | coupled 922:20 | 1050:21 1069:1 | 862:13 884:18 |
| counsels 987:25 | 1027:9, 10 1036:1 | 1090:23 1139:6 | 888:10 895:4, 8 |
| 1146:2, 18 | course 876:1 | crown 1029:23 | 896:8 907:15 |
| 1148:13 | 879:19 987:1 | cubic 1010:13 | 915:19 934:23 |
| counties 923:2,5 | 1023:17 1024:11 | cumulative 823:9 | 935:11 936:3 |
| 958:13 1053:2, 19 | 1036:23 1059:24 | curiosity 789:2 | 938:23 942:22 |
| county 922:10, 25 | 1082:16 1087:18 | 931:20 | 958:7, 11, 12, 15 |
| 923:3, 7 927:2 | 1107:6 | current 772:1, 3 | 975:24 977:18 |
| 928:14 933:16, 19, | courses 1104:6 | 774:2 794:10 | 1007:22, 25 |
| 20 934:19, 20, 22 | court 1005:4 | 847:24 851:25 | 1011:6 1019:8, 12 |
| 938:10, 14, 15, 20, | 1073:10 1120:22 | 884:18 923:9 | 1024:6 1025:21 |
| 24 940:11 949:24 | 1154:3, 6, 8, 17 | 943:13, 15, 24 | 1026:5, 9, 22 |
| 950:19 951:3, 5 | cousins 1126:21 | 987:3, 6, 11, 16, 17 | 1042:20 1099:25 |
| 964:9 979:8 | cover 871:3 | 989:13 1007:8 | 1111:25 1112:14 |
| 989:11, 12, 18 | 945:14 1059:17 | 1014:4, 5 1030:22, | 1113:11 1131:2 |
| 991:8 998:13, 24 | 1060:19 1153:12 | 24 1044:6 | 1138:18, 22 |
| 999:2, 13, 17 | covered 862:24 | 1051:12 1127:11 | customer's 885:15 |
| 1006:25 1011:17 | COVID 925:17 | 1131:18 1132:6, 8 | cut 842:8 1091:17 |
| 1033:9 1036:14 | Cozad 970:11 | 1142:5, 7, 16 | cycle 1018:20 |
| 1037:5 1052:17, | CPA 863:15 | 1147:18 | 1024:10 |
| 19 1053:6, 9, 14 | 883:4 | currently 779:9 |  |
| 1064:6 1074:16, | create 777:7 | 780:12 922:8 | < D > |
| 19,21, 24 1076:20 | 813:20 862:15 | 934:5, 19 935:9, | D-0-N 1123:25 |
| 1077:15, 21 | 1021:3 1025:12 | 25 938:4 961:16 | Dahlgren 931:9 |
| 1078:5, 22 1079:4 | created 777:22 | 990:5 991:12 | 1056:12, 22 |
| 1084:20 1085:9 | 822:12 823:6 | 1000:1 1025:20 | 1057:1, 3 1058:1 |
| 1091:5 1092:17, | 863:24 894:3 | 1026:6, 14 1047:4 | 1059:11 1060:11, |
| 25 1093:1 | 932:22 970:1 | 1055:5 1058:4 | 22 1061:17 |
| 1104:22 1110:6, | 974:7 991:2 | 1065:15 1074:12 | 1062:12, 15 |
| 11, 24 1111:10 | 1077:13 1088:5 | 1076:19 1136:8 | 1143:15 |
| 1112:19, 24 | creating 894:1 | curve 827:4 | Dahlgren's |
| 1126:22 1130:3 | credit 875:23 | customer 779:22 | 1059:22 |
| 1136:1 | 1108:10 | 780:12 782:5 | daily 901:25 |
| County's 943:25 | cringe 1043:4 | 787:3 818:19 | 1032:17 |
| 944:4 | crises 1064:11 | 828:19, 20 853:4, | dam 880:3 |
| couple 792:5 | criteria 972:24 | 23 868:10, 13, 15 | 889:10, 22 890:1 |
| 805:19 854:12 | 973:5 1146:24 | 869:25 873:5 | 25 1028:24 |
| 856:16 859:23 | 1147:13 1148:1 | 880:23 884:17 | 1030:10 1041:17 |
| 863:12 874:11 | critical 1104:21 | 885:9 886:2 | dams 862:14 |
| 937:8, 21, 23, 25 | criticism 874:8 | 891:21 893:22 | 880:2 890:4 |
| 938:13 941:21 | criticizing 874:3 | 919:14, 20 924:6, |  |


| 1029:8 1064:1 | 23 911:20, 21, 24 | 1111:10, 15 | decide 961:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dan 1089:13 | 914:22 916:13 | 1154:13 | 962:6 963:8 |
| data 794:25 | 925:14 927:2 | days 825:17 | 1023:14 1043:7 |
| 809:15 811:25 | 930:22 931:25 | 922:18 948:7 | 1113:1 1118:1 |
| 812:1, 21, 22 | 934:21, 22, 23 | 973:24 981:17 | 1120:10 1137:3 |
| 814:2, 5, 6, 15 | 935:17, 24 938:13 | 983:6 1053:10 | 1146:3, 5, 18 |
| 821:1 830:25 | 945:1 947:5, 6, 21 | 1055:13 1127:13 | decided 783:7 |
| 833:7 | 959:20, 21 960:16 | 1146:11, 16 | 937:7 975:7, 8 |
| date 783:16 | 967:14 970:22, 24 | 1148:24 1149:1, | decides 1120:8 |
| 877:18 907:9 | 975:22 980:20 | 17, 21, 25 1150:3, | deciding 1146:20 |
| 926:9, 14 940:25 | 981:4, 9, 18, 20 | 8, 13, 14 1151:3 | decision 789:24 |
| 965:15 1081:4 | 991:18 993:7, 18 | 1152:12 | 791:5 857:18 |
| 1126:16, 18 | 995:16, 17 998:13, | day-to-day 883:16 | 869:7 883:17 |
| 1130:11 1146:6 | 24 999:13, 16 | deal 778:10 | 894:18 972:24 |
| 1148:11 | 1000:14, 24 | 869:14 872:13 | 979:24 981:12 |
| dated 952:22 | 1003:11, 17 | 907:4 925:18 | 1043:8 1077:1 |
| 1060:19 1154:13 | 1026:2, 3 1027:23 | 1020:5 1021:18 | 1086:18, 24 |
| dates 784:4 | 1049:6 1057:19 | 1022:20 1023:5 | 1087:3 1110:9 |
| Daubert 834:24 | 1086:14 1089:14 | 1036:10 1037:19 | 1120:21 1121:12 |
| daughter 1126:16 | 1112:15 1114:23 | 1081:2 1123:3 | 1146:13 1149:9 |
| Dave 947:14 | 1117:8, 12 | 1130:15 1145:12 | decisionmaking |
| 951:13, 15, 16, 17, | 1123:18 1125:3 | dealing 797:17 | 857:16 |
| 18 995:25 | 1126:22 1127:8, | 819:17 837:17 | decisions 859:19 |
| 1002:11 1062:12, | 10, 12, 15 1128:4, | deals 1020:6 | 884:21 892:19 |
| 15 1063:5 | 14 1129:10, 15 | 1112:15 | 935:10 955:22 |
| dave@nebenergyla | 1131:1, 20, 25 | dealt 797:17 | 978:4 1114:14 |
| w.com 769:6 | 1132:20 1134:20 | 839:6, 15, 21, 22 | 1151:4 |
| David 769:3 | 1136:1, 9, 20 | 840:3 1116:11 | declining 930:2 |
| 1063:16 1144:18 | 1138:22 1139:17 | Dear 1095:6 | decreased 953:10 |
| DAWSON 768:7 | Dawson's 814:6 | debate 1110:5 | decree 1019:3 |
| 769:1 782:4 | 851:12 871:8 | debt 916:18 | 1105:6 |
| 786:3 791:3 | 910:15 911:3 | 945:8 959:21, 22, | deducted 845:14 |
| 792:15 797:10 | 912:25 930:19 | 24 960:6, 8, 10, 13 | deems 821:22 |
| 800:16 807:13 | 936:12 945:7, 12 | 1027:23 1049:9 | deep 1116:19 |
| 810:7 812:22 | 970:10, 22 | decade 953:9 | defer 1146:6 |
| 814:17 817:8, 9, | 1114:24 1117:13 | decades 1030:25 | defined 907:21 |
| 17 828:16 830:17 | DAY 768:7 | December 789:21, | definite 968:16 |
| 831:2, 21 832:9 | 805:11 869:10 | 22 793:17, 23 | definitely 821:18 |
| 837:14 838:12 | 874:17 904:17 | 794:1 815:12 | 870:25 |
| 846:6 852:14 | 927:16 953:1 | 965:21 1023:9 | definition 885:21 |
| 853:3 854:25 | 965:24 966:4 | 1060:19 1086:15 | definitive 909:2 |
| 863:25 864:3, 5 | 974:19 978:2 | 1087:17 1090:19 | definitively 909:8 |
| 868:1, 9 869:16 | 1011:5 1012:3, 5 | 1092:12, 15 | 1048:17 1140:8 |
| 870:11 871:6 | 1047:12 1069:4, | 1131:22 | Degan 769:15 |
| $872: 1 \quad 874: 18$ | 21, 22 1093:4 | decent 1120:15 | $770: 16,18 \quad 771: 10,$ |


| 21 772:5, 9, 13 | 1068:11, 21 | deliveries 901:24 | 21 823:23 918:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 773:13, 20 781:16 | 1069:17, 25 | 939:8 | deprive 821:20 |
| 784:8 794:18 | 1070:10, 22 | delivering 896:5 | depth 773:25 |
| 797:25 798:10, 16, | 1072:13, 23, 24 | 998:8 1102:10 | 836:8 |
| 19,22 799:1, 5, 17 | 1074:10 1076:10 | delivery 871:4 | derived 845:13 |
| 800:1, 6 801:7, 13 | 1080:21 1086:7 | 872:20 939:9 | 850:15 852:13 |
| 802:9 803:8 | 1088:14, 24 | 965:14 1095:21 | 853:2 |
| 806:6, 9, 18, 21, 25 | 1090:21 1091:3 | 1102:9 1149:21 | describe 776:1 |
| 807:4, 8 808:13, | 1097:14, 16, 19 | 1150:1 | 789:19 928:22 |
| 19, 22 809:24 | 1098:1 1111:5 | demand 832:4 | 997:2 1004:6 |
| 812:4, 11, 18 | 1118:9 1121:19, | 846:5 908:21, 25 | described 827:11 |
| 820:19, 23 821:3 | 22 1122:2, 8, 18 | 909:3, 6, 14, 16 | 851:1, 10 862:2, 6 |
| 825:4 827:1 | 1123:2, 8, 10 | 910:15, 17, 24 | 900:24 1044:10 |
| 830:2 832:7 | 1132:15 1133:4 | 911:1, 3, 20, 21, 22 | 1048:15 1140:6 |
| 833:1 834:3, 13, | 1137:24 1138:10 | 912:7, 20 | description 990:24 |
| 17 835:1 836:6, | 1139:7 1140:2 | demands 1111:23 | design 863:6 |
| 20 837:18 838:17 | 1141:7 1142:1, 12, | 1112:1 | designed 1125:21 |
| 840:7, 9 843:4, 5 | 19, 23 1143:7, 12, | denied 936:23, 24 | desk 783:19 |
| 844:14 848:5 | 24 1144:3, 6, 13, | 980:11 981:13 | despite 1011:9 |
| 852:11 857:1 | 22 1145:13 | Denver 1030:7, 16 | detail 794:20 |
| 888:2 897:14 | 1148:7, 9 1149:7, | 1033:10 | 795:18 804:14 |
| 902:21 903:3, 14 | 16 1150:5 | Department | 811:20 902:2 |
| 906:2, 4, 6, 13, 15 | 1151:19, 22 | 1033:13 1082:9, | details 848:21 |
| 913:5 919:2, 15, | 1152:9, 14 | 11, 13, 20, 22 | 902:1 910:6 |
| 17 920:6 921:7 | Degan's 1074:3 | depended 1028:9 | 1152:24 |
| 922:6, 22 925:7 | degree 841:4 | depending 857:24 | deteriorate 953:9 |
| 926:3, 19 935:19, | 860:4 901:6 | 955:21 974:5 | deteriorating |
| 21 941:8 951:12 | 928:6 1013:10 | 975:5, 6 985:14 | 784:21 793:3 |
| 954:11 957:19 | delay 980:8 | depercolation | 795:23 818:2, 11 |
| 977:1, 4, 7 978:20, | 982:5, 8, 21 983:4 | 1135:12 | 819:2 |
| 21 980:25 981:23 | deliberate 1150:14 | deposition 805:11, | deterioration |
| 985:22 986:1 | deliberations | 15 860:24 918:13 | 795:9 814:10 |
| 988:13 989:9 | 1058:10 1133:5 | 1055:16 1056:20 | 819:9 825:21 |
| 994:4, 5 996:9 | deliver 871:8, 12 | 1058:21 1059:10 | 833:10 834:10, 12 |
| 998:22 1000:5, 22 | 880:22 894:16 | 1060:20, 24 | determination |
| 1004:16, 19 | 962:7 963:9 | 1065:11 1143:9, | 870:24 |
| 1005:1, 6 1006:5, | 1019:7 1020:7, 17 | 14 | determine 944:11, |
| 6, 11 1007:6 | 1021:5, 6, 13 | depositions | 13 995:1 1119:3 |
| 1013:17, 20, 22 | 1022:4, 12, 14, 15, | 790:14, 15 | determined |
| 1017:1, 13, 15, 17 | 20 1026:24 | 1142:24 | 873:16 906:25 |
| 1020:20 1029:20 | 1042:21, 23 | depreciate 876:17 | 974:13 976:5 |
| 1041:5 1043:15 | 1045:3 | 1050:14, 15 | detriment 1048:4 |
| 1053:24 1054:8, | delivered 832:15 | Depreciation | devastating |
| 11,24,25 1055:2 | 854:1 1134:13 | 817:7 821:17, 19 | 795:15 |
| 1058:19 1061:11 | 1145:6 | 822:1, 12, 15, 16, | develop 1127:18 |
| 1065:23 1067:3 |  |  | developed 1126:12 |


| developing | 945:14 946:6, 8 | 937:18 941:19 | differentiating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1099:23 | 949:16 951:13, 15, | 942:6, 7, 9 954:24 | 1015:23 |
| development | 19, 25 952:4, 13, | 966:23 967:2 | differently 901:23 |
| 928:5 1104:3 | 15 961:4 962:9, | 972:4 976:22 | 902:12 |
| Devin 932:12 | 10 965:18 966:3, | 980:3 981:16 | differing 1042:19, |
| 947:4, 6 948:2, 13 | 13,25 967:3, 8 | 1019:1 1023:12, | 20 |
| 966:4,5 967:18 | 970:3 976:18 | 18, 19 1025:7, 10 | difficult 788:1 |
| 991:24 995:20 | 983:11 988:16 | 1028:10, 12, 13 | 804:4 1043:12 |
| 1063:6 1109:8 | 991:15, 16, 20, 21 | 1040:23 1053:18 | 1045:21 1146:11 |
| 1130:13, 16 | 996:11 1000:23 | 1068:15, 18 | difficulty 785:11 |
| 1132:12 1133:14 | 1002:22 1003:16 | 1084:8, $20 \quad 1085: 5$ | 901:2 978:9 |
| Devin's 971:21 | 1017:2, 18, 21 | 1086:22 1087:4, 5 | diligence 788:3 |
| devoted 933:15 | 1025:18, 22 | 1089:4 1094:8,9 | 838:19 840:11, 14 , |
| Dicke 1059:3 | 1026:12 1034:3, | 1121:23 1132:19, | 17, 25 841:4, 22 |
| did 772:5, 6 | 14 1038:2 | 20 1139:16 | 842:3 854:18 |
| 779:12 782:2, 23 | 1041:18, 20, 25 | diesel 868:21 | 855:21, 25 856:15, |
| 783:9 784:18, 19 | 1042:8, 25 | 869:5, 10 | 17,24 859:9 |
| 785:6, 18 786:6 | 1048:22 1055:17 | difference 816:11 | diluted 964:25 |
| 787:11, 12, 22 | 1056:21 1057:12, | 817:2, 3 840:13 | 974:15 |
| 789:2, 13, 14 | 13 1058:9, 12 | 899:7 929:11, 13 | dilution 964:16 |
| 790:2 791:11 | 1059:15 1060:9, | 987:24 1011:9 | 1112:12 |
| 792:1, 14, 19 | 25 1062:9, 10, 15 | 1047:2 1049:13 | dip 912:4 |
| 793:7, 18, 19 | 1064:22 1065:18 | 1051:18 | dipping 824:3 |
| 794:21 796:23 | 1068:18 1069:5, 7, | differences 816:5, | dire 786:15 |
| 798:23 799:7, 8, | 8, 9, 10 1072:7, 12, | 6, 14 817:5,8 | 795:22 |
| 24 800:24 801:4, | 16 1074:4, 6, 7, 23 | 841:19 1014:9 | DIRECT 771:12 |
| 23, 25 802:12 | 1078:13, 14, 16 | 1045:24 1147:19 | 772:14 862:7 |
| 804:13 813:22 | 1084:9 1086:9, 12 | different 788:19 | 867:11 874:2 |
| 816:4 818:19, 21 | 1089:21, 22 | 805:23 832:13 | 875:5 922:5 |
| 819:8 821:25 | 1090:9 1093:14 | 839:15 841:4 | 976:2 989:8 |
| 825:20 829:2 | 1104:11 1107:16, | 842:18, 24 843:1 | 1007:5 1055:1 |
| 830:20 833:8, 9 , | 19 1127:17, 19, 23 | 847:6 857:7 | 1074:9 1099:20 |
| 13, 14, 16, 21 | 1128:13 1129:18 | 867:21, 23 870:1, | 1120:5 1124:4 |
| 834:4, 11 835:10 | 1130:6 1132:20 | 8,20 872:8 874:6 | 1131:12 1133:18 |
| 836:8, 9 846:15 | 1141:14 1142:25 | 876:24 881:8 | 1152:17 |
| 849:17 856:2, 6 | didn't 783:16 | 885:18 906:23 | direction 930:9 |
| 858:16 862:7 | 785:5, 7, 13 786:5 | 907:5 908:17 | directly 783:8 |
| 863:17, 18 872:5 | 788:20 790:20 | 926:13 927:21 | 822:13 933:15 |
| 877:21 897:9, 12, | 792:4 796:9, 12 | 935:7 944:23 | 1064:25 1077:10 |
| 24 900:19 906:20 | 800:3 804:12 | 964:17 998:14 | 1100:15 |
| 911:19 917:7 | 807:13 813:14 | 999:20 1033:23 | director 776:14 |
| 925:12, 19 927:12, | 817:8 827:7 | 1034:1 1044:22 | 783:5 863:17 |
| 13,15 936:10, 15 | 849:5 855:1 | 1048:3 1049:4 | 951:15, 16 977:16 |
| 937:1 939:19 | 875:22, 24 878:1 | 1118:4 1119:20 | 1003:7 1033:9, 12, |
| 940:2, 17 941:22, | 884:8 913:14, 20 | 1128:21 1136:10 | 13 1043:13 |
| 23, 24 942:5 | 926:24 927:6 |  | 1055:6 1058:13 |


| 1063:17 1076:18 | discussed 841:1 | 862:3 872:4 | 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1089:13 1090:3 | 850:19 859:10 | 873:4 892:6 | 25 1111:8 |
| 1091:11 1093:1, | 900:1 963:14 | 1049:7 | DISTRICT'S |
| 20 1100:17 | 1001:17 1070:8 | DISTRICT 769:1, | 768:5,8 1031:12 |
| 1110:7, 10 | 1096:13, 16 | 2,8 793:10 | 1032:14 |
| 1112:17, 23 | 1130:15 1134:22 | 840:15 841:5 | ditch 1009:17, 19 , |
| directors 855:13 | 1145:23 | 862:10, 11 867:23 | 22, 23 1010:14 |
| 859:18 868:5 | discussion 784:2 | 868:1 873:13 | diversion 1009:13 |
| 869:18 870:10 | 785:19 787:7 | 889:11, 20 890:21, | 1032:15 |
| 933:13, 19 943:6 | 802:2 808:5 | 23 892:9, 17 | divert 1009:10 |
| 946:15, 23, 24 | 812:15 818:6 | 895:10 950:9 | 1010:6 1012:13 |
| 947:1, 11, 13, 18, | 844:1 854:23 | 959:21 992:20 | 1136:6 |
| 19,20 950:10 | 873:12 876:14 | 995:22 996:2, 5 | diverted 1135:24 |
| 951:22 969:24 | 878:4 921:4 | 997:12, 23, 25 | diverting 1136:5 |
| 970:1, 21 973:2 | 925:13 942:10 | 1007:10, 13, 15, 18 | divided 956:19 |
| 999:1 1002:13 | 994:20 1001:2, 19 | 1008:12, 15 | dividend 860:19, |
| 1033:7, 11 1043:5 | 1057:14 1071:2 | 1011:14, 19 | 20 |
| 1044:10 1059:14 | 1094:9 1097:18 | 1013:1, 2 1016:2 | dividing 1032:20 |
| 1063:2, 3 1077:4, | 1099:3 1100:23 | 1021:14 1025:3 | division 889:15 |
| 7 1079:12 | 1115:25 1122:10 | 1028:8 1030:2, 14 | 890:11 891:16 |
| 1083:23 1092:11, | 1148:5 1152:25 | 1035:1, 12 | 893:6, 18, 21 |
| 14, 15, 21 1093:3, | discussions 783:2 | 1036:11, 15 | divisions 892:3 |
| 8,13,14 1094:3 | 784:6 797:12 | 1049:23 1051:1, 4, | divorce 916:22 |
| 1095:6, 19 1096:2, | 1060:4 1081:5 | 6, 9, 21, 23 | DNR 1048:1 |
| 4,7 1098:24 | 1134:5 | 1053:17 1076:20 | 1082:8 1119:12 |
| 1110:13 1111:9 | disease 1088:16 | 1081:3 1083:6 | do 772:16 773:3, |
| 1128:2 1141:16 | dismissed 1054:7 | 1084:5 1091:6 | 9,14 779:2, 11 |
| disadvantages | 1122:7 | 1099:14, 22, 24 | 783:12 784:22 |
| 941:25 942:2 | dispatchable | 1100:7, 18 | 785:18 788:16 |
| disagreed 1068:5 | 879:5 880:7 | 1106:10, 22 | 789:13 791:20 |
| disagreements | 883:12 | 1112:9 1113:24 | 793:9 798:16 |
| 961:20 | disproportionate | 1114:4, 24 | 800:6 802:3 |
| disappear 864:7 | 896:8 | 1117:14 1118:17 | 805:3, 5 818:15 |
| disapprove 973:5 | disputing 860:8 | 1127:10 1128:5 | 820:8 827:3 |
| disbursements | 896:3, 6 | 1132:10 1134:13 | 832:25 834:18, 24 |
| 848:10 | disrupt 884:13 | districts 779:6 | 835:1, 3 838:20 |
| disclosed 861:24 | dissent 1056:9 | 796:4 814:3 | 839:10 840:17 |
| 891:18 918:12 | dissenters 982:18 | 837:24 838:3, 8 | 841:3, 7 842:9 |
| discover 819:8 | DISSOLUTION | 839:23 845:10 | 843:20 854:18 |
| discovery 790:25 | 768:9 | 850:6 855:14 | 855:19 856:3, 5, 7, |
| 791:15 796:3 | dissolve 916:13 | 969:15 992:23 | 14, 22 859:2 |
| 801:16 802:3 | distinction 1009:4 | 993:2 1000:13 | 861:16 865:22 |
| 1141:8 | distinguish 841:2 | 1008:17 1031:9 | 866:12 868:13 |
| discuss 946:12 | distribution | 1039:5 1052:4, 6 | 871:9 872:2 |
| 968:21 1081:13 | 774:15 828:22 | 1065:15 1087:19 | 874:6, 22, 24 |
|  | 831:11, 16 839:19 | 1107:6 1108:11, | 875:2 878:9 |


| 879:12 887:21 | 1049:21 1051:11 | 802:3, 12 858:23, | 1050:5 1069:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 888:17 889:12,17 | 1052:21 1053:1, | 24 859:1 881:2 | 1101:13 1117:4 |
| 891:23, 24 892:11, | 12 1055:7 1062:6, | 885:13 887:1, 14 | dollar 816:6 |
| 14 893:17, 19 | 11 1063:9, 15, 19 | 906:19, 20 918:24 | 1038:9 |
| 895:18 897:10, 25 | 1064:14, 17 | 1017:3, 10, 19, 23 | dollars 929:10 |
| 898:16 900:3 | 1065:2 1070:5 | 1047:16 1141:9 | Don 770:19 |
| 901:7, 19 902:8, | 1073:12, 15, 23 | does 777:13 | 1003:10 1123:14, |
| 19,20 903:10 | 1074:14, 18 | 791:2 792:22 | 16, 24 |
| 904:14 907:3, 4, | 1076:17, 24 | 820:10 821:18 | DONALD 770:22 |
| 22 908:2, 7 912:5 | 1080:23 1089:16 | 824:23 842:15 | 771:5 |
| 914:18 916:6 | 1092:20 1094:2, | 843:22 868:17 | D-O-N-A-L-D |
| 917:2, 5 920:19, | 19 1095:12, 14 | 887:14 888:18 | 771:6 |
| 21 922:8 923:16 | 1096:2 1097:12, | 900:21, 23 902:10 | donate 1084:8 |
| 924:22 925:2, 22 | 14 1100:2 1101:6 | 903:9 917:6 | donation 1078:14, |
| 926:24 927:1, 6 | 1103:15 1108:6, | 918:22 919:1 | 17 1083:21, 22, 25 |
| 929:12 930:16 | 14 1109:4, 8, 19, | 920:18, 20 923:2 | 1084:13, 21 |
| 937:18 938:6 | 24 1110:12 | 935:25 950:14 | done 776:5 |
| 945:10 952:2 | 1112:1 1113:3, 6 , | 953:22 959:25 | 787:23 809:13, 14 |
| 954:12 955:16, 20 | 18 1114:2, 22 | 960:6, 13 987:13 | 828:13 832:12 |
| 956:13 957:24 | 1117:8, 9, 16, 19 | 990:25 1004:20 | 838:20 842:1, 4 |
| 959:9, 12, 22, 23 | 1121:2 1122:24 | 1005:4 1007:23 | 856:19 857:21 |
| 960:8,25 963:9 | 1129:10 1132:20 | 1024:5 1033:20 | 861:22 873:15 |
| 964:11 965:20,23 | 1136:11, 13, 19 | 1051:18 1061:15 | 881:4, 7 896:10 |
| 966:3, 7, 16 972:4, | 1138:17, 20, 21, 24 | 1076:24 1077:15 | 907:8 913:9 |
| 5 977:12 981:24 | 1141:5, 8 1143:24 | 1085:11 1095:3, | 916:2, 23, 25 |
| 989:15, 16, 20 | 1146:21 1147:23 | 12 1096:4 | 937:12 939:11 |
| 990:5, 7 992:7, 9, | 1149:12 1154:3 | 1100:24 1121:4 | 967:13 975:13 |
| 10, 22, 24, 25 | document 777:18 | 1148:12 | 986:9 1011:24 |
| 995:9 996:5 | 792:2, 3 800:23 | doesn't 821:20 | 1023:18 1035:11 |
| 997:6, 7 1002:11, | 805:4 806:4 | 831:3 840:17 | 1036:18 1038:22 |
| 19 1004:2 1009:8 | 837:16 884:24 | 841:2 864:2,6 | 1138:6 |
| 1010:7 1013:6, 24, | 885:1 886:9 | 868:2 870:6 | don't 772:15, 23 |
| 25 1014:1, 8, 12, | 888:12, 13, 23 | 880:5, 6 911:22, | 773:24 783:15 |
| 13 1015:7 | 917:2, 16 952:21, | 24 935:9 965:1 | 788:14 790:16 |
| 1016:16 1017:2, | 22 965:4 1001:6 | 970:22 1003:22 | 792:2, 21 795:18 |
| 25 1018:6 | 1094:18 1095:13 | 1010:19 1026:6 | 798:14 800:4 |
| 1019:22 1020:16, | documentation | 1061:12 1067:17 | 802:23 816:5, 9, |
| 24 1021:7 1026:8, | 778:9,19 818:9 | 1095:8 1117:7, 11 | 11 817:3, 14 |
| 21 1027:18 | 882:11 | doing 791:4 | 821:10 822:20 |
| 1032:2 1033:20 | documented | 815:20 840:14 | 823:3, 5, 7 828:14 |
| 1034:24 1035:12 | 797:8 855:23 | 841:7 853:20 | 831:2, 23, 24 |
| 1036:21 1037:25 | documents 777:21 | 855:25 856:10 | 834:7, 23 835:12, |
| 1038:19 1040:23 | 785:23, 25 787:24 | 867:7 940:9, 15 | 18 842:25 843:15, |
| 1042:23 1044:20 | 791:9, 13 792:15 | 942:20 954:17 | 20 845:12 847:2 |
| 1046:25 1047:1 | 796:6, 8 798:2, 12, | 960:20 1013:13 | 849:19 854:15 |
| 1048:14, 16 | 13 801:6, 20, 24 | 1027:16 1032:20 | 855:7, 24 856:23 |


| 861:3 868:12 | 1080:18 1083:17 | driver 815:14 | 952:18 1001:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 872:12 873:14 | 1085:19 1088:18 | 826:19 827:17 | 23 1002:13 |
| 875:11 876:21 | 1090:1 1092:19 | drivers 1102:19, | 1020:18 1023:17 |
| 877:18 878:3 | 1093:23 1094:7, | 23 | 1034:9 1035:10, |
| 881:20 882:7 | 10 1098:2, 10 | drives 827:24 | 11 1042:2 |
| 883:16 884:15, 19 | 1105:2, 3, 9 | 828:20 | 1057:13, 18 |
| 885:14 886:14, 16 | 1110:19 1115:22 | driving 814:9 | 1063:20 1067:15 |
| 888:16, 24 889:1, | 1116:18 1120:5, | 940:16 | 1081:18 1089:10 |
| 2 891:5 892:15, | 13, 17, $20 \quad 1129: 5$ | drop 825:25 | 1099:25 1106:13 |
| 18 893:5, 9, 10, 25 | 1130:10 1131:15, | 826:12,15 979:23 | 1116:1 1144:20, |
| 897:2 898:21 | 16 1135:18, 21 | dropping 974:16 | 22 |
| 901:25 902:12, 14 | 1143:5 1144:10 | drops 1117:2 | duties 1112:20 |
| 905:7, 22 907:18 | 1145:7 1149:10 | drought 1019:1 |  |
| 908:8 909:8, 24, | 1151:8 1153:6, 11 | droughts 929:2 | < E > |
| 25 910:8 912:11 | doomed 952:1, 3 | drove 928:6 | earlier 810:5 |
| 913:10 914:13, 15 | double 912:4 | dry 828:6 928:25 | 848:9, 20 867:11 |
| 915:4, 5, 16 916:5, | 1153:10 | 929:2 1018:21 | 897:7 954:5 |
| 16 921:20 934:16 | doubt 859:4 | 1135:7 | 957:23 963:14 |
| 935:6 938:8 | 871:20 | dryland 929:5 | 1047:23 1113:22 |
| 939:23 943:18 | down 780:10 | 1075:3, 4 | early 782:11 |
| 947:22 954:14 | 781:25 877:17 | Dudley 783:4, 6, | 789:20 925:16 |
| 955:18 956:9 | 915:3 930:6 | 17 784:14 787:7 | 928:6 954:14 |
| 961:21 962:21 | 934:19 940:24 | 947:15 995:25 | 961:5 1081:23 |
| 967:25 970:5, 6, | 941:14 944:15 | 1003:7 1054:12, | earn 860:18 |
| 15 971:20 976:15 | 961:17 965:7, 17 | 13,20 1072:17 | earth 1017:25 |
| 978:7 986:15 | 966:9 974:15 | D-U-D-L-E-Y | easel 987:19 |
| 993:3 997:14 | 978:15 1003:23 | 1054:21 | easier 838:13 |
| 998:1, 3 1001:22 | 1018:14 1043:19 | Dudley's 947:15 | easiest 832:14 |
| 1014:21 1015:15, | 1085:4 1089:9 | due 788:3 840:17, | easily 972:7 |
| 21, 22 1019:13, 20 | 1091:17 1103:14 | 25 841:4,21 | 1049:15 |
| 1020:15 1022:3 | 1104:14 1114:13 | 842:3 855:21, 25 | east 1007:16, 19 |
| 1023:19 1024:6 | 1135:6, 22 | 856:15, 17, 24 | eastern 1116:24 |
| 1027:18 1028:17 | downstream | 859:9 1063:21 | easy 873:18 |
| 1042:1, 4 1043:3, | 1012:17 1032:10 | 1065:7 1148:11 | 1022:20 |
| 7 1044:14 1045:7, | dozens 1128:20 | 1150:13 1153:9 | economic 1136:11, |
| 10 1047:8, 22 | drain 849:17 | dues 1031:19 | $12 \quad 1137: 7$ |
| 1048:8, 12, 21 | 1120:24 1130:4 | duly 770:24 | economies 873:6 |
| 1050:12, 19 | dramatic 976:11 | 921:16 988:20 | Ed 943:6, 7 |
| 1051:15 1052:20 | drastic 784:22 | 1006:17 1054:15 | edge 958:12 |
| 1056:19, 23 | draws 1012:19 | 1073:7 1123:19 | educated 780:2 |
| 1057:2, 16 | driest 929:1 | during 775:2 | education 775:19 |
| 1060:23 1061:2, 4, | drive 827:19 | 857:22 880:11 | 1125:6, 9 |
| 6, 10, 21, 22 | 852:25 927:7 | 912:9, 12, 13 | educational 772:2 |
| 1064:25 1065:1, 5, | 1144:2, 5, 7, 15 | 913:2 918:18 | 774:5 1013:5 |
| 16,23 1069:24 | 1145:5 | 922:14 925:17 | effect 776:12 |
| 1079:10, 11 | driven 831:20 | 937:13 951:13, 19 | 823:11 857:25 |


| 878:19 952:4 | 839:19 861:20 | 1059:1, 2 | Engineering |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002:23 1003:14 | 866:20 867:4 | emailed 1098:7 | 904:14 1013:11, |
| 1063:20 1064:15 | 868:13, 24 872:3, | emailing 1098:6 | 14 1038:23 |
| effective 907:2,8 | 19 873:3 892:6 | embedded 795:14 | engineers 856:21 |
| 978:3 1042:7 | 895:15, 17, 18 | 853:17 | enjoyable 825:17 |
| effectively 1150:3 | 913:1 930:19, 20, | emergency 852:24 | ensure 1131:2 |
| efficiencies | 21 944:24 977:18 | Emily 1059:3 | ensuring 1095:21 |
| 872:18, 23 | 978:4 998:9 | emphasis 1013:15 | entered 772:6 |
| efficiency 1114:16 | 1024:5 1025:20 | employed 1016:8 | entering 852:13 |
| effort 821:25 | 1026:2,9 1041:13 | 1074:12 | enters 884:3 |
| 841:21 845:7 | 1042:9 1043:9 | employee 836:14 | 1026:16 |
| eight 790:17, 21, | 1111:18 1134:20 | 837:4 850:24 | entire 785:14 |
| 22 954:16 955:9 | electrical 961:16 | 851:15 | 823:10 848:1 |
| 992:15 1033:7, 8 | 1111:25 1112:13, | employees 851:2, | 853:10 857:22 |
| 1103:23 1131:9 | 14 1113:11 | 6,20,25 852:2 | 890:25 1115:20 |
| either 782:10, 12 | electricity 863:5 | empty 1085:6 | 1125:11 1149:5 |
| 794:23 800:12 | 868:10 869:23 | encompassing | entirety 801:10 |
| 803:25 810:2 | 872:7, 20 896:2, 5 | 1150:15 | 918:24 |
| 813:23 825:22 | 901:13 934:14 | encouraging | entities 774:14 |
| 838:12, 20 848:10 | 935:11 936:3 | 1102:3, 15 | 778:3, 4 797:14 |
| 866:6 868:9 | 962:7 963:9 | ended 937:25 | 811:3 817:16 |
| 900:20 905:8 | 964:21 968:18 | Energies 776:10, | 825:10 839:16, 18, |
| 912:5 939:17 | 969:8 1025:25 | 20 | 21 840:1, 3, 4 |
| 940:8 947:12 | 1135:4 | Energy 775:3 | 841:12 842:12 |
| 967:3 970:24 | electricity's 869:6 | 776:5, 21 804:1 | 844:25 845:5 |
| 976:13 993:7,18 | electronic 1070:19 | 827:20 852:15 | 853:6 856:2 |
| 994:17, 18 995:1, | elects 1051:22 | 853:2 858:19 | 868:5 872:22 |
| 22 1021:8 1022:2, | element 824:25 | 866:18 871:8 | 914:17 915:10 |
| 13 1023:1 1031:8 | 825:11 | 879:6 880:22 | 1021:1 1022:18 |
| 1032:22 1035:10 | elements 907:14 | 882:15 883:11, 25 | 1056:5 1108:7 |
| 1043:1, 3 1060:9 | 908:18 | 885:3,7 901:24 | entity 777:15 |
| 1075:10 1149:3 | elevated 1022:15 | 1019:25 1021:11 | 821:21 825:12 |
| elect 1051:25 | elevation 1009:25 | 1041:16, 19 | 840:15 841:5,12 |
| elected 950:10 | elevator 945:12 | 1105:7 1108:3 | 864:6 871:15, 17 |
| 964:11, 13 991:2 | eleven 774:8 | engaged 788:10 | 872:7 873:21 |
| 1032:23 1052:9 | elicited 922:14 | 789:8 790:5 | 874:19, 21 875:7 |
| 1077:4 1078:25 | 1012:9 | 833:19 856:1 | 901:12 908:11 |
| 1079:11 1112:18, | eliminated 969:22, | 917:5 989:22 | 924:20 927:3 |
| 19, 23 1113:4 | 25 | 1126:25 1127:2 | 991:1 999:25 |
| 1124:22 1131:23 | Ellen 769:3 | engagement | 1001:10 1041:7 |
| election 970:24 | ellen@nebenergyla | 776:23 789:14 | 1042:8 1044:5, 6 |
| elections 969:15 | w.com 769:6 | engineer 1013:9, | 1047:6 1049:17, |
| 1079:10, 11, 13 | Elwood 945:20 | 13 1033:16 | 25 1055:24 |
| electorate 950:10 | 979:2 1081:11 | 1134:8 | 1056:1 1079:21 |
| electric 774:15 | email 1058:24 |  | 1080:2 1111:11 |
| 817:13 837:8, 14 |  |  | 1112:22 1113:9 |


| 1115:3 1117:23 | estimated 831:19 | 902:8 940:4 | 961:15 975:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1136:8 | estimates 804:5 | 953:19 | 1020:9 1056:11 |
| environment | estimation 1039:6 | everything's | 1081:8 |
| 876:11 878:18 | 1040:21 | 785:20 | examples 879:20 |
| 1030:24 | et 778:25 919:12 | evidence 805:1 | ex-auditor 793:3 |
| environmental | evaluate 871:21 | 806:6, 8, 10 807:7 | 807:15 |
| 1030:19, 23 | 875:12 1034:15 | 808:11 833:4 | excellent 1077:17 |
| 1105:10 1108:4 | evaluated 782:9 | 834:18, 22 919:5 | except 776:24 |
| EPA 1031:1 | evaluating 855:21 | 1097:6, 25 | 884:12 908:13 |
| equal 876:20 | 856:18 870:16 | 1132:19 1133:1, 4 | 1124:9 |
| 1131:8 | 894:24 | 1143:20 1145:6 | exchange 778:11 |
| equalization | evaluation 856:9 | 1154:5, 10 | 881:18, 21, 23 |
| 851:7, 16 | eve 883:23 | evidently 934:1 | 990:3 |
| equally 790:22 | event 775:17 | 964:23 | exchanges 800:23 |
| equals 1131:9 | 900:6 931:2 | evolve 883:19 | excluding 822:15 |
| equity 816:10 | 1022:10 1025:3 | evolved 1030:25 | 823:23 |
| equivalency 864:5 | eventually 778:22 | ex 1033:15 | exclusive 1018:4 |
| 865:8 | 791:12 915:25 | exact 783:16 | 1027:13 1028:20 |
| equivalent | 936:8 1042:14 | 843:16 877:18 | 1044:6, 16 |
| 1040:25 | 1048:8 | 1110:20 1130:11 | 1047:13 1048:21 |
| equivalents | ever 775:17 | exactly 817:7 | exclusively |
| 848:24 849:5 | 789:4 794:21 | 846:7, 12 856:2 | 1044:24 1045:1 |
| Erik 904:12 | 819:8 825:20 | 880:13 913:17, 19 | 1111:12 |
| errors 816:8 | 882:10 885:14 | 936:4 949:22 | excuse 798:14 |
| escalating 849:16 | 938:8 1019:6 | 950:11 954:22 | 816:15 885:10 |
| escalation 852:2 | 1021:13 1032:22 | 970:6 971:20 | 899:10 904:24 |
| escape 920:15 | 1041:6 1050:25 | 1002:21 1064:2 | 941:6 964:14 |
| especially 916:3 | 1051:5, 8 1105:3, | 1065:17 1093:24 | 969:21 980:9 |
| 938:10 1004:13 | 25 | 1110:22 | 1028:23 1088:15 |
| 1015:6 1114:15 | Evergy 802:13 | EXAMINATION | 1131:18 |
| 1146:21 1148:2 | 803:14, 16 815:12 | 771:12 839:4 | excused 920:23 |
| essence 812:19 | every 794:2 | 858:9 862:8 | 976:24 988:7 |
| essential 1112:16 | 804:17 867:2,5 | 867:11 875:5 | 1072:18 |
| 1113:17 | 877:17 931:12 | 906:5 922:5 | excusing 904:17 |
| essentially 810:18 | 1009:21, 23 | 977:6 989:8 | executed 802:14 |
| 813:9 816:10 | 1018:25 1019:4 | 1007:5 1055:1 | execution 777:18 |
| 822:23 823:21 | 1032:15, 16 | 1074:9 1111:4 | executive 774:20, |
| 831:25 847:20 | 1036:6 1099:22 | 1124:4 | 24 804:20 858:17 |
| 889:25 914:20 | everybody 879:14 | examined 770:24 | 1033:13 |
| 973:6 1018:10 | 970:16, 20 | 777:15 838:22 | executives 859:25 |
| 1020:10 1044:9 | 1117:20 | 921:16 988:20 | 860:10 |
| 1046:20 1120:7 | everybody's | 1006:17 1054:15 | exempt 777:3 |
| establish 1042:6 | 1107:21 | 1073:7 1123:19 | exercise 804:22 |
| estimate 1086:5 | everything 785:17 | examining 858:11 | exhaustive 778:18 |
| 1150:4 | 825:23 856:6 | example 792:16 | Exhibit 771:23 |
|  |  | 854:24 873:18 | 773:22 795:10 |


| 805:1,7 806:23 | expect 785:7 | explore 879:25 | 880:16 882:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 808:9, 18 810:15 | 839:2 841:24 | 1127:17 | 903:3 908:13 |
| 811:17 813:25 | 856:20 897:22 | exponentially | 934:13 935:25 |
| 814:22 818:16 | 1036:8 | 1040:10 | 943:23 952:17 |
| 819:5, 8 820:5, 10, | expectation 790:6 | exposure 933:6 | 964:17 985:17 |
| 11 821:24 824:21 | 793:25 871:17 | express 979:3 | 1008:13 1028:1 |
| 829:4, 16, 18, 19 | 889:17, 19 890:3 | expressed 782:10 | 1080:19 1091:15 |
| 833:15 847:9 | 1152:18 | 1056:25 1057:10 | 1096:20 1100:20 |
| 848:8, 15 850:5, 9, | expected 827:15 | extend 981:16 | 1103:16 1106:13 |
| 10 863:11 897:7 | 838:19 856:21 | 1034:12 1146:16 | 1118:5 1126:6 |
| 898:25 899:18, 20 | 860:5 | 1151:5 | 1130:1 1139:24 |
| 917:2, 14, 21 | expediency 773:23 | extended 909:17 | factions 937:21 |
| 918:9,23 919:2 | expeditious 1151:4 | extends 1149:8 | factors 854:21 |
| 920:2, 3 952:10, | expenditures | extension 936:21 | 875:13 |
| 14 960:23, 25 | 794:6, 7 | 1151:15 | facts 783:11 |
| 961:3,8 978:13 | expenses 777:15 | extensively | 842:18 |
| 986:20, 24, 25 | 851:17 871:4 | 850:19 1126:2 | failed 983:2 |
| 987:2, 19 1001:5 | 890:10 892:20, 22 | extent 773:25 | Fair 806:22 |
| 1013:24 1017:12, | 907:16 1011:20 | 884:12 914:6 | 835:14 857:8 |
| 15, 16 1058:17, 20, | expensive 989:25 | 1026:16 1059:23 | 865:18 869:13 |
| 23 1059:2 | experience 774:6 | 1132:21 | 876:19 877:19 |
| 1060:14, 18 | 775:21 788:5 | external 859:1 | 879:9 886:20 |
| 1070:11 1097:6, | 825:6 838:25 | externally 829:6 | 889:3 892:14 |
| 10,25 1098:18, 20 | 839:14 857:15 | 846:3 899:6 | 919:15 927:10 |
| 1115:9 1143:13, | 870:5 872:17 | 900:1 | 935:20 1032:4 |
| 20 1144:10, 25 | 889:8 907:10 | extra 823:6 | 1038:18 1049:12 |
| 1145:3, 8 1153:1, | 910:1 927:23 | 849:19 980:3 | 1060:1 1094:13 |
| 5,6 | 1128:17 | extremely | 1095:3 1101:24 |
| exhibits 863:3 | expert 788:11 | 1022:15 1082:5 | 1102:1 1103:9 |
| 1061:12 1070:7 | 789:9 835:13, 17, | 1114:5 | 1118:21 |
| 1122:11, 25 | 18 879:7 881:10 | eyes 798:2 | fairly 837:16 |
| 1141:2 1143:21 | 898:19 901:15 |  | 880:8 895:4 |
| 1145:12 | 909:22 1028:16 | < F > | 959:17 960:17 |
| exist 833:13 | expertise 866:12, | facilitate 903:4 | 1061:18 1118:23 |
| 848:17 1065:15 | 13 883:3 901:9 | facilities 847:17 | fairness 778:7 |
| 1121:5, 8 | 1046:1 1047:1 | 889:21 890:5 | 1039:13, 14 |
| existed 785:25 | 1051:4 1126:13 | 1029:6 | 1077:6 |
| 1058:4 | expiring 815:12 | facility $827: 24$ | falling 1010:1 |
| existence 1077:12 | 888:6 | 831:10 847:22 | fallow 1023:1 |
| existing 803:10 | explain 818:8 | 878:17 879:13 | falls 870:13 |
| 827:15 894:4 | 999:11 1009:2 | 890:22 891:10 | 1009:21, 22 |
| 901:19 911:13 | 1119:8 | facing 795:22 | 1120:20 |
| exists 817:3 | explained 1080:5 | fact 776:24 778:9 | familiar 790:13 |
| 884:18, 19 1121:1 | explanation | 798:8 820:13 | 861:18 908:4, 7, 9, |
| expand 775:25 | 1049:19 1050:8 | $855: 11 \quad 862: 9$ | 21 909:13 959:16 |


| family 780:6 | 989:21, 23 | felt 937:16 | 1065:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 782:16 997:12 | 1125:11 | 941:19 942:1 | finances 896:10 |
| 1075:11 1089:5 | farmland 787:17 | 949:20 950:5,6 | financial 774:20, |
| family's 868:21 | 869:3 923:16 | 1065:11 | 24 775:5 776:15 |
| far 785:16 | 989:15 1074:25 | FERC 872:11 | 777:11 784:21 |
| 787:24 814:24 | farms 781:24 | 879:12 916:7 | 785:11, 14 786:3, |
| 895:5 896:9 | 1142:14 | 946:2 1040:7 | 9,12 790:11 |
| 1010:4 1028:18 | Farnam 769:16 | 1126:15 | 792:7, 16 794:9, |
| 1040:16 1068:12 | fashion 968:16 | fertilizer 943:3 | 11, 23 795:9, 12, |
| farm 779:13, 16 | fast 930:3 1010:1 | 957:8 | 23 797:3, 21 |
| 780:1 868:8, 11, | favor 982:18, 21 | fiduciary 1112:20 | 798:14 799:21 |
| 19,21 923:10 | 1055:18, 21 | field 1023:22 | 800:10 809:1, 18, |
| 929:17 956:5 | 1056:13 1092:5 | fields 1020:3 | 22 811:10 814:9, |
| 957:10 959:7 | 1129:20 1130:18 | 1134:17 | 24 815:6 816:2, |
| 993:4 997:12 | 1138:25 | fifth 780:17 | 22 818:3, 11, 14 |
| 1012:25 1075:8, | favorable 897:20 | fifth-generation | 819:3, 8, 18 |
| 14,15 1125:7 | fax 1094:19, 21 | 1075:15 | 825:13 827:16 |
| 1128:22 | fears 888:22 | fight 801:16 | 833:4, 10 838:18 |
| farmed 779:18 | feasibility | 942:9 1105:13 | 840:11, 14 841:1 |
| 929:1 | 1036:18 1041:25 | 1107:10 | 842:10 843:14, 19, |
| farmer 968:23 | feasible 1041:21 | fighting 1107:9 | 21 846:19 849:8, |
| 969:3, 13 989:14, | 1042:1 | fights 1045:19 | 21 850:2 852:24 |
| 25 1021:8 | Feb 1150:11 | figure 893:3, 20 | 858:16 860:3 |
| 1074:13, 18 | February 768:15 | 915:3 974:3 | 864:4 881:10 |
| 1075:15 1077:10 | 770:6 789:21 | 975:12 1069:21 | 898:10 953:8 |
| 1080:24 1083:3 | 792:7 801:4 | 1070:22 | 1028:15 1056:4 |
| 1125:7 1134:9 | 946:7 993:22 | figured 851:22 | 1063:21 1064:3, |
| 1142:5 | 994:9 996:19 | 853:12 974:5 | 11 1065:7, 14 |
| farmers 942:7 | 1060:5 1062:24 | file 867:16 | 1085:4 1141:20 |
| 944:7 945:11 | 1126:15 1130:3, | 1070:19 | 1147:18 |
| 955:4, 16 956:12 | 12 1144:17 | filed 805:10, 12 | financially 844:8 |
| 969:4 979:2 | federal 778:23 | 939:18 | 952:1, 2 1065:21 |
| 1008:10, 18 | 1105:6 1108:2 | fill $1010: 5,7,18$ | financials 798:7, |
| 1011:4, 16 1020:6 | feed 891:11 | 1019:1 1035:3 | 20, 23 799:20 |
| 1021:1, 16, 25 | feeding 965:15, 16 | 1036:4, 7 1127:9 | 815:3 828:11 |
| 1022:17, 23 | feeds 891:10 | 1151:22 | financing 822:9 |
| 1023:15, 21, 25 | feel 928:3, 10 | filled 813:22 | find 783:9 791:2 |
| 1025:3, 10 | 955:18 961:13 | 1058:6 1088:10 | 795:8 804:23 |
| 1044:21, 24, 25 | 964:15 997:11, 14 | filling 1127:14 | 816:4 825:21 |
| 1045:8, 22 1048:4 | 1132:6 | fills 1010:22 | 833:3 834:11 |
| 1077:3 1119:10, | fees 1096:10 | 1018:24 | 835:6, 9 942:4 |
| 13 1131:25 | 1097:2 1099:8, 14 | final 918:4 | 973:9, 10, 16 |
| 1132:1 | 1102:10 1116:9 | 940:25 979:23 | 1023:20 1028:2 |
| farming 781:20, | feet 1009:21 | 997:4 1054:3 | 1100:18 1138:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \quad 923: 14,18 \\ & 928: 23 \quad 929: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 1010:3 | finance 859:23 | 21 |


| finding 850:5 | five 774:9 794:8 | following 770:1 | 900:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 940:11 | 877:23 903:6 | 904:2 1006:2 | foregoing 1154:8 |
| findings 913:22 | 1002:4 1010:25 | 1067:2 1072:2 | foremost 874:12 |
| 919:11 973:9 | 1013:12 1034:5 | 1087:14 1154:4 | forever 1149:19 |
| fine 802:5 858:5 | 1080:25 1087:21 | follows 770:25 | forgot 1013:21 |
| 904:19 1007:25 | 1088:8 1092:4 | 921:17 988:21 | forgotten 954:9 |
| 1027:20 1067:19 | 1152:13 | 1006:18 1054:16 | Forks 1030:7, 16 |
| 1152:4 | five-minute 896:22 | 1073:8 1123:20 | form 776:10, 21 |
| finest 1114:3 | fixed 968:11 | followup 855:5 | 834:1,7 838:24 |
| finish 903:1 | flagged 855:8 | 875:4 984:10 | 937:7 942:17 |
| 1137:17 | flash 1144:1, 5, 7 , | foot 1009:23 | 955:23 990:19 |
| finished 1107:11 | 15 1145:5 | 1010:13 | 991:20 1070:23 |
| Firming 1035:5, | flesh 860:2 | footprint 943:25 | 1139:16 1145:5 |
| 20 | flexibility 901:1, 6 , | 944:1, 4 950:12, | formal 1139:12 |
| first 770:7, 24 | 9 910:11 | 22 951:1, 7, 9 | format 996:10 |
| 777:1, 4 782:2, 13 | Floor 768:13 | 987:7 1051:12 | formed 777:1, 2 |
| 788:15 789:16 | 770:7 | force 1104:5 | 786:20 924:24, 25 |
| 790:4 809:18 | flow 822:4, 7, 20 | forecast 797:6 | 925:5 950:22 |
| 811:2 812:24 | 824:15 830:22 | 808:3 809:8, 10 | 1011:14 1076:25 |
| 821:6 832:21 | 848:20 1010:20 | 815:6, 20, 21, 25 | 1080:3 1103:21 |
| 835:21 853:11 | 1032:16 1099:25 | 816:7 819:15 | 1107:7 1119:17 |
| 858:11 870:22 | 1119:21 1120:1 | 820:3 822:2, 7 | Former 943:7, 9 |
| 874:12 878:7 | 1135:22 | 823:15, 20 826:15 | 1142:5 |
| 921:16 922:20 | flowed 813:5 | 830:25 844:11 | forming 925:8 |
| 925:12, 23, 24 | flowing 1135:6, | 847:10 848:1 | formula 957:4 |
| 926:21 931:5, 24 | 22, 23 | 849:1 852:4 | 968:19 |
| 933:6 943:19, 22 | flows 822:9, 10 , | 860:6,9 863:22 | Fort 1035:25 |
| 952:25 953:3 | 11 865:9 1010:19 | 877:21 878:16 | forth 892:24 |
| 963:2 970:18 | 1033:25 1119:25 | 888:13 898:3, 4, 7, | 996:16 1001:20 |
| 978:2 979:14 | fluctuations | 17 944:11 | 1129:4 1136:13 |
| 988:20 1001:5 | 1024:22 | forecasted 818:14 | 1151:11 |
| 1006:17 1016:18, | flush 843:11 | 820:14 | fortunate 945:15 |
| 24 1018:1, 2 | 846:22 | forecasting | Fortune 815:21 |
| 1020:9 1021:11 | flushed 1139:13 | 777:14 810:1 | 859:20 860:17 |
| 1038:21 1054:15 | focus 801:20 | 812:21 858:14, 19 | 866:9 |
| 1058:23 1059:1 | 808:3 962:14 | 859:12 861:4 | Forty 1152:11 |
| 1062:8, 14, 18 | 963:6 1112:6, 12 | 864:15, 19 866:25 | Forty-five 923:15 |
| 1073:7 1077:6 | focused 882:13 | 867:1 889:5 | forward 816:1 |
| 1095:15, 17 | 888:5 1113:25 | forecasts 795:12 | 817:23 826:7 |
| 1097:8 1098:15 | focuses 836:13 | 797:10 809:13 | 838:16 857:17 |
| 1103:14 1111:10 | folks 979:7 998:8 | 811:10, 25 859:4, | 865:24 931:1 |
| 1123:18 1124:9 | 1000:7 1045:5 | 5,6,18, 20 860:4 | 976:23 1027:5 |
| 1130:11, 22 | 1104:16 1114:23 | 898:10 900:7 | 1077:10 1087:12 |
| 1131:18 1141:7 | follow 925:16 | foreclose 801:15 | 1138:19, 23 |
| fiscal 793:10 | 966:13 972:10 | forego 863:23 | 1139:17, 22 |
| fit 821:22 | followed 855:10 |  |  |


| forwarded | 1019:7 1021:19 | gallery 1088:21 | generators 774:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1059:17 | 1114:9 1120:12 | Gap 1035:5, 20 | 828:1 839:18 |
| found 780:9 | fully $777: 5$ | Gary 921:8, 14, 22 | 932:23 964:22 |
| 795:21 920:4 | 801:25 833:19 | G-A-R-Y 921:23 | 974:8 1064:1 |
| 931:8, 16 933:10 | fun 1094:11 | gas 774:15 | gentleman 1088:7 |
| 936:18 948:4, 6 | 1125:22 | 839:19 861:20 | 1096:24 |
| 984:15, 21 1082:1, | function 778:11 | 866:20 867:4 | geographical |
| 16 1098:21 | 841:2 894:14 | 872:6, 18, 20 | 1055:25 |
| 1143:23 1145:9 | 895:2 901:11 | 873:4 | George 1112:7 |
| foundation 806:4 | 1018:11 | gates 1009:14, 17 | geotechnical-type |
| 834:15 835:22 | functions 873:5 | gather 794:10 | 1013:13 |
| 840:8 919:16 | fund $824: 4,13$ | 811:20 841:9 | get-go 1128:11 |
| 1105:22 | funded 1030:4 | gathered 793:19 | getting 778:24 |
| founded 1126:24 | funding 1085:9 | 795:7 | 802:1 829:11 |
| four 796:25 | funds 848:11, 18 | gathering 790:14 | 864:9 903:4 |
| 810:5 851:3 | 976:1 1064:12 | gees 1088:13 | 934:5 938:1 |
| 932:14 946:25 | funny 1104:13 | general 990:24, | 986:9 998:2 |
| 947:3 1026:15 | furnish 970:23 | 25 1033:17 | 1028:18 1032:5 |
| 1039:19 1063:7 | further 780:4 | 1063:3 1114:22 | 1045:9, 12 |
| 1080:25 1131:8 | 786:5 896:14 | 1125:15, 19 | 1098:16 1128:7 |
| fourth 1101:1, 8 | 902:18 920:6 | generally 792:2 | 1129:9, 23 |
| fourth-generation | 972:18 1004:21 | 927:22 959:18, 19 | 1138:14 1149:9 |
| 780:15 | 1053:20 1068:22 | 960:19 992:18 | give 791:25 |
| Frank 768:18 | 1069:11 1072:13 | 997:2 1004:6 | 835:22 897:12 |
| 812:9 | 1087:14 1111:2 | 1014:6 1016:6 | 915:5 921:20, 25 |
| frankly 785:4 | 1118:10 1127:17 | 1083:4 | 926:8, 9 928:7 |
| free 864:10 990:1 | 1137:8 1139:4 | generate 901:12 | 931:3 944:12 |
| Friday 770:6 | 1140:3, 24 1141:4 | 1046:17 1101:3 | 945:5 948:13 |
| 1124:15 | future 777:14 | generated 1046:6 | 960:7 977:11 |
| friend 782:16 | 794:12, 24 803:25 | generates 1025:25 | 983:12 988:24 |
| 783:6 927:16 | 804:7 809:5, 8, 9 , | 1049:24 | 990:23 1017:8, 19 |
| friendly 1064:6 | 10 811:25 883:17 | generating 827:23 | 1019:11 1024:1,2 |
| friends 780:6 | 888:14 913:13, 14 | 847:17 1046:22 | 1040:14 1062:15 |
| 1004:12 1126:9 | 955:22 961:20 | generation 780:18 | 1076:4 1078:12 |
| frightening 976:8 | 1031:21 1064:11 | 827:25 830:15 | 1088:1 1103:12 |
| front 773:22 | 1100:1 1132:7 | 831:10, 12, 13 | 1120:8 1123:22 |
| 821:11 884:16 | 1137:2 | 832:3 837:2 | 1133:7 |
| 952:11 960:25 |  | 850:21 862:15 | given 802:14 |
| 978:12 983:16 | < G > | 863:24 868:14, 21 | 805:13 810:4 |
| 1060:15 | gain 897:22 | 879:3 880:1, 7, 11 | 983:13 1055:13 |
| frozen 1010:20 | 903:15 910:16 | 883:20 884:11, 20, | 1067:7 1103:13 |
| fulfill 1001:13 | 1025:18 1026:12 | 22 897:19, 24 | 1145:21 |
| full 773:25 856:4 | gained 872:23 | 902:5, 8 910:13 | gives 786:8 |
| 889:20 935:2 | 900:6 901:10,11 | 927:6 953:11 | 962:8 973:4 |
| 941:14 944:12 | gaining 1028:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1046:7 1101:23 } \\ & 1102: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1011:18 1022:13 |


| giving 969:7, 9 | goal 791:1 | 910:17 911:9 | 1140:8 1143:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1018:4 1028:20 | 880:24 1112:8 | 915:2 916:6, 13, | 1144:11 1145:25 |
| 1037:17 1062:12 | goals 880:25 | 16, 18, 19, 24 | 1146:12, 23 |
| 1108:3 | 1004:13 | 920:15, 16, 19 | 1148:15, 24 |
| Glendo 1015:21 | go-between 993:17 | 926:8, 9 931:8 | 1150:16 1151:9 |
| global 906:22 | God 785:14 | 932:2, 7, 16, 21, 22, | Good 771:14 |
| 1107:15 | goes 936:6 | 23, 24 934:19 | 773:19 778:10 |
| GM 843:24 | 943:23 968:22 | 936:10, 22 939:2 | 828:4 840:12 |
| 910:10 1049:6 | 970:3 1009:18 | 940:10 941:13 | 850:2 867:9 |
| go 773:4 776:13 | 1010:14 1091:6 | 942:19 944:13 | 880:12, 17 890:19 |
| 786:5 792:14 | 1096:20 1101:21 | 945:24 946:3, 16 | 896:10 902:22, 25 |
| 794:19 804:19 | 1113:21 | 948:8 949:25 | 906:16 907:19 |
| 812:13, 16 819:12 | going 770:4 | 953:19 954:18, 21 | 909:16 921:1 |
| 820:2 833:20 | 784:9 785:17 | 955:3, 6, 11 956:3, | 922:7 928:9, 12 |
| 836:5 837:6 | 787:15 788:24 | 7,19 958:8 | 939:11, 13 946:1 |
| 843:7 857:17 | 790:1 791:17 | 960:18 964:13 | 988:5 989:10 |
| 864:3 866:16 | 794:19 799:18, 20 | 966:20 968:18, 19 | 993:15 1004:12 |
| 867:13 871:19 | 800:23 804:25 | 972:1 973:11, 23 | 1005:1 1029:21 |
| 878:19 885:23 | 806:2 808:8 | 974:2, 4, 8, 19, 20, | 1048:24 1050:23, |
| 898:24 903:7, 11, | 810:2 814:18 | 24 975:8, 20 | 24 1055:3, 4, 12 |
| 12 904:4 906:12 | 815:15 816:2 | 978:3 980:3 | 1065:6, 19 |
| 910:21, 23 925:11 | 819:16 821:16 | 985:21 995:5, 7 | 1070:14 1072:10 |
| 926:3 928:24 | 823:11 826:7 | 998:12 999:13 | 1074:11 1078:11 |
| 932:24 941:7, 19 | 831:8, 21 832:4, | 1001:4 1003:22 | 1081:3, 21 1082:6 |
| 942:17 943:18, 20 | 16 834:2 835:25 | 1006:20 1022:23 | 1090:25 1091:1 |
| 944:3 945:22 | 837:21 838:9 | 1023:23 1024:1 | 1108:24 1114:23 |
| 957:11 968:18 | 843:6, 10 848:11 | 1027:18 1028:14, | 1116:13 1117:4 |
| 975:11, 20 976:13 | 851:2, 4 852:1, 15 | 17 1030:13, 23 | 1120:17 1122:5 |
| 979:11 981:1 | 853:12 858:1, 22 | 1031:25 1044:5 | Gordon 1089:3, 4 |
| 1000:21 1006:3 | 860:19 861:14, 16 | 1045:13, 14 | Gosper 923:4 |
| 1009:24 1016:16 | 862:1 863:23, 25 | 1046:18 1047:18 | 934:22 938:24 |
| 1027:5 1043:18, | 864:12 865:7, 12 | 1067:11 1069:14, | 991:9 999:5, 8 |
| 19 1047:25 | 866:5 869:15, 24 | 23 1070:15, 16 | 1052:18 1053:2, |
| 1054:3 1064:4 | 870:1 873:11, 15 | 1072:6 1075:7 | 18 1074:21 |
| 1065:24 1070:11, | 874:5, 25 876:20, | 1077:19 1078:21 | 1092:18, 20, 21 |
| 25 1072:3 | 23 877:8 878:5 | 1081:10, 11 | 1093:1 1104:22 |
| 1073:16 1097:20, | 882:25 883:5, 13, | 1085:3 1089:5 | 1112:18, 24 |
| 22 1099:1 | 15 884:13, 20, 21 | 1100:25 1105:5, 9 | 1113:5 |
| 1105:21 1107:14 | 885:2, 6 887:22 | 1107:12, 23 | gotten 847:8 |
| 1121:20 1122:8, | 889:7 890:14, 24 | 1110:6, 13 | Gottschalk |
| 13 1130:14 | 891:11 892:7, 19, | 1111:23, 24, 25 | 768:22 770:13 |
| 1135:3 1137:8 | 21 893:2, 15, 19, | 1113:1 1114:24 | 806:13, 20 904:18 |
| 1139:21 1149:19 | 20 900:9, 13, 17 | 1115:5 1117:23, | 950:24 951:6,10 |
| 1151:9, 11 | 901:19 902:7, 9 | 25 1118:3, 6 | 969:11, 20 970:7 |
| 1152:23 1153:3 | $\begin{array}{ll} 904: 24 & 905: 4 \\ 906: 2 & 907: 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1125:1 1137:11, } \\ & 12 \quad 1138 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 971: 2,7,11 \quad 972: 3, \\ & 11,15 \quad 975: 17 \end{aligned}$ |


| 976:6, 10 977:8 | 959:7, 10 991:3 | 910:14 911:6 | 936:18 937:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 988:9 1097:15 | 992:7 1028:10 | 929:21 934:4 | 940:5, 19, 22, 23, |
| Gottschalk's | 1044:25 1045:14 | 972:4 976:11 | 24, 25 941:20 |
| 986:17 | 1051:13 1075:22 | 990:10, 24 1032:5 | 942:3 945:22 |
| governance | 1076:12, 15, 16 | 1059:7 1070:6 | 947:14 948:1, 2 |
| 840:11 931:7 | 1097:2 1104:19, | 1077:19 1083:12 | 953:5 954:22 |
| 932:2 934:11 | 21 1106:6 1116:9 | 1088:8 1095:1 | 956:20, 23 957:23 |
| 936:18 967:20 | 1117:5, 8 1134:12, | 1096:21 1098:24 | 960:17 965:8 |
| 968:21, 22 1044:4 | 16,19, 24 1135:10, | 1119:7 1131:4 | 966:15 967:24 |
| governed 998:13 | 11,18 | 1152:7, 16 | 972:5, 7 974:22 |
| governor 983:17 | Groundwater's | Guide 1119:10, 11 | 980:5 981:14, 20 |
| 1033:2 | 1116:13 | gun 906:14 | 983:8, 9 984:11, |
| governs 1104:7 | group 786:19 | guy 1130:25 | 21, 22 991:23 |
| grab 793:5 | 788:17 789:10 | guys 940:15 | 993:10 996:20, 22 |
| grabbed 848:22 | 937:22 938:7 | 944:15 945:24 | 1002:14, 17 |
| grabbing 817:11 | 942:16 947:24 | 967:25 1021:19 | 1004:9 1006:2 |
| graduating 774:7 | 954:7 973:20 | 1119:15 1120:16 | 1012:7 1019:6 |
| graduation | 983:15 985:25 | Gwen 932:12 | 1021:24 1025:16, |
| 1125:21 | 986:3, 5 995:14 | 947:4, 6 948:2 | 25 1027:22, 23 |
| grandfather's | 1000:7 1077:12, | 967:18 995:18 | 1028:7, 8, 11 |
| 1089:2 | 16, 22, 23 1078:2, | 1003:11 1063:5 | 1034:21 1037:24, |
| grandson 1088:4 | $6,10,15,22,23$ |  | $25 \quad 1040: 24$ |
| grant 1033:23 | 1081:16, 20 | < H > | 1041:18, 19 |
| granted 1119:18 | 1083:4, 10 1084:8 | had 768:12 | 1055:15 1062:19 |
| great 1037:19 | 1085:1 1086:8 | 770:2, 14 775:12, | 1063:13 1067:2 |
| 1130:15 1135:13 | 1087:15, 24 | $\begin{array}{lll}13 & 776: 23 & 777: 7\end{array}$ | 1072:2, 8 1080:16 |
| greater 1131:10 | 1090:3, 7, 16 | 778:8 780:1 | 1081:23 1082:4, |
| Greeley 1036:1 | 1092:4 1094:4 | 782:10, 17 784:14 | 12, 14, 21 1086:18 |
| Greg 768:20 | 1101:10 1102:3 | 786:18 787:8, 23 | 1087:1 1088:18 |
| 772:25 1062:6 | 1104:6 1111:22, | 788:16, 20 789:4 | 1089:8, 17 |
| 1078:1 1142:3 | 24 1115:18, 21 | 793:19, 24 794:3, | 1100:17 1102:5 |
| grew 779:13, 16 | 1126:3, 4 1127:1, | $5 \quad 796: 11 \quad 811: 19$ | 1105:21 1106:6, |
| 1012:25 | 3 1132:22 | 817:22 830:22 | 12, 17 1107:18 |
| gross 824:23, 25 | groups 938:13 | 833:19 834:16 | 1110:9, 12 |
| 825:22 | 1106:22 | 843:9, 12 844:6 | 1113:24 1121:24 |
| ground 924:4 | grow 779:12 | 846:18 847:2 | 1124:11 1129:6 |
| 928:8 929:17, 19 | growing 828:10 | 849:3, 13 852:2 | 1130:24 1132:2 |
| 1023:2 1074:20 | growth 828:20 | 858:18 859:22 | 1134:2, 7 1135:11 |
| 1104:14, 15, 19 | 1040:23 | 861:21 866:23 | 1139:9 1140:11 |
| 1119:18 1120:1 | guarantee 1132:7 | 867:4 876:7 | 1145:20 1147:15 |
| 1136:24 | guess 779:22 | 882:10 883:24 | 1154:7 |
| grounded 815:23 | 785:12 818:13 | 901:4 904:2, 9 | hadn't 780:11 |
| groundwater | 830:20 832:20 | 906:17 910:10, 20 | 785:13 |
| 862:18 924:4 | 868:23 873:16 | 911:1 914:13 | half 781:1 |
| 930:9, 14, 15 | 881:4 887:20 | 928:11 929:1 | 857:11 866:17 |
| 950:1, 3 958:24 | 900:2 901:7 | 931:6,23 932:20 |  |


| 991:19 1062:8, 14, | happy 782:13 | 975:13 977:17 | 20 844:6, 10 |
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| 773:3 812:8 | 956:23 986:4 | 1025:20 1039:22 | 851:2, 4, 9 852:1 |
| 1144:1 | 1087:1 1114:14 | 1040:7 1044:9 | 853:20 854:10 |
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| 916:4 923:13 | 1030:10 1031:5 | 828:14, 21 835:16 | 811:13 815:1,5 |
| 924:8 952:7 | 1034:19 1036:22 | 841:22 849:19 | LUDTKE 769:10 |
| 989:24 992:13 | 1038:22 1041:20 | 853:21 854:16 | lumped 849:1 |
| 998:17 1011:1 | looking 773:12 | 857:20 858:25 | lunch 903:1, 8 |
| 1012:23 1024:16 | 786:13 787:24 | 860:21, 22 861:15 | 906:3 942:6, 8 |
| 1034:3 1050:13 | 789:15 792:3 | 875:12 882:22 | Lundeen 988:14, |
| 1055:8 1080:3 | 794:12 805:25 | 885:13 886:12 | 16, 18 989:1, 10 |
| 1081:15 1091:11 | 810:14 815:25 | 906:24 907:6, 7 | L-U-N-D-E-E-N |
| 1113:25 1148:14 | 817:21 818:4, 13 | 909:19 915:2 | 989:1 |
| longer 897:22 | 822:17 824:24 | 935:6 962:22 | Lundgren 1129:6 |
| 916:6 920:17 | 825:12 830:23 | 965:17 969:25 |  |
| 1034:6 1111:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 833: 7,18 \quad 845: 20 \\ & 856: 7 \quad 858: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 975:19 997:3 <br> 1004:14 1010:20 | < M > |


| magically 935:5 | manager 829:3 | match 950:8 | 871:25 878:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mail 953:1 | 946:24 995:18 | 1042:3 1045:7 | 901:24 903:6 |
| mailman 970:19 | 1007:9 1063:3 | matched 952:24 | 907:6 908:11 |
| main 946:11 | managers 855:13 | matches 1042:2 | 912:14 927:13 |
| 973:4 998:10 | manages 859:7 | math 893:19 | 935:4 939:1 |
| maintain 1104:20, | 862:13, 20 | 945:14 | 948:13 956:5 |
| 22 1112:9 | managing 828:11 | Matt 1065:23 | 1018:1 1024:15 |
| maintained 775:16 | 860:14 896:10 | 1070:2 | 1025:2 1029:22, |
| maintenance | 901:20 902:5 | MATTER 768:4, | 24 1031:3, 25 |
| 889:23 891:1 | 1045:25 | 7 813:15 831:3 | 1035:18 1036:4 |
| 975:4 1064:1 | manner 961:19 | 840:18 854:25 | 1044:11, 14 |
| major 797:15 | 992:19 | 870:6 904:12 | 1046:15 1047:25 |
| majority 930:21, | map 933:3 | 990:10 1016:14 | 1069:21, 23 |
| 23 938:14, 19 | March 1022:21 | 1104:11 | 1070:6 1086:3 |
| 951:2 1074:20 | 1023:6, 11, 14 | matters 907:5 | 1092:20 1104:12 |
| 1075:19 | 1126:17 1150:11, | 962:22 1122:19 | 1108:1, 14, 19 |
| makeup 997:5, 18 | 17, 21 1151:13, 17 | Maurice 1103:17 | 1109:25 1114:22 |
| 998:10 1027:12 | 1152:5, $10 \quad 1153: 9$ | maximize 902:7 | 1116:15, 18, 19 |
| 1044:15 1086:19 | margin 871:7 | 1115:3 1137:7 | 1120:23 1137:8 |
| 1131:18, 19 | mark 1070:11 | maximizing | 1150:4 1152:18, |
| making 853:2 | 1097:9 1105:24 | 1114:16 | 19 |
| 870:16 878:16 | marked 771:22 | maximum 1088:9 | meaning 812:20 |
| 884:21 949:5 | 917:1 1013:23 | McConaughy | 824:23 947:2 |
| 955:10 956:6 | 1058:16 1060:14, | 890:21 891:1,12 | meaningful 833:23 |
| 971:15 983:17 | 17 1061:13 | 893:7 1015:5 | means 837:25 |
| 1063:19 1094:11 | 1097:8, 10 | 1028:2 1029:22 | 838:9 885:21 |
| 1109:19 | 1122:11 1143:4 | 1030:3 1036:23 | 914:23 973:14 |
| Mall 768:14 | 1153:1 | 1039:18 1052:15, | 1009:5 |
| 769:4, 11 | market 876:19 | 16,21 1053:13 | meant 800:18 |
| Malone 1154:2 | 879:9 883:16 | 1064:8 1082:17 | 958:15 968:3 |
| manage 815:20 | 884:1 900:10 | 1107:22 1114:8, | measure 909:2 |
| 901:22 902:11 | 910:21, 22 911:9, | 10 1120:2, 24 | 956:14 |
| 927:24 991:2 | 15,23 912:8, 22 | 1128:25 1134:15 | mechanics 1018:8 |
| 1007:11 1008:8, | 913:16 1047:5 | 1135:17 | mechanism |
| 16 1025:13 | 1049:12 | McCormick | 1011:24 |
| 1052:5 | marketing 831:1 | 787:8 818:22 | Medina 1073:25 |
| managed 934:2, 6 | 897:18 913:7 | 897:8 | meet 832:4 |
| 956:1,2 1013:2 | markets 883:18 | McGowan 1154:2 | 940:12 1080:23, |
| 1032:9 1039:10 | 898:20 911:8 | mean 781:2 | 25 1083:17 |
| 1083:5 1114:5 | 913:16 | 792:1 799:17 | meeting 783:17 |
| management | marriage 916:21 | 801:14 804:13 | 787:8, 10, 15, 19 |
| 774:20 777:22 | Massachusetts | 814:16, 18 816:8, | 788:15 789:22 |
| 862:4 870:15 | 775:14 | 15 817:4 835:10 | 907:22 933:2 |
| 872:21 934:6, 13 | massive 940:23 | 849:16 852:3 | 936:14, 16, 22, 25 |
| 1018:13 1032:10 | 968:24 | 859:24 860:14 | 939:20, 24 940:2, |
| 1059:18 |  | 863:18 865:16, 22 | 3, 5, 14, 17, 19 |


| 941:10 946:6, 9, | 896:24 897:6, 10, | 1131:20, 21 | merged 776:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11, 15, 19 948:4, 6, | 16 898:6, 11, 14, | 1140:4, 15 | 859:7 861:17 |
| 12 951:14, 19 | 16, 23 899:2, 12, | 1141:13, 18, 24 | 872:22 873:1 |
| 952:18, 25 953:3 | 14, 20, 24 900:22 | 1146:19 1148:14, | 875:7 901:11 |
| 967:17 979:1 | 902:15 904:18, 23 | 17, $19 \quad 1152: 3,21$ | 960:21 1131:6 |
| 981:3 993:22, 23 | 905:3, 22 908:2, 6, | MEMBERS | 1134:3 1136:8 |
| 994:1, 8, 12, 15, 25 | 15, 19 909:11 | 768:17 770:9 | merger 775:22 |
| 995:6, 10 996:11, | 910:7 911:18 | 856:11 904:6 | 776:8, 12, 16, 19, |
| 18 1001:18, 24 | 912:1, 16 913:3 | 938:4 941:21, 22 | 21 777:1, 5, 18, 23 |
| 1002:13 1034:21 | 920:24 921:1 | 942:21 947:8 | 778:5, 8, 12 779:6 |
| 1062:23 1063:10, | 931:9, 10 943:13 | 948:15 957:24 | 782:8, 11, 17, 25 |
| 12, 14, 20 1067:8, | 15 950:24 951:6, | 958:6, 16, 17 | 783:9 784:7, 15, |
| 15 1069:3, 4 | 10 953:15, 18 | 991:12 994:17 | 24 785:7 786:21 |
| 1079:14 1086:16, | 969:11, 20 970:7 | 995:11, 13, 20, 21 | 788:18 789:11 |
| 17 1089:23 | 971:2, 7, 11 972:3, | 996:19, 24 1003:2 | 790:3 804:18 |
| 1109:4, 8, 14, 20, | 5, 11, 15, 20 | 1006:8 1033:15 | 814:20, 21 818:9 |
| 22 1124:11 | 973:25 975:15, 17 | 1047:14, 18 | 824:24 825:9 |
| 1144:16 | 976:6, 10, 19 | 1052:1, 3 1056:24 | 833:12 839:23 |
| meetings 794:2 | 984:9, 14, 20 | 1076:24 1077:15 | 840:3, 12 841:10, |
| 932:7, 8, 9, 15 | 985:11, 16 986:11 | 1078:4, 5, 6 | 11 842:4 843:11 |
| 940:5, 10 991:24 | 988:9 998:20, 23 | 1080:24 1083:3 | 844:7, 25 851:4 |
| 992:1 1034:22 | 999:4, 10, 21 | 1085:22 1106:21 | 853:21 854:10 |
| 1061:25 1081:14 | 1000:3 1002:12 | 1130:14 1131:25 | 856:8 857:17, 19, |
| 1129:6 | 1004:22 1020:8, | 1146:2, 7, 18 | 21, 24 858:22 |
| meets 931:12 | 14, 19 1043:17 | membership | 859:11 861:12, 25 |
| megawatts 910:14, | 1044:3, 18 | 1085:13 1093:9, | 874:1, 17 876:6 |
| 18,24 914:7 | 1045:23 1046:10 | 15 | 877:25 878:3 |
| melding 937:25 | 14,25 1047:10 | Memorandum | 880:21 881:12 |
| MEMBER 772:15, | 1048:14, 23 | 1129:3 | 882:4, 6, 8, 21, 23 |
| 22 773:1, 7, 11, 16, | 1049:3 1050:3 | memory 1100:22 | 900:6 907:1 |
| 18 783:21, 25 | 17 1055:9 | 1110:22 1115:24 | 914:10, 15, 16 |
| 784:3 786:25 | 1056:12 1057 | mentioned 775:20 | 915:11 924:17, 18, |
| 787:2, 16 791:21, | 1069:13, 19 | 800:9 817:19 | 19 925:10, 14, 20 |
| 24 792:18, 23 | 1070:4, 13 | 895:16 930:18 | 926:22 931:4 |
| 793:8, 14 794:16 | 1072:19 1074:4 | 1038:11 1047:23 | 935:18, 24 936:11, |
| 800:8, 17 801:1 | 1078:2, 9, 15 | 1103:4 | 13 941:25 946:13, |
| 806:13, 15, 20 | 1081:15 1084 | merely 797:14 | 16 948:23 949:18 |
| 808:15, 20, 23 | 1085:8, 14, 16, 24 | merge 791:3 | 952:5 953:7 |
| 809:15, 20 810:10, | 1087:24 1088:4, | 793:7 814:18 | 954:13 955:13, 18, |
| 17, 23 811:18, 24 | 12 1090:10 | 833:5 851:9 | 21 956:21 957:23 |
| 812:3, 12 828:25 | 1097:15 1098:2, 9, | 856:18 871:21 | 959:25 961:12, 14 |
| 829:17, 21, 25 | 12 1118:13, 24, 25 | 875:19 1028:14, | 962:17 964:1 |
| 830:3, 10, 19 | 1120:25 1121:4, 7, | 17 1064:23 | 965:3, 19 967:9, |
| 843:6, 23 844:13 | 10, 15 1124:10 | 1065:4, 5, 6, 19 | 12, 13, 15 968:12, |
| 845:25 846:9, 13 | 1125:12 1126:4, 5 | 1117:8, 11 | 13 973:7 983:15 |
| 847:1 848:3 | 1127:11 1130:5 |  | 985:5 986:8 |


| 987:9 991:17 | metropolitan | 957:25 1027:2 | 826:2 830:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 993:19 995:2, 3, | 977:10 | 1028:15 1037:1, | 831:6 843:19 |
| 14 996:20 997:7, | Mexico 790:10 | 23 1039:22 | 844:17, 21 845:17, |
| 8, 9, 21, 25 1002:9, | Michael 769:15 | 1130:20, 21 | 24 847:21 848:1, |
| 23 1003:15, 22 | 1105:15 | Minden 947:15, | 2, 17 849:1, 7, 14, |
| 1016:21, 22, 23 | michael.degan@ku | $161077: 7$ | 25 852:3, 6 |
| 1017:23 1027:5 | takrock.com | minds 907:22 | 864:15 867:1 |
| 1039:3, 5 1043:24 | 769:17 | mine 1013:14, 15 | modeled 813:12 |
| 1048:19 1051:1 | mid 792:7 | minimum 1108:12 | 867:2 898:15 |
| 1055:19, 22 | 965:21 1091:13 | Minnesota 776:21 | modeling 797:15 |
| 1056:22 1057:5, | 1152:9 | minor 805:19 | 801:19 804:6 |
| 14 1058:11 | middle 819:14 | 816:14 | 813:6 816:8 |
| 1060:4, 8 1062:4, | 897:17 979:1, 24 | minute 1028:23 | 838:21 848:20 |
| 13, 20 1063:8, 11, | 1045:19 | 1133:17 | 858:18 867:6 |
| 22 1064:15 | Mike 793:19 | minutes 793:20 | 913:8, 15 |
| 1078:7 1085:2 | 961:4 1105:20 | 804:16, 17, 21 | models 796:25 |
| 1086:10 1087:16, | 1108:10 1130:4, 8 | 903:6 931:25 | 810:4, 6 817:10 |
| 22 1089:19, 24, 25 | mile 1009:22, 23, | 932:1 1137:16 | 847:12 |
| 1090:17 1093:16 | 24 | misheard 1029:17 | Moen 768:20 |
| 1109:12 1111:11 | miles 781:23, 24 | mismanaged | 770:12 773:1 |
| 1116:7 1118:16 | 1007:17 1009:12 | 1032:9 | 783:21, 25 784:3 |
| 1119:5 1121:8 | 1010:25 1028:7 | misrepresented | 809:15, 20 811:24 |
| 1128:9 1129:12, | mill 843:12 | 948:25 | 812:3 1074:4 |
| 13, 19, 20, 25 | million 816:11 | misrepresenting | moment 808:8 |
| 1130:7 1138:19 | 817:1, 2 819:17 | 951:21 | 812:14 868:1 |
| 1139:18, 22 | 822:23 823:24 | missed 808:10 | 876:5 1065:24 |
| 1147:23 | 826:15, 16 829:6 | 947:9 1147:13 | 1099:2, 5 1103:4 |
| mergers 775:3 | 837:1, 4, 9, 11 | missing 801:18 | 1137:11 |
| 776:3, 5 777:13 | 843:17 846:21 | 1130:6 | Monday 801:24 |
| 778:15, 16, 23 | 847:3 849:9, 11, | mission 862:23, | 940:8 |
| 839:7, 21 842:2 | 25 850:2, 6, 22, 25 | 24 934:15 949:21 | money 823:19 |
| 854:13 857:14, 25 | 853:9 863:13, 16, | 950:2 1001:14 | 829:10 865:8 |
| 861:18 881:13 | 18 864:2 865:6, 9, | Missouri 1015:19 | 879:8 945:25 |
| 916:10 | 22 866:4 874:15 | misspoke 1029:19 | 955:11 960:16 |
| merging 825:3 | 878:20 945:1, 2, 3, | misstated 994:7 | 974:7 1021:14, 15, |
| 861:9,19 1049:25 | 5,19, 23 954:18, | misstating | 16 1084:6, 8 |
| 1056:5 | 22,23 955:7 | 1031:10 | 1116:17 |
| messed 799:16 | 956:6, 8 960:2, 6 | mistake 816:2 | money's 956:3 |
| met 780:10 940:6 | 969:7 974:23 | misunderstood | monitor 949:25 |
| 1086:15 1087:17 | 1027:22, 23 | 1084:24 1147:2 | monitoring |
| 1093:7 1132:2 | 1035:4 1036:19, | model 798:3 | 993:11, 12 |
| meter 1134:24 | 23 1039:20, 25 | 811:21 813:13, 20 | month 789:20 |
| 1136:9 1139:9 | 1040:20 1049:8, 9 | 814:1 815:4 | 793:17 794:2 |
| methodology | millions 819:18 | 816:1, 13 817:13, | 1025:7 |
| 891:22 893:12 | mind 843:8 | 15 819:7 821:9 | months 880:11 |
| methods 853:16 | 853:4, 8 854:6 | 822:5, 20, 22 | 912:10, 13 |


| Morgan-Prewitt | 1105:15 1123:22, | 25 1105:1 1107:7, | negative 821:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1008:16 | 24 | 17 1117:2 1124:1, | 851:18 928:17 |
| morning 771:14 | named 786:20 | 21 1126:5, 24 | 1062:13 |
| 918:15 931:12 | names 1011:9 | 1128:22, 23 | neglected 939:5 |
| 953:4, 5, 12, 13 | 1089:6 1094:12 | 1134:13 1135:16 | 992:3 |
| 954:7 965:15, 16 | name's 988:25 | Nebraska-Lincoln | negotiate 852:22 |
| 1124:12, 23 | narrative 832:17 | 1125:10 | 986:8 |
| mornings 940:8 | 1138:7 | nebulous 985:2 | negotiated 866:23 |
| motion 949:17, 20 | narratives | necessarily 778:2 | 956:25 985:15 |
| 950:5 981:14,21 | 1137:13 1138:5 | 785:7 855:22 | negotiation 776:16 |
| 982:3, 8 1001:2, 3, | narrow 908:20 | 883:8 910:12 | negotiations |
| 8,9 1002:6 | narrowing 861:5 | 1065:9 1067:17 | 966:17 |
| 1087:5 | narrowly 882:13 | necessary 1020:7 | neighbor 779:18 |
| motivation 866:1 | 1113:25 | 1060:10 1147:23 | neighbor's 965:10 |
| motor 868:24 | narrowne | 1149:11 | Neither 982:24 |
| motors 1134:20 | 888:11 | necessity 1065:8 | 983:1 |
| mound 929:22 | Narrows 1030:9 | need 773:15 | N-E-L-S-0-N |
| 930:6 958:13, 20, | 10 | 786:5 806:3 | 1054:21 |
| 21, 22 959:8 | native 913:25 | 833:4 841:7 | Nelson 783:5, 14 |
| mountains | 914:2, 21 1014: | 852:22, 24 874:10 | 784:14, 19 794:13 |
| 1030:18 | natural 991:4 | 927:17 973:13 | 818:2, 6 947:14, |
| move 838:16 | 1033:13 1082:9 | 990:11 994:17 | 15 951:13, 17, 18 |
| 865:23 889:4 | 20 1119:21, 25 | 1005:5, 7 1020:18 | 952:17 995:25 |
| 890:10 892:22, 24 | 1120:1 1124:21 | 1023:7, 19, 21 | 1002:12 1003:7, |
| 937:1 1107:12 | 1135:22 | 1025:8, 10 1048:6, | 13 1054:12, 13, 20 |
| 1125:1 1138:19, | nature 986:1 | 12 1064:11 | 1055:5 1060:17 |
| 22 1139:17 | NDA 785:2 | 1065:5, 12 1081:2 | 1063:5, 16, 19 |
| moved 774:17 | NE 769:5, 12, 17 | 1085:3 1094:5 | 1067:4, 7, 21 |
| 775:15 780:3 | near 1081:10 | 1097:7 1099:21 | 1068:16 1072:1 |
| 1087:12 1105:20 | nearing 1120:19 | 1114:24 1117:7, | 22 |
| moving 892:19 | nearly 817:17 | 11 1119:24 | Nelson's 1067:12 |
| 1054:8 | NEBRASKA | 1125:5 1137:12 | 1144:19 |
| Muhlbach | 768:1, 2, 4, 14 | 1138:1 1141:2, 10 | net 807:20,21 |
| 1089:11, 13 | 769:1, 8 775:1 | 1152:8 1153:12 | 809:11 813:4 |
| multiple 775:4 | 17 786:1, 2 | needed 775:18 | 816:9, 15, 16, 19, |
| 777:9 | 809:23 817:16 | 784:22 788:4 | 20,21, 22 819:11, |
| multiples 1040:3 | 866:10 867:20 | 952:2, 5 983:14, | 20, 23 820:9, 11 |
|  | 889:11, 19 890:20, | 15 1014:16 | 821:7, 15 822:14, |
| $<$ | 23 895:10 913:1 | 1077:2 1081:6 | 15 823:1, 15, 16, |
| name 771:3, 5 | 922:2, 9 989:5, 18 | 1091:17 1153:13 | 22 830:4 844:18, |
| 788:10 830:2 | 990:25 1036:16 | needle 865:24 | 24 845:15 849:24, |
| 858:3 921:20 | 1045:5 1047:25 | needless 1082:3 | 25 871:7, 12 |
| 950:13 988:23 | 1051:6, 9 1054:22 | needs 835:21 | 875:5, 6 956:16 |
| 1006:21, 23 | 1074:1, 15 | 871:12 936:2 | never 791:16 |
| 1054:18 1073:11, | 1078:24 1079:2, 3 | 1005:7 1064:23 | 796:19, 21 798:25 |
| 13 1088:2 1089:2 | 1091:19 1103:21, | 1065:4 1135:8 | 856:3 876:20 |


| 908:24 910:1 | 917:20 918:1 | 1112:25 1116:8 | 14 902:9 908:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 927:20 949:7 | 919:8, 24 920:6, | 1117:10, 15, 17 | 910:2 912:7 |
| 1031:19 1045:16 | 24 929:25 930:1 | 1118:9 1120:17 | 913:24 914:20 |
| 1057:10 | 939:23 942:3 | 1132:7 1136:8, 11, | 933:1 972:1 |
| New 776:10, 19 | 944:14 949:7 | 12 1139:4, 12 | 1101:23 1103:22 |
| 790:10 823:10 | 954:14 959:11 | 1140:2, 19, 24 | 1105:8 |
| 874:21 880:3 | 960:15 967:2, 4, 7, | 1141:3 1143:18 | NPPD's 890:3 |
| 892:6 893:18 | 10 968:25 971:17 | 1144:25 1145:1, | 909:12 910:18 |
| 894:1, 2 898:4 | 972:18 975:10 | 17 1151:16 | 943:13, 15 |
| 907:2 911:19, 22 | 976:19, 20 977:15, | nods 904:21 | NRD 946:7, 16, |
| 932:25 945:20 | 19,21 981:18, 19, | noncash 821:16, | 24 947:25 949:22 |
| 961:22 962:6 | 20 983:12 985:24 | 20 | 950:7, 9 952:18 |
| 963:8 964:13, 25 | 986:8 987:15 | NonDisclosure | 967:17, 18 990:9, |
| 969:16 970:2, 21 | 988:2 990:19 | 785:2 | 13, 17, 24 991:6 |
| 974:3, 9 975:5 | 993:3, 9, 20 | nonprofit 1078:25 | 993:4, 5, 8, 10, 17, |
| 983:17 984:24 | 997:24 998:3 | normal 929:3 | 18 994:1, 9, 17 |
| 999:24 1001:10 | 1001:25 1002:24 | normally 1136:5 | 995:7 997:12 |
| 1081:8 | 1003:15 1004:17, | north 779:13 | 998:14 999:18, 24, |
| news 953:21 | 22 1008:6, 9 | 929:24 970:11 | 25 1001:11, 14, 18 |
| 991:22 | 1021:4 1023:21 | 971:25 972:8 | 1002:8 1004:7 |
| newspaper 925:17, | 1024:8 1027:4, 11, | 977:17 987:11, 22 | 1062:23 1063:10, |
| 18 978:12 | 25 1029:18 | 991:10 1007:9, 12, | 13 1067:7, 13 |
| nexus 1041:19 | 1034:6 1037:16 | 14, 15, 17, 23 | 1069:4 1104:16 |
| nice 807:15 | 1038:24 1039:22, | 1008:3, 11 1011:3 | 1144:16 |
| nine 933:17, 19 | 24 1041:10 | 1012:19 1013:1 | NRDs 950:15 |
| 982:23 1033:11 | 1043:24 1047:7 | 1014:22 1018:24 | 1107:2 1108:17, |
| 1092:17 | 1048:20 1051:2, 7, | 1036:1 1039:24 | 19 |
| Ninety 983:6 | 10, 17, 19 1053:10, | 1040:22 1041:21 | NRD's 999:17 |
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